INOSR Scientific Research 12(1):32-38, 2025. **ISSN: 2705-1706**
©INOSR PUBLICATIONS **INOSRSR121.3238**
International Network Organization for Scientific Research
https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRSR/2025/12.1.323800

Addressing Inequalities in Malaria Research Funding: Insights from Rural Uganda

Bwensiyo Twebaza H.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Malaria remains a major public health issue in Uganda, with rural areas experiencing the highest disease burden. However, disparities in malaria research funding contribute to inequities in addressing the disease, particularly in underserved rural communities. This review explores the inequalities in the allocation of malaria research funding in Uganda, examining the origins and impacts of these disparities and proposing potential solutions. Key issues highlighted include the urban-centric focus of research priorities, the challenges faced by local researchers, and the need for equitable investment in rural malaria research. The review emphasizes the importance of locally-driven, context-specific interventions, and calls for a rethinking of global and national funding frameworks to ensure that rural communities receive adequate support for malaria prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. Addressing these funding disparities is crucial for improving malaria outcomes and promoting health equity across Uganda.

Keywords: Malaria, Research Funding, Rural Uganda, Health Inequities, Public Health.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria remains one of the most significant public health challenges in Uganda, contributing to high morbidity and mortality rates, particularly among vulnerable populations such as children under five and pregnant women [1]. The disease is caused by Plasmodium parasites transmitted through the bites of infected female Anopheles mosquitoes, with *Plasmodium falciparum* being the most prevalent species in Uganda. Uganda's tropical climate, abundant mosquito breeding sites, and socio-economic disparities exacerbate the malaria burden, especially in rural communities where access to healthcare and preventive measures is limited. This challenge persists despite ongoing global and national efforts aimed at reducing malaria transmission and its associated impacts [2].

Malaria's burden in Uganda is both widespread and deeply entrenched, making it a critical focus of health initiatives. The country accounts for a significant proportion of global malaria cases and deaths, positioning it among the highest malaria burden countries in sub-Saharan Africa [3]. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Uganda Ministry of Health have implemented numerous strategies to combat malaria, including the distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs), indoor residual spraying (IRS), and the scaling up of diagnostic and treatment services [4].

However, the distribution and efficacy of these interventions remain uneven. While urban centers benefit from better healthcare infrastructure, sustained funding, and access to information, rural and remote areas face persistent challenges. These include limited healthcare facilities, understaffed and under-resourced medical personnel, and socio-cultural barriers to seeking care. Additionally, environmental factors such as proximity to water bodies, agricultural practices, and deforestation further contribute to sustained malaria transmission in these regions [5]. Despite decades of interventions, malaria continues to strain the healthcare system, impede economic growth, and perpetuate cycles of poverty. A key challenge is the disparity in research funding and attention, with resources often directed toward high-profile international projects or urban-centric initiatives. As a result, rural communities—where the burden is heaviest—are left underrepresented in research and under-resourced in interventions [6]. This disconnect underscores the need for more inclusive and equitable approaches to malaria control, emphasizing localized and context-specific strategies. The persistence of malaria in Uganda, particularly in rural areas, highlights a critical gap in the fight against the disease [7]. While significant progress has been made globally to reduce malaria incidence,

rural communities in Uganda continue to bear the brunt of the disease due to systemic inequities. These inequities manifest in limited access to healthcare services, inadequate preventive measures, and insufficient allocation of resources for research and intervention in rural settings. The focus on urban areas and large-scale international initiatives has inadvertently marginalized the very communities that are most affected by malaria [8]. Furthermore, the socio-economic impacts of malaria in rural Uganda are profound. Frequent episodes of illness lead to loss of productivity, increased healthcare expenses, and a diminished quality of life [9]. Children are often unable to attend school due to repeated infections, perpetuating cycles of poverty and limited opportunity. Pregnant women face increased risks of complications, including maternal anemia, low birth weight, and neonatal deaths, which further burden families and healthcare systems. Existing malaria control strategies have not adequately addressed these challenges, partly due to limited data on the specific needs and conditions of rural communities [2]. Without targeted and equitable interventions, efforts to eliminate malaria in Uganda will remain incomplete and ineffective. Addressing these systemic gaps requires a deliberate focus on rural communities, where interventions can be tailored to the unique socio-cultural and environmental contexts [10].

