

The Impact of a Low-Carbohydrate Diet Versus Standard Dietary Intake on Glycemic Variability and Quality of Life Among Adolescents with Type 1 Diabetes Over Three Months

Musimenta Allen

Department of Nursing Kampala International University Uganda

Email: musimenta@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is a chronic condition requiring effective management strategies to achieve glycemic stability and enhance quality of life (QoL). Adolescents with T1DM face unique challenges due to physiological and psychosocial factors, making dietary interventions crucial for optimizing outcomes. This review compared the effects of a low-carbohydrate diet (LCD) versus standard dietary intake (SDI) on glycemic variability and QoL among adolescents with T1DM over three months. Using a narrative review methodology, the article synthesized current evidence, focusing on dietary impacts on glycemic control and psychosocial well-being. LCDs, characterized by reduced carbohydrate intake, minimize postprandial glucose excursions, leading to improved glycemic stability, as indicated by metrics such as time-in-range (TIR). However, LCD adherence may be hindered by nutritional challenges and social constraints. Conversely, SDI allows greater flexibility and dietary satisfaction but often results in wider glycemic fluctuations due to the complexity of carbohydrate management. QoL outcomes show a dichotomy: LCDs reduce diabetes-related distress but may limit social interactions, while SDI promotes dietary flexibility yet risks increased glycemic variability. The review highlighted the importance of individualized dietary strategies, multidisciplinary support, and technological integration to optimize both glycemic control and QoL. Further research is needed to refine dietary recommendations and improve accessibility to effective interventions for adolescents with T1DM.

Keywords: Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM), Low-Carbohydrate Diet (LCD), Standard Dietary Intake (SDI), Glycemic Variability, Quality of Life (QoL).

INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is a chronic autoimmune disorder characterized by the destruction of pancreatic beta cells, resulting in absolute insulin deficiency [1-3]. Effective management of T1DM requires a careful balance of insulin therapy, dietary control, and physical activity to maintain glycemic stability and prevent acute and long-term complications [4-6]. Adolescents with T1DM face unique challenges due to physiological changes during puberty, psychosocial stressors, and lifestyle factors that may exacerbate glycemic variability. Dietary interventions, particularly low-carbohydrate diets, have gained attention as potential strategies for improving glycemic control and quality of life (QoL) in this population [6-8].

Low-carbohydrate diets (LCDs) are characterized by reduced intake of carbohydrates, typically below 20% of total daily caloric intake, and an increased proportion of fat and protein [4, 5]. This dietary

approach aims to minimize postprandial glucose excursions and reduce the insulin requirement. In contrast, standard dietary intake (SDI) aligns with general dietary guidelines that include moderate carbohydrate consumption, often constituting 45-60% of daily caloric intake [6]. Both approaches have their advocates, but evidence comparing their impact on glycemic variability and QoL in adolescents with T1DM remains limited.

This review evaluates the comparative impact of an LCD and SDI on glycemic variability and QoL in adolescents with T1DM over three months. By analyzing available evidence and identifying key trends, this review aims to provide insights that can inform clinical practice and dietary recommendations [9-11].

Mechanisms of Dietary Impact on Glycemic Variability

Glycemic variability refers to fluctuations in blood glucose levels, encompassing hyperglycemia,

hypoglycemia, and glucose excursions [7, 8]. It is a critical determinant of overall glycemic control and a risk factor for diabetes-related complications, including cardiovascular disease and neuropathy. Dietary composition significantly influences glycemic variability by modulating the postprandial glucose response and insulin sensitivity [12-15]. LCDs reduce the dietary intake of carbohydrates, thereby minimizing the magnitude of postprandial glucose rises [9-16]. This effect can simplify insulin dosing and decrease the likelihood of miscalculations that lead to hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia. Additionally, the higher fat and protein content in LCDs can slow gastric emptying and reduce glucose absorption rates, contributing to more stable glucose profiles. However, high-fat diets can lead to delayed postprandial hyperglycemia due to gluconeogenesis and increased insulin resistance, highlighting the need for individualized dietary adjustments [17-19]. In contrast, SDI provides a balanced macronutrient distribution that supports overall health and energy needs. The inclusion of moderate carbohydrate levels requires precise insulin dosing to match carbohydrate intake, which can be challenging for adolescents due to variability in activity levels and insulin sensitivity. While SDI allows greater dietary flexibility and adherence to cultural or personal food preferences, it may result in greater glycemic variability compared to LCD [20-24].

