

# Evaluating the Efficacy of Insecticide-Treated Bed Nets versus Indoor Residual Spraying in Reducing Malaria Transmission among Children under Five

Katu Amina H.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Malaria remains a critical public health concern, particularly among children under five in sub-Saharan Africa, where the disease disproportionately affects this vulnerable population. This review evaluated the efficacy of insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) in reducing malaria transmission among young children. Both interventions have shown significant effectiveness in malaria prevention; ITNs provide continuous protection against mosquito bites, while IRS targets indoor mosquito populations. However, their comparative efficacy can vary based on local contexts, including factors such as insecticide resistance and socio-economic conditions. A systematic review methodology was employed to synthesize current evidence regarding the impact of ITNs and IRS on malaria incidence. The findings indicated that ITNs demonstrate a consistent protective effect, particularly in high mosquito density areas, whereas IRS may offer immediate benefits in certain contexts. Challenges such as insecticide resistance, adherence to intervention protocols, and logistical constraints hindered the implementation of both strategies. Integrated malaria control approaches that combine ITNs and IRS may optimize protection for vulnerable populations. This review underscored the importance of adapting malaria control strategies to local epidemiological data and enhancing community engagement to improve health outcomes for children under five.

**Keywords:** Malaria prevention, Insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs), Indoor residual spraying (IRS), Children under five, Transmission dynamics.

## INTRODUCTION

Malaria remains a significant global health challenge, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where children under five years of age are disproportionately affected by the disease [1–3]. In 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) reported approximately 619,000 malaria deaths, with young children representing a substantial share of this burden [4]. Effective malaria prevention strategies are crucial for reducing morbidity and mortality rates in this vulnerable population. Two widely implemented interventions are insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS), both of which aim to decrease malaria transmission by targeting the primary vector, the *Anopheles* mosquito.

ITNs have become a cornerstone of malaria prevention efforts, providing dual protection through physical barriers against mosquito bites and the lethal effects of insecticides embedded in the nets [5]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that ITNs significantly reduce malaria incidence and related complications among young children, leading to improved health outcomes. Conversely, IRS involves applying insecticides to the interior walls of homes, effectively killing mosquitoes that come into contact with treated surfaces. IRS is particularly beneficial in high-transmission areas where indoor mosquito populations pose a significant threat. Despite the demonstrated efficacy of both interventions, the comparative effectiveness of ITNs versus IRS in reducing malaria transmission among children remains a critical area of investigation [6]. Factors such as insecticide resistance, adherence to intervention protocols, and socioeconomic challenges influence the success of these strategies. This review aims to critically evaluate the efficacy of ITNs and IRS in reducing malaria transmission among children under five, considering their respective advantages, limitations, and the implications for public health policy. Through this examination, we seek to provide insights that can inform malaria control programs and improve health outcomes for vulnerable populations.

### **INSECTICIDE-TREATED BED NETS (ITNS)**

Insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) have become a cornerstone in malaria prevention efforts due to their effectiveness in providing protection against mosquito bites during nighttime, which is the peak feeding time for *Anopheles* mosquitoes [7]. ITNs offer a dual benefit: they provide a physical barrier to mosquito bites and deliver a lethal dose of insecticide to any mosquito that contacts the net. The WHO recommends universal coverage of ITNs for populations at risk of malaria, particularly children and pregnant women. Studies have demonstrated that ITNs can reduce malaria transmission significantly. For instance, a meta-analysis found that the use of ITNs is associated with a 50% reduction in the risk of malaria infection among children under five [8]. The effectiveness of ITNs in reducing malaria transmission is influenced by several factors, including the coverage of ITN distribution, the type of insecticide used, and community adherence to net usage. The introduction of long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) has further enhanced the effectiveness of this intervention, as these nets maintain insecticide efficacy for up to three years without the need for re-treatment. Furthermore, ITNs have been shown to contribute to a reduction in malaria-related morbidity and mortality, with studies indicating that children sleeping under ITNs experience fewer malaria episodes and lower rates of hospitalization.

### **INDOOR RESIDUAL SPRAYING (IRS)**

Indoor residual spraying (IRS) is another widely used malaria control strategy that involves applying insecticides to the interior surfaces of dwellings where mosquitoes are likely to rest after feeding [9]. IRS is typically conducted by trained personnel and is often implemented in conjunction with other malaria control measures. The effectiveness of IRS in reducing malaria transmission is well-documented. A systematic review of IRS interventions indicated that IRS can reduce malaria transmission by up to 50% in areas with high transmission rates [10]. The key advantage of IRS is its ability to kill mosquitoes that enter homes, thereby preventing transmission even in the absence of personal protective measures like ITNs. However, the efficacy of IRS is contingent upon several factors, including the choice of insecticide, frequency of spraying, and the coverage of households. Different insecticides have varying residual efficacy, and the emergence of insecticide resistance poses a significant challenge to IRS effectiveness. Additionally, IRS typically requires substantial financial and logistical resources for proper implementation, including trained personnel and sustained funding. The periodic nature of IRS may also limit its long-term effectiveness compared to ITNs, which provide continuous protection as long as they are used consistently.

