EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF MEDICINE AND MEDICAL SCIENCES
(EEJMMS) ISSN: 2992-4103
©EEJMMS Publications Volume 5 Issue 3 2024

The Impact of Environmental Pollutants on Public Health

Kato Jumba K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Environmental pollutants, both natural and man-made, have serious consequences for worldwide public health. Heavy metals, insecticides, and particulate matter are among the contaminants most commonly introduced by industrial operations, agriculture, and urbanization. Populations exposed to such pollutants are at risk for a wide range of health issues, including respiratory ailments, neurological abnormalities, and developmental delays. Children, the elderly, and people with pre-existing diseases are among the most vulnerable categories. Regulatory measures and public health policies are crucial for minimizing these effects, but gaps in research and comprehensive health evaluations continue. This study gives an overview of the various types of pollutants, their origins, and health implications, highlighting the importance of coordinated public health policies and future research directions in addressing the expanding public health crisis caused by environmental pollution.

Keywords: Environmental pollutants, Public health, Heavy metals, Pesticides, Particulate matter.

INTRODUCTION

Global environmental pollution is of major concern due to its potential effects on public health. Environmental agents interact not only with living organisms but also with the ecosystem as a whole. The atmosphere, terrestrial environment, and surface water represent some of the environmental media contaminated by pollutants that can adversely affect community health. From an epidemiological point of view, it is difficult to quantify people's individual exposure levels to environmental pollutants and to accurately elucidate how these factors contribute to public health and specific clinical expressions in defined human populations. It has been posited those extreme events resulting in the release of large amounts of xenobiotics into the environment impact public health, often with long-term consequences. These events can stimulate greater interest, research, and caution related to these environments, reducing the effects of pollution on public health [1, 2]. Environmental pollution is the impurification of the environmental components by both natural and anthropogenic sources. Over time, the term "pollution" has been broadened to describe all forms of contamination, regardless of the source. Sanitary epidemiology emerged as a scientific discipline during the second half of the 19th century as a result of water and air pollution created by inadequate living and working environments during the Industrial Revolution, particularly after the cholera epidemics of the 1800s in London. It dealt with the relationship between people, the environment, and disease, becoming the fundamental element of modern-day public health, once systems, functions, services, and structures had been developed. The worldwide response to the London incidences primarily changed the demographic, economic, social, and environmental sectors, transforming the first sanitary alarm into a series of legislative and preventive norms, measures, and actions. This review aims to provide a brief overview of this historical background while considering the anatomy of how humanity continues to deal with the complex issue of environmental pollution and public health. In doing so, it outlines the health policy responses to the cholera outbreaks in England and shows how they were directly influenced by the theories of medical geographers. The review concludes by examining policy implications for contemporary public health. In this political and cultural context, a number of researchers, especially medical geographers, were drawing from the historical analysis of social, economic, and disease linkages in order to inform sanitary policies and preventive measures [3, 4].

Common Types of Environmental Pollutants and Their Sources

Heavy metals, pesticides, and PM (suspended particulate matter and UFP, ultrafine particles) have attracted increasing attention due to their harmful influences on public health. Major types of heavy metals (Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Cd, Hg, and Pb) in the environment and their exposure sources can be found in guidelines for drinking water quality. According to recommendations, "pesticide" is a term used to refer to any substance or mixture of substances intended for preventing, destroying, or controlling any pest, and UFP is defined as particulate matter with a diameter less than 0.1 m. Health effects associated with these pollutants have been discussed previously. Detailed chemical characteristics of these pollutants are summarized in a global database on ambient air quality. In general, heavy metals mainly come from industrial activities, pesticides are principally used in agricultural practice, and PM is generated by urbanization and modern industrial society. People are exposed to these pollutants primarily through food, ingestion, or inhalation [5, 6]. Heavy metals, especially toxic heavy metals, can enter drinking water resources, tap water, natural water, and irrigation sources directly or indirectly. Pesticides can contaminate water, soil, and food during their application in commercial agriculture and cause excessive residues in crops and animals. During urbanization and globalization, the use of resources and the quantity of traffic have increased rapidly and changed people's ways of life, resulting in PM released from industry, vehicles, and fireworks becoming a major type of environmental pollutant in the atmosphere. In different regions, people who are exposed to different pollutants from distinctive sources are reported to experience diverse degrees of health impacts, both in the urban environment and rural environment. Socioeconomic factors and nutrition can affect an individual's susceptibility to these pollutants. Case studies have confirmed that there is considerable potential for urbanization and industrial activities to contaminate the urban environment, which poses a significant health risk to residents in various regions [7].

