EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH
(EEJSAR) ISSN: 2992-4146
©EEJSAR Publications Volume 6 Issue 2 2024

The Impact of Artistic Representation on Patient Consent and Autonomy

Alberta Jeanne N.

School of Applied Health Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABST**RACT**

Informed consent is fundamental to respecting patient autonomy in healthcare, ensuring that patients make voluntary, well-informed decisions about their treatment. However, consent processes can be hindered by communication barriers, time constraints, and differences in understanding complex medical information. Artistic representations in healthcare ranging from visual arts and music to theater—have emerged as potential tools to enhance patient comprehension and emotional engagement with medical procedures. This paper examines how various forms of art in healthcare environments may influence patient consent and autonomy. It considers the psychological, communicative, and therapeutic impacts of art, proposing that art can support consent by fostering deeper understanding and empathy between patients and healthcare providers. Four theoretical frameworks psychological impact, communicative theory, therapeutic influence, and autonomy in healthcare are analyzed to assess the efficacy of artistic representations in supporting patient-centered consent. By synthesizing empirical studies on artistic strategies in clinical settings, this article highlights the importance of interdisciplinary approaches to patient autonomy and explores the ethical implications of integrating art into consent processes.

Keywords: Informed Consent, Patient Autonomy, Artistic Representation, Healthcare Communication, Patient-Centered Care.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding consent and its requirements in healthcare is a significant issue in the Western world, as are professional standards undergoing ongoing reform. Understanding the influence of professional regard for consent on attempts to increase patient agency via consent is important to this process. Informed consent has been a cornerstone of Western medical law and practice for over 50 years. Freedom from non-consented touch has been said to be the first and most fundamental human right. However, the extent to which patients understand the information supplied to them has been questioned. A significant number of women undergoing residential sterilization expressed regret about their decision. It has been estimated that only a portion of people undergoing arterial surgery have an operation that is coherent with their expressed preference [1, 2]. Given the recent interest in art as therapy, how might it be supposed that the kinds of art produced but not necessarily understood in the clinical context constitute an instance of professional disregard of consent? Three main ways of answering this question can be envisaged. One can argue from a psychological or pedagogical point of view that art galleries are full of images of the disfigured, scarred, and misshapen from the minds of those who view them to discourage certain desires and cultivate others such that when, for instance, illness or injury befall them, they approach treatment in the way they might obtain informed consent, should consent be ethically required. On an entirely different tack, in applying a communicative theory of art, art can be seen as making the point to us by way of indirect suggestion that we need not make the point ourselves. Lastly, one can arrive at the possibility of portraying psychiatric patients independently of therapeutic goals by a kind of reverse engineering from a certain position: acts of communication outside medical settings could be seen

as inherently healing given properly recognizing acts of psychiatric intervention as involving agency-stripping [3, 4].

Understanding Patient Consent and Autonomy

In the healthcare context, the fundamental obligations of the ethical principle of respect for persons are given practical expression in the need to investigate and then respect patient consent and decision-making. The concepts of respect for autonomy and respect for persons recognize that patients have the right to express views concerning their own treatments and that those views should be taken as reasons for the therapist to provide or withhold treatments. The central ethical principal grounding recognition of the need for patient consent and the requirement to ensure that the consent given is genuinely informed is that of respect for persons. In the clinical context, respect for persons is grounded in the four principles of medical ethics: justice, beneficence, non-maleficence, and autonomy. The principle of autonomy can be distilled into its core idea: the best interests of the patient. The development of the concept of autonomy in healthcare is based on the fundamental idea that the best interests of patients will be served if the ethical ideal of individual informed consent is considered to be paramount [5, 6]. Legal frameworks are designed to uphold basic ethical and clinical standards. It is for these reasons that informed consent is sometimes thought of as an end in itself. The process of the institutional framework focusing on the necessity for healthcare decisions to be made jointly by healthcare practitioners and patients sees the relationship between patients and healthcare providers in terms of values, trust, and shared beliefs. If trust is to be maintained, then it must be informed by genuine communication. Consent is about communication and depends on communication. The focus on communication as a value in healthcare consultation has increasingly driven relationships with patients in a direction that reflects the view that patient autonomy is crucial. Value is placed on patient participation and self-determination that promote shared-value negotiation. There are many different models of consent, but in practice, the two most important are, perhaps, explicit consent and implicit consent. Consent is generally seen as the gold standard of effective patient autonomy. It is necessary to convey information through a process, but clearly, not all information is of equal value to patients and their caregivers, so it is important to be able to distinguish between core, intermediate, and non-core information [7, 8]. Moreover, there are many barriers to genuine informed consent. For instance, obtaining genuine informed consent from intellectually disabled individuals can be difficult. Consent must be freely obtained, and this cannot be realized in an individual who is unable to weigh up some pros and cons. Similarly, someone who has a limited time and has to rush through an apparently free consent process is in a position of potentially limited autonomy. Death and dying: existential angst can also get in the way of true autonomy. It is also vital that professionals are, by way of ethical congruence, culturally competent. This is borne out in a patient survey that explored the role of a physician's cultural adjustment. Results indicated that when the patient thought that their physician was familiar with their ethnic background, communication with them was rated significantly better than in other groups [9, 10].

