

Developing Evidence-Based Educational Policies

Kakungulu Samuel J.

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The development of educational policies grounded in empirical evidence is crucial for improving learning outcomes, especially for underserved populations. This paper examines the role of research in shaping evidence-based policies, emphasizing the importance of rigorous data collection, analysis, and the integration of qualitative and quantitative findings into decision-making processes. It explores successful case studies that demonstrate the positive impact of evidence-based interventions on educational outcomes and highlights the contextual dimensions—leadership, relevance, and stakeholder involvement—that contribute to policy success. The paper also addresses the challenges and limitations faced in implementing evidence-based policies, including issues related to data quality, the scalability of interventions, and the need for continued evaluation and adaptation. Ultimately, it argues that while evidence-based policies hold significant promise, they require careful consideration of context and sustained investment to achieve their full potential in improving education.

Keywords: Evidence-based policy, educational research, data collection, educational outcomes, policy implementation, leadership.

INTRODUCTION

Good policies must be grounded in the best available evidence about what works and what does not. In the education sector, this means that schools, teachers, and students should benefit from insights arrived at through education research and the application of these insights to classroom practices. The broad objective is simple: educational policies would have a greater chance of achieving their anticipated goals if they were informed by data and practices known through empirical research to be effective. Parents, the most dedicated lobbyists for their children, should also feel this way, given that a policy based on systematic research can positively affect the conditions of children's learning and the disposition of their future [1, 2]. Recently, there has been a great deal of interest in the need to base political decisions on evidence. This interest was justified by the assumption that political decisions should not be based on ideology, personal opinions, memory, or arbitrary criteria, but rather on good research or proven practice. As a result, the notion of evidence, as the principal guide of the rational policymaking process, has become one pillar of three new orientations that have received attention in educational policy studies. Reliance on best evidence has the potential to contribute to a significant impact on educational outcomes, especially for students who have been historically underserved. In many contemporary educational systems, the use of research and other forms of knowledge in policy and management is presented as one of the most important solutions for pressing educational problems [3, 4].

The Role of Research in Educational Policy Development

Policymaking has always been a challenge in education because education is highly contextualized and addresses different constraints in diverse sets of communities. Policymakers depend on research to serve as the evidence base, they need to make informed decisions about how to address these challenges. Researchers use a variety of methodologies to conduct studies on educational challenges. Some use qualitative methods, which allow them to collect in-depth information about a specific problem or to examine behaviors or trends among a small sample of cases. Others use quantitative methods, which seek to confirm hypotheses or theories by linking or comparing a large number of cases across time and space.

Mixed-method designs that blend the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative designs are increasingly popular [5, 6]. A key criterion in the use of research to develop policy is whether policymakers and researchers accept a common set of goals. When policy is aimed at addressing specific educational indicators, a quality academic achievement outcome such as high average scores or significant test score gains, key stakeholders in policy will expect research to report progress—in other words, to provide evidence that positive effects can be attributed to strategies or reforms. The use of outcome indicators that serve policy objectives requires research that must adhere carefully to the rules of evidence. This research must show that reform effects are positive across many settings or somehow permit inferences on cause and effect. Policymakers respect research more when it is used to support informed rather than predetermined decision-making or to solve specific problems rather than to advance any particular paradigm or theoretical or methodological school of thought. Researchers need to adopt empirical research methods as the tool of choice to guide their policies. Policymakers must adhere to rigorous research protocols to meet the aim of proving cause and effect [7, 8].

Data Collection and Analysis in Education Research

Several methods can be used to collect and analyze data in educational research, but much of current practice does not build a useful evidence base for policy. In general, two types of educational data underpin policy decisions about educators, programs, or students: qualitative and quantitative data. These data types require different strategies for data collection. The development of policy and practice based on robust qualitative and/or quantitative evidence requires improvement in the collection and analysis of educational data today. Various types of studies yield data to support policy decisions. They include surveys, assessments, observational studies, and more. Surveys can be adapted to many groups and settings. While quantitative in their description of respondents' characteristics, open-ended questions can provide initial qualitative data about many aspects of education. Assessments can yield both qualitative and quantitative data. Often, school-based assessments are written so that teachers must analyze their students' work to understand important student learning processes and can be used to yield qualitative data. Surveys, assessments, and observational studies are subjected to the rigors of formal testing and analysis [9, 10, 11]. It is ethically imperative that data be collected responsibly. The collection and use of educational data must not violate the privacy rights of those providing the data. The data must be kept secure and confidential to avoid harm. It is important that researchers not become data harlots, promising anonymity while exploiting data for innumerable unanticipated uses. A commitment to data quality is required if evidence-based research is to make major contributions to policy-making. Research studies must be designed to generate data that informs useful policy, and thus potential data users must be included in the study design process. Robust statistical analysis is critical to the validity and reliability of data. Data entry and database management must also be designed for analysis to ensure that the data are in a form that can be analyzed. The analysis of data and its representation in tables and figures is critical to the formalism of research studies and ensuing interpretation and reporting. Computer technology streamlines the collection and analysis of large amounts of data. Statistical analyses can be made more detailed, and they can handle very large databases. Additionally, technology has facilitated the storage and retrieval of large amounts of data [12, 13, 14].