The study aims to address the need for equitable malaria control strategies in Uganda by identifying and analyzing key socio-economic and environmental factors contributing to the high burden of malaria in rural areas. It evaluates the effectiveness of current malaria control interventions, explores barriers to accessing healthcare services for malaria prevention, diagnosis, and treatment in rural areas, and proposes localized and context-specific strategies for reducing malaria transmission and improving healthcare outcomes in underserved communities. The study holds significant importance in the broader context of public health, social equity, and sustainable development in Uganda. By focusing on rural communities, the research addresses a critical gap in the fight against malaria, ensuring that interventions are inclusive and impactful. The findings of this study have the potential to inform policy decisions, guide resource allocation, and improve the design and implementation of malaria control programs. Public health impacts include providing insights into effective strategies for reducing malaria transmission, improving health outcomes, and reducing the disease burden. Economic benefits include cost-effective solutions that minimize the financial burden on affected families, leading to increased productivity, reduced healthcare expenses, and enhanced economic stability in rural communities. Equity and inclusion are also important aspects of the study. By prioritizing the needs of rural communities, it contributes to a more inclusive approach to public health, ensuring no population is left behind in the fight against malaria. Policymakers, healthcare practitioners, and development partners can use the findings to advocate for more equitable resource allocation, increased funding for rural health initiatives, and the development of context-specific strategies. Malaria control is directly linked to several Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), including Goal 3 (Good Health and Well-being) and Goal 10 (Reduced Inequalities), aligning with global efforts to achieve these goals by addressing health disparities and promoting sustainable, community-driven solutions.

Malaria Burden in Rural Uganda

Malaria is a significant public health issue in rural Uganda, with high transmission rates globally. It disproportionately affects vulnerable groups, including children under five and pregnant women, who face severe health risks such as anemia, low birth weight, and increased mortality rates [11]. The burden of malaria in these areas is attributed to a combination of environmental, socioeconomic, and healthcare-related factors. Limited access to healthcare, inadequate vector control measures, socioeconomic challenges, and favorable climatic conditions contribute to the disease's burden. Long distances to clinics and poor transportation infrastructure hinder timely access to diagnosis and treatment. Inadequate vector control measures, such as insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS), are inconsistent in rural areas, and high levels of poverty limit households' ability to purchase ITNs or access preventive measures. Socioeconomic challenges, such as poverty and low levels of education, contribute to the malaria burden. Poor living conditions, agricultural practices, and favorable climatic conditions sustain mosquito breeding and malaria transmission [12]. Research and funding gaps in rural-focused malaria research limit understanding of specific challenges, such as insecticide resistance patterns, community compliance with prevention measures, and sociocultural factors influencing health-seeking behaviors. To address these issues, Uganda should improve healthcare access, enhance vector control programs, involve local leaders in malaria control initiatives, and increase research investment.

Disparities in Malaria Research Funding

The funding of malaria research is pivotal in driving innovations and interventions to combat this devastating disease [13]. However, significant disparities exist in how resources are allocated,

leading to gaps in addressing the needs of communities most affected by malaria. Below is an expanded discussion on the key areas of concern:

Global vs. Local Research Priorities: International funding agencies play a major role in shaping the focus of malaria research in Uganda. These agencies often prioritize large-scale, high-tech solutions, such as vaccine development, gene-editing technologies, or advanced diagnostic tools. While these innovations are critical, they may not address the immediate needs of rural communities [14]. For instance, rural areas often struggle with basic access to mosquito nets, proper drainage systems, and healthcare infrastructure to handle malaria cases. However, these localized and practical interventions are frequently overlooked in favor of projects with broader global appeal. This misalignment in priorities can result in interventions that are less impactful in regions where malaria burden is highest.

Urban Bias in Resource Allocation: Research institutions and laboratories in Uganda are predominantly concentrated in urban centers, such as Kampala and other large cities. This urban bias leads to a centralization of resources, expertise, and funding, creating a research gap that disproportionately affects rural areas [15]. Rural communities often face unique challenges, such as limited healthcare access, poor sanitation, and cultural practices that can influence malaria transmission and control. The lack of representation in research studies means that interventions developed in urban contexts may not be suitable or effective in rural settings. Furthermore, the geographical disparity hinders rural researchers' ability to collaborate or access the resources needed for locally-relevant studies.