### **COMPARATIVE EFFICACY OF ITNS AND IRS**

The comparison of ITNs and IRS in reducing malaria transmission among children under five is critical for informing public health policy and resource allocation [11]. While both interventions are effective in their own right, their comparative effectiveness can vary based on local epidemiological contexts and implementation strategies. A randomized controlled trial conducted in Nigeria compared the impact of ITNs and IRS on malaria incidence among children under five [12]. The study found that both interventions significantly reduced malaria incidence, but ITNs demonstrated a more consistent protective effect over time, especially in communities with high mosquito density. In regions where *Anopheles* mosquitoes exhibit high levels of insecticide resistance, the effectiveness of IRS may be diminished, making ITNs a more viable option. Conversely, in areas with low resistance levels and high transmission rates, IRS may provide complementary benefits when combined with ITNs. Integrated malaria control strategies that utilize both interventions may optimize protection for vulnerable populations.

### **CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS**

Despite the demonstrated efficacy of ITNs and IRS, several challenges impede their implementation and effectiveness. One of the primary barriers is the issue of insecticide resistance, which affects both ITNs and IRS [13]. The overuse and misuse of insecticides have led to the development of resistant mosquito populations, reducing the effectiveness of these interventions. Continuous monitoring of insecticide resistance patterns is crucial for adapting malaria control strategies and ensuring sustained effectiveness. Logistical and infrastructural challenges also hinder the implementation of IRS, particularly in remote or underserved areas [14]. Effective IRS requires trained personnel, regular monitoring, and sustainable funding, which may be lacking in resource-limited settings. In contrast, the distribution and use of ITNs can be more straightforward, as they do not require specialized training for households to implement. Community engagement and education play a critical role in the success of both interventions. Low adherence to ITN usage and misconceptions about IRS effectiveness can undermine the impact of these strategies [15]. Public health campaigns aimed at increasing awareness and educating communities about the importance of using ITNs and the benefits of IRS are essential for improving uptake and adherence.

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Given the challenges and limitations associated with ITNs and IRS, a multi-faceted approach to malaria control is necessary. Policymakers should prioritize integrated malaria control strategies that incorporate both ITNs and IRS based on local epidemiological data and insecticide resistance patterns [16, 17]. This integrated approach can maximize the protective benefits for children under five and other vulnerable populations.

Investment in research and development of new insecticides and alternative malaria control methods is critical for addressing the challenge of insecticide resistance. The exploration of innovative approaches, such as genetic modification of mosquitoes or the use of biological control agents, may provide additional tools for malaria control in the future. Strengthening health systems and infrastructure is essential for effective implementation of IRS and ITN distribution. This includes improving access to healthcare facilities, enhancing supply chain management for insecticides, and training healthcare workers to implement malaria control strategies effectively. Collaborative efforts with local communities, NGOs, and international organizations can also facilitate better resource allocation and increase the reach of malaria control programs.

#### FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RESEARCH GAPS

Ongoing research is needed to evaluate the long-term efficacy of ITNs and IRS in various ecological contexts, particularly in areas experiencing high levels of insecticide resistance. Studies exploring the synergistic effects of combining ITNs and IRS in integrated malaria control strategies are also warranted. Additionally, qualitative research examining community perceptions and barriers to ITN and IRS uptake can inform targeted interventions that enhance adherence. The role of emerging technologies, such as mobile health (mHealth) solutions for monitoring and educating communities about malaria prevention, presents an exciting avenue for future research. These technologies can improve data collection, facilitate communication, and enhance community engagement, ultimately leading to better outcomes in malaria control efforts.

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, both insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) play pivotal roles in malaria prevention strategies aimed at protecting children under five, a demographic particularly vulnerable to the severe consequences of the disease. This review highlights that while both interventions are effective in reducing malaria transmission, their efficacy can vary based on local contexts, including factors such as insecticide resistance, socio-economic conditions, and the level of community engagement in malaria control efforts. ITNs offer the advantages of providing continuous protection throughout the night, being relatively easy to distribute and use, and facilitating community-wide benefits through mass distribution campaigns. They are especially effective in regions with high mosquito activity at night. Conversely, IRS presents immediate protective effects and can significantly reduce indoor mosquito populations, but its effectiveness is often contingent upon timely and consistent application and may face challenges with public acceptance and maintenance. As the fight against malaria continues, understanding the complementary nature of ITNs and IRS is essential. Integrated approaches that combine both strategies may yield the best results, especially in areas with varying transmission dynamics and insecticide resistance patterns. Furthermore, public health policies must emphasize the need for ongoing monitoring and evaluation of both interventions to adapt to emerging challenges, including resistance management and maintaining high coverage rates. In light of the complexity of malaria transmission dynamics, future research should focus on optimizing the deployment of ITNs and IRS, exploring innovative combinations of these interventions, and enhancing community participation in malaria prevention efforts. Ultimately, the goal remains clear: to reduce the incidence of malaria among children under five and improve health outcomes in populations at the highest risk.