Case Studies of Successful Evidence-Based Policies

This study draws on selected case studies of evidence-based policies that have succeeded. The case studies are from different educational sectors and all highlight that once in place, policies have had a positive impact on educational outcomes, as measured in terms of pupils' achievements. In the further education and schools' sectors, each case study demonstrates success in terms of raising standards. Several significant features can be observed throughout the three education sectors represented in the case studies. A significant characteristic of all the studies is that they are led by the best available evidence. This may be evidence-based policy in principle, but it is also evidence-based practice in action. The need for regular, rigorous, timely, relevant, and independent evidence is quite clear. Other core themes and success factors resonate in each of the sectoral studies [15, 16, 17]. In terms of the contexts of delivering evidence-based policies, there are at least three important contextual dimensions:

1. Leadership and decision-making practices: evidence-based interventions can only be implemented if effective leadership ensures that the whole organization buys into the relevant changes to practice and culture.
2. Evidence needs to be relevant to practice and the contemporary world. AQPs in FE are the most clear-cut example. However, resilience and adaptability in the face of diversity are also key.

3. Involvement and interaction with key stakeholders. In our case studies, this is manifested in several ways: the bringing together of schools in federations and chains in Challenge Areas, the proactive promotion of the AQPs' work to employers, the expectation that employers will contribute to the delivery of study programs as part of their recruitment of future employees, and schools' roles in preparing students for university [18, 19, 20].

Challenges and Limitations of Implementing Evidence-Based Educational Policies

Fifth, when evidence-based educational policies are finally implemented, evaluators can face challenges associated with ongoing re-planning, identifying responsibilities, and balancing the needs of policy actors. Collecting, reporting, and using implementation data are also essential to understanding the success or failure of the new policies. Finally, educators and educational systems in many countries fail to successfully incorporate evidence-based interventions and practices, struggling against path dependencies and long histories of exclusion. In these and other cases, evidence generated through scientific research and accumulated through systematic reviews might lack the required input to inform policymaking and planning [21, 22]. Despite the obvious potential for such contributions, proposing evidence-based interventions in education and educational policies does have some limitations, because current knowledge and research will have limited value if there is not enough investment and policy action to scale, disseminate, implement, or ensure fidelity; describe average effects that may vary by context rather than a specific target population; provide evidence only for outcomes and impacts as opposed to the how or the why to inform interventions and policies, and somewhat works focuses on practices or approaches with weak or inconsistent evidence. Barring addressing these challenges and limitations, evidence-based policies and practices are assumed to facilitate standard practice, conceptual coherence, authoritative decisions, neutral stakes, and the explicit consideration and treatment of variability and uncertainties when developing and implementing educational policies [23, 24, 25].

CONCLUSION

The shift toward evidence-based educational policies offers a promising avenue for enhancing learning outcomes and addressing disparities in education. However, to fully realize its potential, several critical factors must be addressed. These include ensuring the rigorous collection and analysis of high-quality data, maintaining relevant and adaptable policies, and fostering leadership that can effectively implement and sustain these policies. Case studies demonstrate that when evidence is integrated into practice, it can lead to significant improvements in educational achievement. Nonetheless, challenges such as scalability, the need for comprehensive evaluations, and the careful consideration of local contexts must be overcome. Policymakers must remain committed to continuous learning, data-driven decision-making, and the active involvement of all stakeholders to ensure that evidence-based policies translate into tangible improvements for all students.

REFERENCES

1. Lee S, Lee J, Zhai H, Tong Y, Dalzell AM, Kumar A, Helms P, Gray J, Cui ZH, Liu W, Kastoryano M. Evaluating the evidence for exponential quantum advantage in ground-state quantum chemistry. *Nature communications*. 2023 Apr 7;14(1):1952. [nature.com](https://www.nature.com)
2. Morris R, Perry T, Wardle L. Formative assessment and feedback for learning in higher education: A systematic review. *Review of Education*. 2021 Oct;9(3):e3292.
3. Yu C, Moslehpour M, Tran TK, Trung LM, Ou JP, Tien NH. Impact of non-renewable energy and natural resources on economic recovery: Empirical evidence from selected developing economies. *Resources policy*. 2023 Jan 1;80:103221. [hznu.edu.cn](https://www.hznu.edu.cn)
4. Spiegel JA, Goodrich JM, Morris BM, Osborne CM, Lonigan CJ. Relations between executive functions and academic outcomes in elementary school children: A meta-analysis. *Psychological bulletin*. 2021 Apr;147(4):329. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
5. Chan CK, Hu W. Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. 2023 Jul 17;20(1):43. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
6. Zakhidov G. Economic indicators: tools for analyzing market trends and predicting future performance. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Universal Scientific Prospectives*. 2024;2(3):23-9. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
7. Mouter N, Hernandez JI, Itten AV. Public participation in crisis policymaking. How 30,000 Dutch citizens advised their government on relaxing COVID-19 lockdown measures. *PloS one*. 2021 May 6;16(5):e0250614.