Health Effects of Environmental Pollutants on Different Population Groups

Environmental pollutants have diverse health effects that depend on various factors such as the pollutant's chemical structure, the route of exposure, and the health status of different groups within the population. People with pre-existing health conditions such as asthma, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases, the elderly, and children are likely to be most affected by low exposures because they have decreased physiological and immune function. As such, studies have shown a correlation between pollutants and health effects related to respiratory diseases as well as adverse developmental outcomes, such as neurological diseases and developmental disorders that affect children. Research has further associated a variety of health effects with environmental pollutants, from cancer to mental health effects. Acute exposure to higher pollution levels, such as particulate matter at 10 μm, is capable of causing respiratory disease and premature death in normally healthy people. When air pollution levels remain consistently high, chronic exposure is capable of impairing development and reducing growth and performance in children and young adults. Studies carried out in areas with high emission levels, such as close to chemical plants or in urban areas, have shown that exposure to heavy pollution impacts morbidity adversely. Disparities in exposure and susceptibility to environmental pollutants often occur along social determinants of health, such as race, poverty, or job. Populations with lower income are found to be more exposed to industrial waste and water pollution. In addition, these communities have a higher prevalence of chronic illness. Responding to the adverse effects air pollution has on public health means looking at certain groups that are more vulnerable to its effects. Populations in urban areas have higher exposure levels than other citizens. More specifically, children and the elderly tend to have a higher impact on health because they have weaker immune systems. Public health policy strategies can be an effective means of minimizing exposure and susceptibility to environmental pollutants, because prevention is often more effective at reducing risk to health than treatment after the fact. Education is key to improving public health. To understand the effect of environmental pollutants on the health of communities, it is necessary to consider co-exposures to different pollutants and assess the impact over the entire lifecycle. Integrating climate change and pollution policies would make them more cohesive, while objective data, public accountability, and environmental justice will improve governance on these issues [8, 9].

Regulatory Measures and Public Health Policies to Address Environmental Pollution

The objective of the present review is to summarize the existing scientific evidence on the adverse effects of environmental pollutants on public health. The most important pollutants were classified and their interactions with the human body were presented. In addition, regulations and public health policies were depicted with an emphasis on good practices and international experiences. The main questions are, therefore, public policies and national and international regulatory measures that can contribute to

environmental and human health protection. Environmental protection aims to provide and ensure the well-being of communities, indicates actions for preventing and remedying damages caused by harmful agents, seeks to create and manage goods, and provides products and services related to ecosystem integrity. In this sense, the existing socioeconomic development model requires modification and change, with a strong emphasis on the proper production and disposal of consumer goods. Specific attention should be paid to the residues generated in the production processes and consumer activities. It is necessary to establish the technical and legal conditions for the environmentally appropriate disposal of these wastes. The imagination of alternatives, with innovative characteristics that meet the criteria of biodegradability of products and processes that are not harmful to the environment, is desirable. In this sense, this discussion emerges with a revised text, focused on the current debate about environmental pollution that affects public health [5, 10].

Future Directions in Research and Mitigation Strategies

Researchers are urging basic and applied scientists in the fields of biology, chemistry, public health, and environmental science to identify the unexplored areas of research required to address the next wave of environmental pollutants and human health. In order to interrogate the relationships between chemical exposure and public health impact, specifically from mixtures and traditional chemicals, novel partnerships between the chemically focused researchers and the biologically focused researchers will ultimately be required. Fundamentally, biological preparedness against the onslaught of these new chemicals will require research investment in understanding the interactions between new chemicals and between new and old chemical groups in ecological and mammalian systems. Given the widespread use of potential conventional and new pollutants of emerging concern worldwide, methods for detecting them in both the natural and built environment were readily adopted in the assessment of the level of population exposure and to support the regulatory risk assessment process. Further development and application of non-targeted and suspect screening workflows for chemicals is warranted. It is similarly important to couple suspect workflows with biochemical tools for high throughput screening on the toxicity of resultant chemicals prior to structural validation. Potential adverse health effects, particularly on infant and fetal development, including maternal neurodevelopment specifically following bioaccumulation and placental exposure, are of particular concern as are potential impacts on wildlife. Clearly, these are areas that require further research to ascertain the directions and the speed at which research is needed, but compounds are also candidates for further monitoring and risk assessment. During the exploration process, the following were identified as gaps in knowledge: a lack of high-quality environmental data; a deficiency of region and population representative data; and broader extended health assessments in both lab-based and field environments. Specifically, targeted studies are needed to investigate the emerging pollutants on a scene- and community-specific basis to provide comprehensive target loads followed by in-depth health studies. More pointedly, longitudinal studies examining exposure, effects, and the contribution of the multiple risk factors are needed, following the children exposed to the emerging potential pollutants through gestation into their formative and teenage years [11, 12].

