Health Communication Strategies in Times of Crisis

Kungu Erisa

Faculty of Pharmacy Kampala International University Uganda

Email: erisa.kungu@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Health communication plays a crucial role in managing public health crises by ensuring timely, accurate, and persuasive messaging. Effective crisis communication mitigates public fear, encourages compliance with health guidelines, and fosters trust in authorities. This paper examines the key theoretical frameworks underpinning health communication, including Social Learning Theory, and their practical applications during crises. It examines audience segmentation, message tailoring, and ethical considerations, particularly in combating misinformation and disinformation. Case studies highlight successful communication strategies in past health emergencies, providing insights into best practices for future crises. The study emphasizes the importance of transparency, adaptability, and strategic planning in health communication to enhance public health outcomes.

Keywords: Health Communication, Crisis Communication, Public Health, Risk Perception, Social Learning Theory, Audience Segmentation.

INTRODUCTION

Effective health communication is a critical part of public health emergencies. When faced with uncertainties, situational constraints, unexpected challenges, and time pressures, all stakeholders in a crisis setting are required to communicate effectively to the public. It is, therefore, important to address different aspects of crisis communication, including what makes crisis communication special, what can persuade various audiences to get vaccinated, who the messengers ought to be, and how the efforts of credible spokespeople can be used to improve and remove any fears. Crisis events vary widely, but they share several characteristics that necessitate consideration of integrated public health issues, strategies to address the needs and interests of partners, relying heavily on consultants and psychological theories to influence the attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors of disparate audiences or communities, the handing over of detailed communications to specific audiences with public health partners as the focus for provision of information, and the paramount importance of strategic planning for health crises. As societies become more urban, technical, and interdependent, public health initiatives will similarly need to expand the range of actors in a potential emergency. Health communication should be a critical consideration in the gathering, analysis, and dissemination of information about the current situation. In addition to assessing countermeasures and disease spread, health communicators need to also plan a communications response to sustain health protective measures and encourage desired behaviors. Further exploration into the various theories of risk communication and health communication will help to assess their application in public health crises [1, 2].

Importance of Effective Communication in Crisis Situations

Public health crises and emergencies often create instability and fear, causing anxiety within populations. If effective communication is not put in place, the emotional response of the public can cause the emergency to deepen and the outcomes to worsen. Messages must be clear, reliable, and effectively communicated in order to elicit trust, promote public compliance with health advisories, and ease anxiety. The immediacy and transparency of messages have direct impacts on people's interpretations of the severity and perceived threat of a situation. In addition, the timing of messages also has a direct impact on

the risk perceptions of the public. Both laypeople and experts work to understand the risk of events using available information and media sources. Further, the public needs responsive information, meaning that messages from officials should be adapted to the questions and concerns received from the public [3, 4]. During public health crises, most individuals can recognize that they fall into the category of people who are particularly vulnerable to emerging diseases. This increased susceptibility, often heightened by sensationalistic media coverage, is due both to significant health consequences and the psychological impacts that crises have on economies and populations. Moreover, contagious diseases may exploit collective fears, impacting societies and their everyday realities. Because emotions are the central component of the response to crises, particularly fear and the inherent desire to protect oneself, it is understandable that a major focus of health-related crises is on the communication of sensitivity, empathy, and understanding. The persuasive power of messages issued by immediate health officials and charismatic health communicators can significantly shape the behavioral responses of vulnerable communities, influencing populations constructively or destructively. An otherwise rational population can quickly adopt the beliefs and values imposed on them by public health influencers. There are, however, ongoing challenges during health crises, including the presentation of competing messages across a variety of types and sources as well as the potential for advanced anxiety and overreaction due to the media's representation of the event. Given the potential for panic and overreaction, statements should be constructed for individuals that are reassuring, yet realistic [5, 6].