Artistic Representation in Healthcare Settings

Artistic representation in various forms is a common feature of healthcare settings. Representations may serve aims such as improving the emotional experience of patients, enhancing their understanding of technical and ethical issues, and providing opportunities for emotional and existential healing. Examples of art forms that are encountered in healthcare settings include visual arts, sound-based arts, and performance. Each form of art has specific affordances [11, 12]. Visual arts can depict the texture of embodied experience and provide opportunities to challenge or expand normative narratives and scripts. An illustrative patient-informed example is medical images that illustrate various aspects of a foreign body that was retained in a patient's lower leg by the attending surgeon without first seeking the patient's permission. The images are combined with sounds that act as the patient's voice in the exhibition and research. Music, for example, allows a composer to convey information about bodily sensations to performers, and through them to the audience. Sound can also be used to induce specific bodily responses; for example, an increase in heart rate may be induced to increase bodily alertness in patients while suppressing their pain. Within the hospital, music performances and broadcasts are often envisaged as therapeutic for patients. Traditional and modern theater and dance seek to reproduce or embody the texture and rhythm of embodied experience, and to offer individual and possibly collective catharsis. There is a great variety of theatrical forms in healthcare settings, such as old-fashioned printed theater in hospital air ventilation systems, and more contemporary performances based on artistic and patient-collected experiences of trauma. As befits the diversity of the art forms, there are many different aims and,

some would stress, some risks, attached to their provision. Representation need not be artistic, and not all art is representational, but the line between artistic and non-artistic representation can be somewhat blurred. Art provides new ways of knowing as well as enabling the co-creation of understanding. In these ways, personalized artistic representations can, on occasion and in some respects, be part of an ethics of respect for patient autonomy and, hence, informed consent. Art as representation has temporal elements, such as length, and a patient may recognize other patients, staff, or events depicted in art. The engagement might produce allergic reactions, and support or destroy therapeutic outcomes [13, 14].

Theoretical Frameworks for Analyzing Impact

Four theoretical frameworks have been used to analyze how art might impact healthcare, consent, and compliance. These theories draw from several disciplines including psychology, sociology, and ethics. I show that these theories articulate specific dimensions of art that are thought to influence individuals about their health and their experience of medical treatment [15, 16]. In recent years, research focusing on both health and art has become more common; however, it remains largely exploratory. Thus far, two broad types of research have emerged that speak to the theory and practice of the use of art in healthcare. One, led by artists or those with experience in curating the use of art in medical contexts, has focused on creating practical guides and handbooks about art in healthcare. The aim of this work is twofold. On the one hand, they create a visual understanding of the parameters of the policy in varying patient or public contexts, and on the other, they act as a 'catalyst for change' where staff and patients use the tools provided to foster a discussion around emotional experiences in health settings. More recently, critics have called for empirical work in art in healthcare while, at the same time, attempting to locate a cross-disciplinary theory and practice of what art in healthcare ought to be. Given the specificity of consent in health and healthcare, this article adds to the emerging interest in the disciplinary area of what art can do in healthcare [17, 18]. The article discusses four theoretical areas that might be considered when looking at the influence and impact of art on healthcare and the sustainability of funding in such ventures. It is in this space that the two areas of healthcare and the arts meet in a consensus where the 'art-fiction' of healthcare simultaneously attests to both the utility and gratuitous nature of the 'impact' of art. It is within these seemingly paradoxical relations of intended and unintended consequences – artistic, healthcare, economic, and ethical that how the debates around the utility of art and art's porosity are impinged. It points to the due care and need for an empirical assessment, or at the very least a questioning of practice. The theoretical groundwork, indicated above, needs to be subjected to patient reporting and patient understanding of rights. If this first phase is positive, then no longer can it be argued that narrative is anti-science since experiencing less representation is what shrouds us in our unknowing. It does not follow, however, that argumentatively there is just one solution to answer a perceived lack. It is possible to explore an argument that pelvic health art is not so 'inert'; it demands us to question further the role of experience when our knowing isn't done. Nonetheless, the central issues at stake are empirical and remain the research question of future work [19, 20].