Dependence on External Funding: Uganda's malaria research heavily relies on international donors and organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the Global Fund, and private philanthropic foundations [16]. While this funding is invaluable, the dependence on external sources creates constraints for local researchers. International donors often set research agendas based on their strategic interests, which may not align with Uganda's specific needs. Projects focusing on rural and community-specific solutions are often sidelined in favor of initiatives with higher visibility or global appeal. This dependence undermines local researchers' autonomy, leaving many promising rural-focused ideas unfunded or underdeveloped. Additionally, the focus on securing competitive international grants can divert attention from smaller-scale projects that have the potential to deliver immediate and sustainable results in high-burden areas. Addressing these disparities requires a balanced approach that considers both global innovation and local relevance. Empowering local researchers, decentralizing resources, and prioritizing community-specific needs in funding decisions are essential steps toward a more equitable and effective malaria research landscape in Uganda [7].

Barriers to Equitable Research Funding

Equitable research funding is crucial for ensuring that all regions, especially rural areas, benefit from development, innovation, and knowledge production. However, several barriers hinder access to equitable funding, particularly in rural Uganda, where these challenges are most pronounced. Addressing these barriers is essential for fostering inclusive research environments and promoting social and economic development across the country.

Lack of Local Capacity: One of the major obstacles to equitable research funding in rural Uganda is the lack of local capacity. Many rural areas are underserved in terms of trained researchers, research facilities, and infrastructure [17]. This limited local expertise and capacity make it difficult to design, implement, and manage research projects effectively. Without adequately trained personnel, it becomes challenging to attract competitive funding from national or international funding bodies, which often prioritize regions with established research institutions and skilled professionals. Furthermore, rural researchers may lack access to modern equipment, data collection tools, or sufficient laboratory space, which undermines their ability to conduct high-quality research. This cycle of underdevelopment limits their opportunities to apply for or receive funding, thus exacerbating regional disparities in research opportunities.

Bureaucratic and Logistical Challenges: Bureaucratic red tape and logistical barriers further inhibit equitable access to research funding in rural areas. The process of applying for research grants often involves complex documentation, numerous procedural steps, and strict requirements, all of which can be overwhelming for researchers without sufficient administrative support. In rural Uganda, these challenges are compounded by poor access to information, limited connectivity to national funding networks, and the lack of dedicated research support staff. Additionally, logistical difficulties such as poor road infrastructure, limited transportation options, and unreliable electricity can prevent researchers from fully engaging with funding opportunities [18]. This bureaucratic burden disproportionately affects rural researchers, as they may lack the resources to navigate the application processes effectively. Consequently, even when funding opportunities are available, many rural applicants may be discouraged

from applying due to these procedural and logistical barriers.

Limited Representation in Decision-Making: The absence of rural community representatives in funding allocation discussions is another significant barrier [19]. Research funding decisions are often made by committees or panels located in urban centers, and these bodies may not have an adequate understanding of the unique needs, challenges, and opportunities in rural areas. This lack of representation leads to a disconnect between funding priorities and the actual needs of rural communities. As a result, research projects that are funded may not be relevant to local issues or may overlook the specific contexts and concerns of rural populations. Furthermore, the lack of input from rural representatives perpetuates inequities, as funding may be disproportionately directed to urban-based institutions or research projects that have little practical impact on rural development. Ensuring that rural voices are represented in decision-making processes is crucial for aligning research priorities with the real-world challenges faced by rural communities, ultimately fostering more relevant and impactful research initiatives.