Comparative Effects on Glycemic Variability

Several studies have explored the effects of LCD and SDI on glycemic variability in individuals with T1DM, including adolescents. Emerging evidence suggests that LCDs can reduce glycemic variability by lowering the frequency and amplitude of glucose excursions [10]. Metrics such as time-in-range (TIR), standard deviation (SD) of blood glucose levels, and coefficient of variation (CV) are commonly used to assess glycemic variability in these studies [24-26].

Adolescents following an LCD often report higher TIR and lower SD compared to those adhering to SDI. Improved TIR indicates a greater proportion of time spent within the target glucose range, which is associated with reduced risk of diabetes complications and better overall control [11]. However, the benefits of LCDs must be weighed against potential risks, including hypoglycemia during periods of physical activity and the possibility of nutritional deficiencies if the diet is not well-structured.

On the other hand, SDI may result in wider glucose fluctuations due to its reliance on carbohydrate-rich foods, which require precise insulin dosing. Despite this limitation, SDI can be effective for adolescents who have strong support systems and access to continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) devices that facilitate real-time insulin adjustments. The success of SDI in managing glycemic variability largely

depends on the individual's ability to adhere to structured meal plans and adjust insulin doses accordingly.

Impact On Quality Of Life

QoL encompasses physical, emotional, and social well-being, and is a vital consideration in the management of adolescents with T1DM [12]. Dietary interventions can influence QoL through their effects on glycemic control, dietary satisfaction, and social interactions.

Adolescents following an LCD often report improved QoL due to fewer episodes of hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia, which can alleviate physical symptoms and reduce diabetes-related distress [13]. The perceived simplicity of LCDs, with fewer required insulin adjustments, may also enhance confidence in self-management. However, the restrictive nature of LCDs can pose challenges, particularly in social settings where carbohydrate-rich foods are common. Feelings of isolation or frustration may arise from the need to abstain from certain foods, potentially impacting emotional well-being.

In contrast, SDI allows greater dietary flexibility and may align more closely with cultural and familial eating patterns. Adolescents adhering to SDI often report higher satisfaction with their diet, which can positively influence emotional and social aspects of QoL. However, the increased glycemic variability associated with SDI may contribute to physical symptoms and anxiety about glucose control, potentially offsetting these benefits. Structured education and support are crucial to help adolescents optimize their QoL while adhering to SDI.

Challenges and Limitations of Dietary Interventions

Both LCD and SDI face practical and psychosocial challenges that can influence their effectiveness and feasibility. For LCDs, the restrictive nature of the diet requires careful meal planning and education to ensure adequate intake of essential nutrients, including fiber, vitamins, and minerals [14, 15]. Adolescents may also encounter difficulties maintaining adherence in social or cultural contexts where carbohydrate-rich foods are predominant.

Cost and accessibility can further limit the feasibility of LCDs. High-protein and low-carbohydrate foods are often more expensive than carbohydrate-rich alternatives, posing a financial burden for some families. Additionally, the long-term effects of LCDs on growth, development, and cardiovascular health in adolescents remain unclear, necessitating cautious implementation [20-24].

SDI, while more flexible, requires meticulous insulin management to match carbohydrate intake. Adolescents may struggle with the complexity of insulin dosing, particularly during periods of variable activity or illness. The reliance on carbohydrate counting and frequent glucose

monitoring can also be burdensome, impacting adherence and QoL. Furthermore, the potential for greater glycemic variability with SDI underscores the need for advanced technologies, such as CGM, to support effective self-management [25-26].

Future Directions And Research Needs

To optimize dietary interventions for adolescents with T1DM, further research is needed to address the gaps in knowledge and develop evidence-based recommendations. Comparative studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods are essential to evaluate the long-term effects of LCD and SDI on glycemic variability, QoL, and overall health outcomes [16]. Additionally, research should explore the impact of individualized dietary approaches that consider genetic, metabolic, and psychosocial factors unique to each adolescent.

CONCLUSION

Comparing the impact of LCD and SDI on glycemic variability and QoL highlights the nuanced benefits and limitations of each approach. LCDs offer the potential for improved glycemic stability and reduced diabetes-related distress but may pose challenges related to dietary adherence and nutritional balance. SDI, while more flexible and culturally adaptable, requires precise insulin management and may result in greater glycemic variability. The choice between LCD and SDI

The integration of advanced technologies, such as CGM and automated insulin delivery systems, into dietary interventions represents a promising avenue for future research [17]. These technologies can enhance the effectiveness of both LCD and SDI by providing real-time feedback and reducing the burden of self-management. Investigating the role of digital tools and mobile health applications in supporting dietary adherence and education is another important area for exploration.