Empirical Studies on The Effects of Artistic Representation on Patient Consent and Autonomy

International research has started to investigate how artistic strategies can improve patient consent and autonomy. The strategy of this research uses findings in philosophical and bioethical literature about the positive effects of art and artists working in healthcare settings. Unlike more theoretical or conceptual works, these projects focus on research into the effects of various art interventions in everyday healthcare settings. With the visitors to a large children's hospital, artists have been instrumental in aiding doctors by creating metaphors and visual renditions of complex medical conditions. Improvements in patient surveys and patient understanding of risk have been demonstrated with the use of extended film clips in a consent process for neurogenetic testing for autism patients [21, 22]. While useful, these small-scale projects have possible biases and practical complexities. Firstly, several relied on research methods that are subject to selection bias. Secondly, many projects took place under an existing art health program, leading to additional complications in drawing a contrast between the same study with or without an intervention. A common limitation across these empirical studies is the restricted scope of interventions, as most research has been performed under American healthcare systems. In other areas, artistic strategies to increase consent in healthcare analysis are beginning to be studied, such as improved enrollment and retention in clinical trials. Future empirical work in this area would provide a clearer understanding of how practical artistic interventions could be used in a myriad of healthcare, survey-based, policy, and pharmaceutical settings. Ultimately, empirical-based strategies to diversify, increase

representation, and account for different learning requirements in healthcare consent processes must be developed to protect patient rights, autonomy, and interests in research participation [23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Artistic representation in healthcare offers a novel approach to enhancing patient consent and autonomy. By presenting medical concepts through visual arts, music, and performance, healthcare providers can engage patients in ways that go beyond traditional communication methods, potentially improving their understanding and emotional resilience. As empirical studies suggest, artistic interventions can bridge cultural and linguistic gaps, create a sense of connection, and empower patients by making complex medical information more accessible. While challenges remain, such as establishing standardized protocols and addressing biases, integrating art into patient consent processes aligns with ethical imperatives in healthcare. This interdisciplinary approach not only enriches the patient experience but also deepens the commitment to respecting patient autonomy. Future research should focus on expanding empirical studies across diverse healthcare settings to evaluate the impact of artistic interventions on informed consent outcomes more comprehensively. Ultimately, the strategic use of art in healthcare has the potential to transform consent practices, fostering a more inclusive and empathetic approach to patient care.