8. Dai Y, Lai S, Lim CP, Liu A. University policies on generative AI in Asia: Promising practices, gaps, and future directions. *Journal of Asian Public Policy*. 2024 Aug 10:1-22.
9. Sieswerda E, De Boer MG, Bonten MM, Boersma WG, Jonkers RE, Aleva RM, Kullberg BJ, Schouten JA, van de Garde EM, Verheij TJ, van der Eerden MM. Recommendations for antibacterial therapy in adults with COVID-19—an evidence based guideline. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2021 Jan 1;27(1):61-6. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
10. Arvidsson S, Dumay J. Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice?. *Business strategy and the environment*. 2022 Mar;31(3):1091-110.
11. Hume K, Steinbrenner JR, Odom SL, Morin KL, Nowell SW, Tomaszewski B, Szendrey S, McIntyre NS, Yücesoy-Özkan S, Savage MN. Evidence-based practices for children, youth, and young adults with autism: Third generation review. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*. 2021 Nov 1:1-20. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
12. Pfaff ER, Girvin AT, Gabriel DL, Kostka K, Morris M, Palchuk MB, Lehmann HP, Amor B, Bissell M, Bradwell KR, Gold S. Synergies between centralized and federated approaches to data quality: a report from the national COVID cohort collaborative. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2022 Apr 1;29(4):609-18. oup.com
13. Tauringana V. Sustainability reporting challenges in developing countries: towards management perceptions research evidence-based practices. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*. 2021 Mar 19;11(2):194-215.
14. Nilashi M, Keng Boon O, Tan G, Lin B, Abumalloh R. Critical data challenges in measuring the performance of sustainable development goals: Solutions and the role of big-data analytics. *Harvard Data Science Review*. 2023 Jul 27;5(3):1-36. pubpub.org
15. Feraco T, Resnati D, Fregonese D, Spoto A, Meneghetti C. An integrated model of school students' academic achievement and life satisfaction. Linking soft skills, extracurricular activities, self-regulated learning, motivation, and emotions. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*. 2023 Mar;38(1):109-30. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
16. Durkin K, Lipsey MW, Farran DC, Wiesen SE. Effects of a statewide pre-kindergarten program on children's achievement and behavior through sixth grade. *Developmental Psychology*. 2022 Mar;58(3):470. apa.org
17. Putwain DW, Wood P, Pekrun R. Achievement emotions and academic achievement: Reciprocal relations and the moderating influence of academic buoyancy. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 2022 Jan;114(1):108. essex.ac.uk
18. Peters-Corbett A, Parke S, Bear H, Clarke T. Barriers and facilitators of implementation of evidence-based interventions in children and young people's mental health care—a systematic review. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*. 2024 Sep;29(3):242-65. researchgate.net
19. Vroom EB, Massey OT, Martinez Tyson D, Lubotsky Levin B, Green AL. Conceptualizing implementation practice capacity in community-based organizations delivering evidence-based behavioral health services. *Global Implementation Research and Applications*. 2021 Dec;1:246-57. [HTML]
20. Weeks A. Important factors for evidence-based implementation in child welfare settings: A systematic review. *Journal of Evidence-Based Social Work*. 2021 Mar 4;18(2):129-54.
21. Pellegrini M, Vivanet G. Evidence-based policies in education: Initiatives and challenges in Europe. *ECNU Review of Education*. 2021 Mar;4(1):25-45.
22. Dekker I, Meeter M. Evidence-based education: Objections and future directions. In *Frontiers in Education* 2022 Aug 26 (Vol. 7, p. 941410). Frontiers Media SA.
23. Alam A. Psychological, sociocultural, and biological elucidations for gender gap in STEM education: a call for translation of research into evidence-based interventions. In Alam, A. (2022). *Psychological, Sociocultural, and Biological Elucidations for Gender Gap in STEM Education: A Call for Translation of Research into Evidence-Based Interventions*. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Sustainability and Equity (ICSE-2021). Atlantis Highlights in Social S 2022 Apr 3. ssrn.com
24. Ștefan CA, Dănilă I, Cristescu D. Classroom-wide school interventions for preschoolers' social-emotional learning: a systematic review of evidence-based programs. *Educational Psychology Review*. 2022 Dec;34(4):2971-3010.

25. Deng J, Deng Y, Cheong KH. Combining conflicting evidence based on Pearson correlation coefficient and weighted graph. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*. 2021 Dec;36(12):7443-60. wiley.com

CITE AS: Kakungulu Samuel J. (2025). Developing Evidence-Based Educational Policies. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 6(2):46-50.