Addressing these barriers requires a multifaceted approach that includes building local research capacity, streamlining funding application processes, improving infrastructure, and ensuring the inclusion of rural voices in research funding discussions. Only through these efforts can we achieve equitable research funding that supports sustainable development and innovation across Uganda, particularly in rural areas

Barriers to Equitable Research Funding

Equitable research funding is crucial for promoting sustainable development and innovation in all regions, especially rural areas in Uganda [20]. However, several barriers hinder access to such funding, particularly in rural areas. These include the lack of local capacity, bureaucratic and logistical challenges, and the absence of rural community representatives in decision-making processes [21, 22, 23]. Local capacity refers to the lack of trained researchers, research facilities, and infrastructure in rural areas, which makes it difficult to design, implement, and manage research projects effectively [24, 25, 26]. This lack of expertise makes it difficult to attract competitive funding from national or international funding bodies, which often prioritize regions with established research institutions and skilled professionals. Additionally, rural researchers may lack access to modern equipment, data collection tools, or sufficient laboratory space, which undermines their ability to conduct high-quality research [27, 28, 29]. Bureaucratic and logistical challenges further inhibit equitable access to research funding in rural areas. The process of applying for research grants often involves complex documentation, numerous procedural steps, and strict requirements, which can be overwhelming for researchers without sufficient administrative support [30, 31]. In rural Uganda, these challenges are compounded by poor access to information, limited connectivity to national funding networks, and the lack of dedicated research support staff. Lastly, the absence of rural community representatives in decision-making leads to a disconnect between funding priorities and the actual needs of rural communities. This results in research projects that may not be relevant to local issues or overlook the specific contexts and concerns of rural populations. Ensuring that rural voices are represented in decision-making processes is crucial for aligning research priorities with the real-world challenges faced by rural communities and fostering more relevant and impactful research initiatives.

Strategies to Address Funding Inequalities

To address funding inequalities, several strategies can be implemented. Strengthening local research capacity, particularly in rural areas, is crucial for reducing disparities. Investment in these institutions and consistent funding for infrastructure, equipment, and personnel can help them become self-sustaining and conduct high-quality research. Training opportunities and partnerships with international organizations can also enhance local capacity. Decentralizing research funding is another effective approach, as traditional models often prioritize urban research, leaving rural areas with limited access to resources. Involving community stakeholders in decision-making processes for funding allocation ensures local needs are met and empowers rural communities [21]. Promoting inclusive partnerships between global and local researchers is essential for bridging gaps in expertise, resources, and knowledge. This can lead to more relevant and sustainable solutions to rural challenges, reduce the knowledge divide, and provide a platform for rural researchers to engage with the international scientific community [22]. Advocacy and policy change are also essential for promoting sustainable and inclusive research practices.

CONCLUSION

The review emphasizes the need to address inequalities in malaria research funding in rural Uganda to ensure effective and equitable malaria interventions. The rural regions bear the heaviest burden of malaria, yet they remain underrepresented in research and underfunded in terms of resources and

interventions. Systemic challenges faced by rural communities include limited access to healthcare, poor infrastructure, and inadequate malaria control measures. The disproportionate allocation of research funding, often focused on high-tech solutions or urban-centric projects, marginalizes the unique needs of rural populations. To achieve equitable malaria control, local, context-specific research is prioritized, empowering local researchers, improving infrastructure, and actively including rural voices in funding decisions. Strengthening the capacity of rural researchers, reducing bureaucratic barriers, and creating more accessible funding processes will help bridge the gap in malaria research funding. Equitable funding is not only a matter of social justice but also a strategic investment in effective malaria control. Achieving this balance between global innovation and local relevance will contribute to sustainable, inclusive, and impactful malaria control efforts, benefiting both the most affected communities and the broader Ugandan population.