Finally, efforts to improve access and equity in dietary interventions are critical. Expanding access to affordable low-carbohydrate foods and providing culturally sensitive dietary education can help bridge disparities and ensure that all adolescents with T1DM can benefit from effective dietary strategies.

should be guided by the individual needs, preferences, and circumstances of adolescents with T1DM. Multidisciplinary support, including dietary education, psychological counseling, and technological tools, is essential to optimize outcomes and enhance QoL. By advancing research and addressing practical barriers, healthcare providers can empower adolescents with T1DM to achieve better glycemic control and live healthier, more fulfilling lives.

REFERENCES

1. Alum, E. U., Ugwu, O. P. C., Obeagu, E. I., Aja, P. M., Ugwu, C. N., Okon, M.B. Nutritional Care in Diabetes Mellitus: A Comprehensive Guide. *International Journal of Innovative and Applied Research*. 2023; 11(12):16-25. Article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.58538/IJIAR/2057>
2. Aja, P. M., Ani, O. G., Offor, C. E., Orji, U. O., & Alum, E. U. (2015). Evaluation of anti-diabetic effect and liver enzymes activity of ethanol extract of *Pterocarpus santalinoides* in alloxan induced diabetic albino rats. *Global Journal of Biotechnology & Biochemistry*, 10(2), 77-83.
3. Vallianou, N.G., Stratigou, T., Geladari, E., Tessier, C.M., Mantzoros, C.S., Dalamaga, M.: Diabetes type 1: Can it be treated as an autoimmune disorder? *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*. 22, 859–876 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-021-09642-4>
4. Zhao, Y., Li, Y., Wang, W., Song, Z., Zhuang, Z., Li, D., Qi, L., Huang, T.: Low-carbohydrate diets, low-fat diets, and mortality in middle-aged and older people: A prospective cohort study. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 294, 203–215(2023). <https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13639>
5. Churuangasuk, C., Lean, M.E.J., Combet, E.: Low and reduced carbohydrate diets: challenges and opportunities for type 2 diabetes management and prevention. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*. 79, 498–513(2020). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665120000105>
6. Gitsi, E., Livadas, S., Argyrakopoulou, G.: Nutritional and exercise interventions to improve conception in women suffering from obesity and distinct nosological entities. *Front. Endocrinol*. 15,(2024). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2024.1426542>
7. Lazar, S., Ionita, I., Reurean-Pintilei, D., Timar, B.: How to Measure Glycemic Variability? A Literature Review. *Medicina*. 60, 61 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina60010061>
8. Ajjan, R.A.: The clinical importance of measuring glycaemic variability: Utilising new metrics to optimise glycaemic control. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*. 26, 3–16 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.16098>
9. Morshedzadeh, N., Ahmadi, A.R., Tahmasebi, R., Tavasolian, R., Heshmati, J., Rahimlou, M.: Impact of low-carbohydrate diet on serum levels of leptin and adiponectin levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis in adult. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*. 21, 979–990 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40200-021-00952-7>
10. Friedman, J.G., Cardona Matos, Z., Szmilowicz, E.D., Aleppo, G.: Use of