#### REFERENCES

1. Egwu, C.O., Alope, C., Chukwu, J., Agwu, A., Alum, E., Tsamesidis, I., Aja, P.M., Ofor, C.E., Obasi, N.A.: A world free of malaria: It is time for Africa to actively champion and take leadership of elimination and eradication strategies. *Afr Health Sci.* 22, 627–640 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v22i4.68>
2. Anjorin, S., Okolie, E., Yaya, S.: Malaria profile and socioeconomic predictors among under-five children: an analysis of 11 sub-Saharan African countries. *Malar J.* 22, 55 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04484-8>
3. Alum, E., Ugwu, P.-C., Simeon, E., Uti, D., Alum, B., Extension, K.P.: Climate Variability and Malaria Transmission: Unraveling the Complex Relationship. *INOSR Scientific Research.* 11, 16–22 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRSR/2024/1.1.21622>
4. Thiongane, A., Ba, A., Ly, F., Ndongo, A.A., Boiro, D., Kéita, Y., Basse, I., Niang, B., Ly, I.D., Dieng, Y.J., Cissé, D.F., Seck, N., Thiam, L., Faye, P.M., Fall, A.L., Sylla, A., Ndiaye, O.: Descriptive and Analytical Study of Factors Associated with Mortality in Severe Malaria among Children in Dakar Emergency Departments from July to December 2022. *Open Journal of Pediatrics.* 13, 866–878 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojped.2023.136095>
5. Oumbouke, W.A.: A “lethal house lure” approach for the control of insecticide resistant African malaria vectors, <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/id/eprint/4663976/>, (2021)
6. Wangdi, K., Furuya-Kanamori, L., Clark, J., Barendregt, J.J., Gattton, M.L., Banwell, C., Kelly, G.C., Doi, S.A.R., Clements, A.C.A.: Comparative effectiveness of malaria prevention measures: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Parasites Vectors.* 11, 210 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2783-y>

7. Yeboah, A.: Comparative analysis of factors associated with insecticide-treated net utilization between rural and urban areas in Ghana: implication for malaria control and prevention, <https://bora.uib.no/bora-xmlui/handle/11250/3070427>, (2023)
8. Onyinyechi, O.M., Mohd Nazan, A.I.N., Ismail, S.: Effectiveness of health education interventions to improve malaria knowledge and insecticide-treated nets usage among populations of sub-Saharan Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Public Health.* 11, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1217052>
9. Prempeh, E.B.: Assessing the Effects of Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS) on Malaria Morbidity by Anglogold Malaria Control Programme in the Amansie Central District., <http://ir.ucc.edu.gh/jspui/handle/123456789/7000>, (2020)
10. Zhou, Y., Zhang, W.-X., Tembo, E., Xie, M.-Z., Zhang, S.-S., Wang, X.-R., Wei, T.-T., Feng, X., Zhang, Y.-L., Du, J., Liu, Y.-Q., Zhang, X., Cui, F., Lu, Q.-B.: Effectiveness of indoor residual spraying on malaria control: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty.* 11, 29–42 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-022-01005-8>
11. Ugwu, F.S.O.: Why the World Health Organization should reconsider long lasting insecticide nets (LLIN) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) in primary mosquito/malaria control in favour of house screening. *Bio-Research.* 21, 1789–1804 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.4314/br.v21i1.3>
12. Egharevba, H.O., Ekpenyong, M.I.: Ending the Epidemic of Malaria in Nigeria Towards Attaining SDG Target 3.3.3: A Systematic Review of the Progress in Intervention Coverage, <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=4792457>, (2024)
13. Githinji, B.: Preventing Malaria in Western Kenya through Educational Interventions and Treatment Combination of Indoor Residual Spraying with Insecticide Treated Mosquito Nets: An Integrated Review. Doctoral Dissertations and Projects. (2021)
14. Razzaque, M.A., Mahmud, A.R., Islam, M.S.: Examining the ability of Advanced Systems of Wireless Communication Enhanced by IRS Technology. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Informatics (IJEI).* 12, 266–280 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.52549/ijeei.v12i2.5496>
15. Yirsaw, A.N., Gebremariam, R.B., Getnet, W.A., Nigusie, A., Lakew, G., Getachew, E., Getachew, D., Tareke, A.A., Mihret, M.S.: Barriers of persistent long-lasting insecticidal nets utilization in Northwest Ethiopia: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health.* 24, 2828 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-20319-w>
16. Choi, L., Pryce, J., Richardson, M., Lutje, V., Walshe, D., Garner, P.: Guidelines for Malaria Vector Control, <https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/9789241550499/en/>
17. Mehta, J.: Optimizing Malaria Control in Nigeria: A Comprehensive Review of LLIN Effectiveness and Policy Frameworks. Capstone Experience. (2024)

**CITE AS: Katu Amina H. (2024) Evaluating the Efficacy of Insecticide-Treated Bed Nets versus Indoor Residual Spraying in Reducing Malaria Transmission among Children under Five. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH, 6(2):63-66.**