REFERENCES

1. Katushabe, J., Nnyanzi, J.B., Muwanga, G.S.: Exploring the role of spending on malaria incidence in Uganda using the auto-regressive distributed lag approach. Malaria Journal. 23, 129 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-024-04929-8
2. Oladipo, H.J., Tajudeen, Y.A., Oladunjoye, I.O., Yusuff, S.I., Yusuf, R.O., Oluwaseyi, E.M., AbdulBasit, M.O., Adebisi, Y.A., El-Sherbini, M.S.: Increasing challenges of malaria control in sub-Saharan Africa: Priorities for public health research and policymakers. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 81, 104366 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104366
3. Leal Filho, W., May, J., May, M., Nagy, G.J.: Climate change and malaria: some recent trends of malaria incidence rates and average annual temperature in selected sub-Saharan African countries from 2000 to 2018. Malaria Journal. 22, 248 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04682-4
4. Okechukwu, P. C., Ugwu, Okwesili FC Nwodo, Parker E Joshua, Christian E Odo, AbubakarBawa, EC Ossai, CC Adonu. Anti-malaria and hematological analyses of ethanol leaf extract of *Moringa oleifera* on malaria infected mice I*nternational Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Science* 3(1) 360-371 (2013).
5. Alum, E.U., Ugwu, O.P.C., Egba, S.I., Uti, D.E., Alum, B.N.: Climate Variability and Malaria Transmission: Unraveling the Complex Relationship. INOSR Scientific Research11(2):16-22 (2024). https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRSR/2024/1.1.21622
6. Coombs, N.C., Campbell, D.G., Caringi, J.: A qualitative study of rural healthcare providers' views of social, cultural, and programmatic barriers to healthcare access. BMC Health Serv Res. 22, 438 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-07829-2
7. Egwu, C. O., Aloke, C., Chukwu, J., Agwu, A., Alum, E., Tsamesidis, I, et al. A world free of malaria: It is time for Africa to actively champion and take leadership of elimination and eradication strategies. Afr Health Sci., 22(4):627-640 (2022). doi: 10.4314/ahs.v22i4.68.
8. Ahmed, S., Chase, L.E., Wagnild, J., Akhter, N., Sturridge, S., Clarke, A., Chowdhary, P., Mukami, D., Kasim, A., Hampshire, K.: Community health workers and health equity in low- and middle-income countries: systematic review and recommendations for policy and practice. International Journal for Equity in Health. 21, 49 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-021-01615-y
9. Gorasso, V., Moyersoen, I., Van der Heyden, J., De Ridder, K., Vandevijvere, S., Vansteelandt, S., De Smedt, D., Devleesschauwer, B.: Health care costs and lost productivity costs related to excess weight in Belgium. BMC Public Health. 22, 1693 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14105-9
10. Yu, Y., Appiah, D., Zulu, B., Adu-Poku, K.A.: Integrating Rural Development, Education, and Management: Challenges and Strategies. Sustainability. 16, 6474 (2024). https://doi.org/10.3390/su16156474
11. Mangusho, C., Mwebesa, E., Izudi, J., Aleni, M., Dricile, R., Ayiasi, R.M., Legason, I.D.: High prevalence of malaria in pregnancy among women attending antenatal care at a large referral hospital in northwestern Uganda: A cross-sectional study. PLOS ONE. 18, e0283755 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0283755