CONCLUSION

Environmental contaminants are a serious and continuous hazard to public health, disproportionately harming vulnerable groups. The health consequences, ranging from respiratory problems to developmental disorders, highlight the importance of enhancing regulatory frameworks and public health initiatives. While existing solutions try to limit exposure, the complexity of contaminants, particularly in increasingly urbanizing and industrialized areas, necessitates a more focused investigation. Future public health plans should promote both preventive measures and transdisciplinary research, with an emphasis on new contaminants and their long-term consequences on human health. Investing in cleaner technology, promoting environmental justice, and encouraging international collaboration will be critical in reducing the negative consequences of pollution and protecting global health.

REFERENCES

1. Manisalidis I, Stavropoulou E, Stavropoulos A, Bezirtzoglou E. Environmental and health impacts of air pollution: a review. Frontiers in public health. 2020 Feb 20;8:14. frontiersin.org
2. Siddiqua A, Hahladakis JN, Al-Attiya WA. An overview of the environmental pollution and health effects associated with waste landfilling and open dumping. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2022 Aug;29(39):58514-36. springer.com
3. Breilh J. Critical epidemiology and the people's health. Oxford University Press, USA; 2021 Jan 15.

4. Landrigan PJ, Fuller R. Global health and environmental pollution. International journal of public health. 2015 Nov;60:761-2.
5. Shetty SS, Deepthi D, Harshitha S, Sonkusare S, Naik PB, Madhyastha H. Environmental pollutants and their effects on human health. Heliyon. 2023 Sep 1;9(9). cell.com
6. Manwani S, Vanisree CR, Jaiman V, Awasthi KK, Yadav CS, Sankhla MS, Pandit PP, Awasthi G. Heavy metal contamination in vegetables and their toxic effects on human health. Sustainable Crop Production: Recent Advances. 2022 Jul 6;181. intechopen.com
7. Emmanuel UC, Chukwudi MI, Monday SS, Anthony AI. Human health risk assessment of heavy metals in drinking water sources in three senatorial districts of Anambra State, Nigeria. Toxicology reports. 2022 Jan 1;9:869-75.
8. Suzuki T, Hidaka T, Kumagai Y, Yamamoto M. Environmental pollutants and the immune response. Nature Immunology. 2020 Dec;21(12):1486-95.
9. Fuller R, Landrigan PJ, Balakrishnan K, Bathan G, Bose-O'Reilly S, Brauer M, Caravanos J, Chiles T, Cohen A, Corra L, Cropper M. Pollution and health: a progress update. The Lancet Planetary Health. 2022 Jun 1;6(6):e535-47. thelancet.com
10. Ukaogo PO, Ewuzie U, Onwuka CV. Environmental pollution: causes, effects, and the remedies. InMicroorganisms for sustainable environment and health 2020 Jan 1 (pp. 419-429). Elsevier. [HTML]
11. Jardine J, Walker K, Gurol-Urganci I, Webster K, Muller P, Hawdon J, Khalil A, Harris T, van der Meulen J. Adverse pregnancy outcomes attributable to socioeconomic and ethnic inequalities in England: a national cohort study. The Lancet. 2021 Nov 20;398(10314):1905-12. lshtm.ac.uk
12. Armengaud JB, Yzydorczyk C, Siddeek B, Peyter AC, Simeoni U. Intrauterine growth restriction: Clinical consequences on health and disease at adulthood. Reproductive Toxicology. 2021 Jan 1;99:168-76. sciencedirect.com

CITE AS: Kato Jumba K. (2024). The Impact of Environmental Pollutants on Public Health. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF MEDICINE AND MEDICAL SCIENCES, *5(3):33-36*