- Continuous Glucose Monitors to Manage Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: Progress, Challenges, and Recommendations. *Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine*. 16, 263–276 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.2147/PGPM.S374663>
11. Kalra, S., Shaikh, S., Priya, G., Baruah, M.P., Verma, A., Das, A.K., Shah, M., Das, S., Khandelwal, D., Sanyal, D., Ghosh, S., Saboo, B., Bantwal, G., Ayyagari, U., Gardner, D., Jimeno, C., Barbary, N.E., Hafidh, K.A., Bhattarai, J., Minulj, T.T., Zufry, H., Bulughapitiya, U., Murad, M., Tan, A., Shahjada, S., Bello, M.B., Katulanda, P., Podgorski, G., AbuHelaia, W.I., Tan, R., Latheef, A., Govender, S., Assaad-Khalil, S.H., Kootin-Sanwu, C., Joshi, A., Pathan, F., Nkansah, D.A.: Individualizing Time-in-Range Goals in Management of Diabetes Mellitus and Role of Insulin: Clinical Insights From a Multinational Panel. *Diabetes Ther*. 12,465–485(2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-020-00973-0>
12. Núñez-Baila, M.-Á., Gómez-Aragón, A., Marques-Silva, A.-M., González-López, J.R.: Exploring Determinants of Health-Related Quality of Life in Emerging Adults with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. *Nutrients*. 16, 2059 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16132059>
13. Lora, A.L.M., Espíndola, M.E., Paz, M.B., Díaz, J.M.M., Klünder, M.K.: Diabetes in Children and Adolescents. In: Rodriguez-Saldana, J. (ed.) *The Diabetes Textbook: Clinical Principles, Patient Management and Public Health Issues*. pp. 1063–1094. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2023)
14. Canello, R., Lucchetti, E., Gobbi, M., Brunani, A.: Nutrition and Exercise. In: Capodaglio, P. (ed.) *Rehabilitation interventions in the patient with obesity*. pp. 51–69. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2020)
15. Pavlidou, E., Papadopoulou, S.K., Fasoulas, A., Papaliagkas, V., Alexatou, O., Chatzidimitriou, M., Mentzelou, M., Giaginis, C.: Diabetes and Dietary Interventions: Evaluating the Impact of Mediterranean Diet and Other Types of Diets on Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes Management. *Nutrients*. 16, 34 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16010034>
16. Parrott, J.M., Benson-Davies, S., O’Kane, M., Sherf-Dagan, S., Ben-Porat, T., Arcone, V.M., Faria, S.L., Parrott, J.S.: Show me the evidence to guide nutrition practice: Scoping review of macronutrient dietary treatments after metabolic and bariatric surgery. *Obesity Reviews*. 25, e13831 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.13831>
17. Gonçalves, H., Silva, F., Rodrigues, C., Godinho, A.: Navigating the Digital Landscape of Diabetes Care: Current State of the Art and Future Directions. *Procedia Computer Science*. 237,336–343(2024). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.05.11>
18. Aja PM, IO Igwenyi, PU Okechukwu, OU Orji, EU Alum. Evaluation of anti-diabetic effect and liver function indices of ethanol extracts of *Moringa oleifera* and *Cajanus cajan* leaves in alloxan induced diabetic albino rats *Global Veterinaria* 14(3) 439–447 (2015).
19. Offor CE, OPC Ugwu, EU Alum. The anti-diabetic effect of ethanol leaf-extract of *Allium sativum* on Albino rats. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Medical Sciences*, 4, (1), 01-03 (2014).
20. Enechi OC, H Ikenna Oluka, PC Okechukwu Ugwu. Acute toxicity, lipid peroxidation and ameliorative properties of *Alstonia boonei* ethanol leaf extract on the kidney markers of alloxan induced diabetic rats. *African journal of biotechnology*, 13, 5 (2014).
21. Adonu CC, OP Ugwu, A Bawa, EC Ossai, AC Nwaka. Intrinsic blood coagulation studies in patients suffering from both diabetes and hypertension. *Int Journal of Pharmaceutical Medicine and Bio Science*, 2 (2), 36-45 (2013).
22. Okechukwu Paul-Chima Ugwu, Esther Ugo Alum, Michael Ben Okon, Patrick M Aja, Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu, EC Onyeneke Ethanol root extract and fractions of *Sphenocentrum jollyanum* abrogate hyperglycaemia and low body weight in streptozotocin-induced diabetic Wistar albino rats Oxford University Press 2(2) 10 (2023).
23. Mariam Oyediji Amusa and Adeyinka Olufemi Adepoju Okechukwu P. C. Ugwu, Esther Ugo Alum, Emmanuel I. Obeagu, Michael Ben Okon, Patrick M. Aja , Awotunde Oluwasegun Samson Effect of Ethanol leaf extract of *Chromolaena odorata* on lipid profile of streptozotocin induced diabetic wistar albino rats. *IAA Journal of Biological Sciences*, 10, (1), 109-117 (2023).
24. Alum EU, GU Umoru, DE Uti, PM Aja, OP Ugwu, OU Orji, BU Nwali, NN Ezeani, N Edwin, FO Orinya Hepato-Protective Effect Of Ethanol Leaf Extract Of *Datura Stramonium* In Alloxan-Induced Diabetic Albino Rats. *Journal of Chemical Society of Nigeria*, 47, 5 (2022).
25. Ugwu Okechukwu P.C. and Amasiorah V.I. The effects of the crude ethanol root extract and fractions of *Sphenocentrum jollyanum* on hematological indices and glycosylated haemoglobin of streptozotocin-induced diabetic. *INOSR Scientific Research*, 6, (1), 61-74 (2020).

26. Enechi OC, IH Oluka, OPC Ugwu, YS Omeh
Effect of ethanol leaf extract of *Alstonia boonei* on the lipid profile of alloxan induced diabetic rats. World Journal of Pharmacy and

Pharmaceutical Sciences (WJPPS), 2013, Vol. 2, No. 3, 782-795(2012).

**CITE AS: Musimenta Allen (2025). The Impact of a Low-Carbohydrate Diet Versus Standard Dietary Intake on Glycemic Variability and Quality of Life Among Adolescents with Type 1 Diabetes Over Three Months. INOSR APPLIED SCIENCES 13(1):6-10.
<https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRAS/2025/13.1.610000>**