12. Beke, O.A.-H., Assi, S.-B., Kokrasset, A.P.H., Dibo, K.J.D., Tanoh, M.A., Danho, M., Remoué, F., Koudou, G.B., Poinsignon, A.: Implication of agricultural practices in the micro-geographic heterogeneity of malaria transmission in Bouna, Côte d'Ivoire. Malaria Journal. 22, 313 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-023-04748-3
13. Hemingway, J., Shretta, R., Wells, T.N.C., Bell, D., Djimdé, A.A., Achee, N., Qi, G.: Tools and Strategies for Malaria Control and Elimination: What Do We Need to Achieve a Grand Convergence in Malaria? PLoS Biol. 14, e1002380 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002380
14. Caliendo, A.M., Gilbert, D.N., Ginocchio, C.C., Hanson, K.E., May, L., Quinn, T.C., Tenover, F.C., Alland, D., Blaschke, A.J., Bonomo, R.A., Carroll, K.C., Ferraro, M.J., Hirschhorn, L.R., Joseph, W.P., Karchmer, T., MacIntyre, A.T., Reller, L.B., Jackson, A.F.: Better Tests, Better Care: Improved Diagnostics for Infectious Diseases. Clin Infect Dis. 57, S139–S170 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit578
15. Dowhaniuk, N.: Exploring country-wide equitable government health care facility access in Uganda. International Journal for Equity in Health. 20, 38 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-020-01371-5
16. Head, M.G., Goss, S., Gelister, Y., Alegana, V., Brown, R.J., Clarke, S.C., Fitchett, J.R.A., Atun, R., Scott, J.A.G., Newell, M.-L., Padmadas, S.S., Tatem, A.J.: Global funding trends for malaria research in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic analysis. The Lancet Global Health. 5, e772–e781 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30245-0
17. O'Sullivan, B., Cairns, A., Gurney, T.: Exploring how to sustain 'place-based' rural health academic research for informing rural health systems: a qualitative investigation. Health Research Policy and Systems. 18, 90 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-020-00608-7
18. Okello Candiya Bongomin, G., Ntayi, J.: Mobile money adoption and usage and financial inclusion: mediating effect of digital consumer protection. Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance. ahead-of-print, (2020). https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-01-2019-0005
19. Bednarska-Olejniczak, D., Olejniczak, J., Klímová, V.: Grants for Local Community Initiatives as a Way to Increase Public Participation of Inhabitants of Rural Areas. Agriculture. 11, 1060 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11111060
20. Sowden, R., Wekhoola, A., Musasizi, D.: Partnerships between Uganda, Kenya, and Rwanda and the United Kingdom to address Sustainable Development Goal 17 for people with communication disability. International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology. 25, 178–182 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1080/17549507.2022.2143564
21. Adekugbe, A.P., Ibeh, C.V.: Innovating service delivery for underserved communities: leveraging data analytics and program management in the U.S. context. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences. 6, 472–487 (2024). https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i4.986
22. Alum, E. U., Tufail, T., Agu, P. C., Akinloye, D. I., & Obaroh, I. O.: Malaria pervasiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa: Overcoming the scuffle. *Medicine, 103*(49), e40241 (2024). doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000040241. PMID: 39654176
23. Obeagu EI, Nimo OM, Bunu UO, Ugwu OP, Alum EU. Anaemia in children under five years: African perspectives. *Int J Curr Res Biol Med.* 2023;1:1-7.
24. Alum EU, Ugwu OPC, Obeagu EI, Bot YS, Obeagu GU. Anaemia and risk factors in lactating mothers: A concern in Africa. *Int J Innov Appl Res.* 2023;11(2):15-17.
25. Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU, Igwe MC, Alum EU, Ugwu OP. Men's essential roles in the management of sickle cell anemia. *Newport Int J Sci Exp Sci.* 2023;4(2):20-29.
26. Obi BE, Okechukwu PU, Obeagu EI, Ifemeje JC. Antianaemic potential of aqueous leaf extract of *Mucuna pruriens* on Wistar albino rats. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci.* 2014;3(1):707-712.
27. Ezekwe CI, Uzomba CR, Ugwu OPC. The effect of methanol extract of *Talinum triangulare* (water leaf) on the hematology and some liver parameters of experimental rats. *Glob J Biotechnol Biochem.* 2013;8(2):51-60.
28. Alum EU, Ugwu OPC, Aja PM, Obeagu EI, Inya JE, Onyeije AP, Agu E, Awuchi CG. Restorative effects of ethanolic leaf extract of

Datura stramonium against methotrexate-induced hematological impairments. *Cogent Food Agric.* 2023;9(1):2258774.

29. Obeagu EI, Ali MM, Alum EU, Obeagu GU, Ugwu PC, Bunu UO. An update of anaemia in adults with heart failure. *Int Netw Org Sci Res.* 2023. Available from: http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12493/14516.
30. Chukwuemeka I, Utuk GS, Ugwu OPC, Ibiam UA, Aja PM, Offor CE. The effect of ethanol leaf extract of *Jatropha curcas* on some haematological parameters of cyclophosphamide-induced anaemia in Wistar albino rats. *Eur J Appl Sci.* 2015;7(1):17-20. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.ejas.2015.7.1.1126.
31. Offor SCE, Ukpabi EN, Ogbanshi ME, Okechukwu PU, Nwali BU. The effects of ethanol leaf-extract of *Anacardium occidentale* on haemoglobin and packed cell volume of albino rats. *World J Altern Med.* 2014;1(1):5-8.

CITE AS:Bwensiyo Twebaza H.(2025). Addressing Inequalities in Malaria Research Funding: Insights from Rural Uganda. INOSR Scientific Research 12(1):32-38. https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRSR/2025/12.1.323800