REFERENCES

1. Bragazzi NL, Mansour M, Bonsignore A, Ciliberti R. The role of hospital and community pharmacists in the management of COVID-19: towards an expanded definition of the roles, responsibilities, and duties of the pharmacist. Pharmacy. 2020 Aug 7;8(3):140.
2. Chatterjee K, Das NK. Informed consent in biomedical research: Scopes and challenges. Indian Dermatology Online Journal. 2021 Jul 1;12(4):529-35.
3. Prinsen L. Reconsidering consent for biomedical research using human biological material and associated data. South African Journal of Science. 2023 Dec;119(11-12):1-4.
4. Malliaras P, Merolli M, Williams CM, Caneiro JP, Haines T, Barton C. 'It's not hands-on therapy, so it's very limited': telehealth use and views among allied health clinicians during the coronavirus pandemic. Musculoskeletal Science and Practice. 2021 Apr 1;52:102340. nih.gov
5. Knights F, Carter J, Deal A, Crawshaw AF, Hayward SE, Jones L, Hargreaves S. Impact of COVID-19 on migrants' access to primary care and implications for vaccine roll-out: a national qualitative study. British Journal of General Practice. 2021 Aug 1;71(709):e583-95. bjgp.org
6. Poth A, Penger S, Knebel M, Müller T, Pantel J, Oswald F, Haberstroh J. Empowering patients with dementia to make legally effective decisions: A randomized controlled trial on enhancing capacity to consent to treatment. Aging & Mental Health. 2023 Feb 1;27(2):292-300.
7. Woollard L, Gorman R, Rosenfelt DJ. Improving patient informed consent for haemophilia gene therapy: the case for change. Therapeutic Advances in Rare Disease. 2021 Sep;2:26330040211047244.
8. Mateos-Sanchez M, Melo AC, Blanco LS, García AM. Chatbot, as educational and inclusive tool for people with intellectual disabilities. Sustainability. 2022 Jan 28;14(3):1520.
9. Agaronnik N, Pendo E, Lagu T, DeJong C, Perez-Caraballo A, Iezzoni LI. Ensuring the reproductive rights of women with intellectual disability. Journal of intellectual & developmental disability. 2020 Oct 1;45(4):365-76. nih.gov
10. Mozumder MA, Sheeraz MM, Athar A, Aich S, Kim HC. Overview: Technology roadmap of the future trend of metaverse based on IoT, blockchain, AI technique, and medical domain metaverse activity. In2022 24th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT) 2022 Feb 13 (pp. 256-261). IEEE. academia.edu
11. Albahri AS, Alwan JK, Taha ZK, Ismail SF, Hamid RA, Zaidan AA, Albahri OS, Zaidan BB, Alamoodi AH, Alsalem MA. IoT-based telemedicine for disease prevention and health promotion: State-of-the-Art. Journal of Network and Computer Applications. 2021 Jan 1;173:102873.
12. Hendriks M, Willemsen MC, Sartor F, Hoonhout J. Respecting Human Autonomy in Critical Care Clinical Decision Support. Frontiers in Computer Science. 2021 Aug 16;3:690576.
13. Van Daal M, De Kanter AF, Bredenoord AL, De Graeff N. Personalized 3D printed scaffolds: the ethical aspects. New biotechnology. 2023 Dec 25;78:116-22. sciencedirect.com
14. Arabsorkhi A, Khazaei E. Blockchain Technology and GDPR Compliance: A Comprehensive Applicability Model. International Journal of Web Research. 2024 Apr 1;7(2):49-63.

15. Cozzoli N, Salvatore FP, Faccilongo N, Milone M. How can big data analytics be used for healthcare organization management? Literary framework and future research from a systematic review. BMC health services research. 2022 Jun 22;22(1):809. springer.com
16. de Wit M, Trief PM, Huber JW, Willaing I. State of the art: understanding and integration of the social context in diabetes care. Diabetic Medicine. 2020 Mar;37(3):473-82.
17. Giannì J, Crepaldi M, Fusi G, Colombi F, Brugnera A, Greco A, Compare A, Rusconi ML. A State-of-the-Art Review on the Role of Cognitive and Motor Reserve on Quality of Life: A Focus on Cardiovascular Patients in a Lifespan Perspective. Geriatrics. 2024 May 9;9(3):59. mdpi.com
18. Baird A, Maruping LM. The Next Generation of Research on IS Use: A Theoretical Framework of Delegation to and from Agentic IS Artifacts. MIS quarterly. 2021 Mar 1;45(1).
19. Hickmann E, Richter P, Schlieter H. All together now–patient engagement, patient empowerment, and associated terms in personal healthcare. BMC health services research. 2022 Sep 2;22(1):1116.
20. Arif H, Kumar A, Fahad M, Hussain HK. Future Horizons: AI-Enhanced Threat Detection in Cloud Environments: Unveiling Opportunities for Research. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts. 2024 Jan 15;3(1):242-51. itscience.org
21. Zalat MM, Hamed MS, Bolbol SA. The experiences, challenges, and acceptance of e-learning as a tool for teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic among university medical staff. PloS one. 2021 Mar 26;16(3):e0248758.
22. Al-Tabbaa O. Nonprofit-business collaboration: An empirical-based framework for value creation. Australian Journal of Management. 2023 Aug 30:03128962231201525.
23. Vasylieva T, Witczak-Smolnik W, Tiutiunyk I, Filep B. Social and economic determinants of quality of life: Case of European countries. Economics & Sociology. 2023 Oct 1;16(4):289-308.

CITE AS: Alberta Jeanne N. (2024). The Impact of Artistic Representation on Patient Consent and Autonomy. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 6 (2):37-41.