Page | 85

Theoretical Frameworks for Health Communication

This paper analyzes health communication and what theoretical models underpin the field. The main goal is to provide the conceptual ground that can serve as a basis for simpler and more effective health-related messaging. Numerous theoretical perspectives could serve as a paradigm within which messages should be crafted during health crises. Given the global outbreak, the paper serves as a basis for a fresh rethinking of the "health" in our "communication," and contributes to the theoretical discussion on the conceptual potential that empirical studies grounded in health communication carry [7, 8]. Health crises often feature the paralysis of the communication system, since both intra- and inter-institutional communication often fails. Several theoretical frameworks could guide institutional communication strategies in times of crisis. Ideally, these models need to be tested for trustworthiness in the empirical reality; hence, they could provide a basis for the operationalization of an efficient language choice at times of preventive or crisis communication. What such theoretical-conceptual premises do is connect the practitioner concerning gathering topics of general societal interest in the institutional system, rather than operating and elaborating "on our own," often being prisoners to "the leaders' view" of what the people, the public, should know. This constant, wider approach to health communication frames the basis for theories of resonance, theories of public opinion, and models of professional journalism. While these exist in the literature, what is not stated is an analytical link to be built between segments of theoretical reflection on health communication and summaries of findings from studies conducted in this domain [9, 10].

Social Learning Theory

The importance of observing, experiencing, and imitating those around us is the conceptual foundation of social learning theory, and it is appealing to those interested in health communication. Social learning is extremely strong, and individuals often learn from observing others in populations where it is difficult or impractical to collect information directly from every person. Individuals learn behaviors through observation and interaction within their social environments. If individuals like and identify with the model, they are more likely to imitate the behavior. However, for this imitation to occur, individuals must be able to notice, perceive, or remember the behavior of the model(s) in question. At the societal level, social learning theories would suggest that role models can attract attention to certain issues, particularly in times of crisis [11, 12]. The specific mechanisms of social learning relevant to crisis communication include learning by observation. For example, there is a widely held belief that mass media can induce fear of an illness during a crisis. If public perception of a threat is influenced by media, the media is playing a role in biological security indirectly, through promoting this type of social learning. Coverage of the perceived severity of a crisis could easily have created likelihood estimates that were the result of observational learning from the media portrayal of severity. If media exposure is an important promoter of learning about contagious diseases, it would follow that public health campaigns will need to pursue similar principles of learning when broadcasting their messages. Research on social learning focuses on specific media-driven animated examples to critically assess the principles of social learning observed in these real-time public health behavior campaigns. Further research in this direction would be useful to assist in the design of more effective communication strategies [13, 14].

Key Components of Effective Health Communication Strategies

Effective communication is a vital element of not only dealing with public health threats but also enhancing overall health outcomes. In the context of health, effective communication can lead to improved trade-offs and greater resources for awareness and prevention of illness. Effective health communication strategies should include messaging that is easy to remember, concise, and as relevant as possible to as many people as possible; some communication strategies may require more specification and specialized messages aimed at specific subpopulations. A model health communication campaign might include segments that may be particularly relevant during a flu outbreak: • Health authorities urge all qualifying citizens to get vaccinated, • Health authorities develop a separate set of messages aimed at health care professionals, such as why vaccination is important and how to explain it to patients, • Feedback mechanisms and channels to prompt two-way communication between the public and health authorities, which can include phone-in "town meetings," social media, and emails [3, 15]. Audience segmentation is an essential first step in any health communication campaign. Messages should be designed with the prime target audience in mind, knowing that broader, more general communication strategies may attract individuals from outside the intended target demographic. Health communicators who have information on their audience can use their knowledge to tailor messages to their audience's specific needs, preferences, and behaviors. Channel selection is also an essential determinant of how messages will be conveyed to the target audience; while public service announcements are useful, outreach cannot stop there. Channels selected should include venues to solicit and respond to feedback. Be sure to select a broad spectrum of communication channels available to a variety of end users. Finally, as certain crises and their characteristics and public policy strategies, best practices, or guidelines could change after an outbreak begins, effective ongoing monitoring of response activities for public health crises is required, as is an ongoing adaptation of messaging and tactics to redirect communication strategies as situations warrant [16, 17].

Audience Segmentation and Targeting

Audience segmentation and targeting are key components of effective health communication. Data-driven insights about audience demographics and the audiences' perceptions, beliefs, and behaviors help tailor messages, outreach plans, and campaigns to different population groups. They also help create consistent messages that can be used across multiple channels to reach specific audiences. Health communicators must understand the knowledge, attitudes, and behavior about health in their respective audiences to craft meaningful messages. Segmentation divides the larger public health message into smaller, targeted messages for subpopulations, taking into account various audience characteristics, including age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, race, ethnicity, health literacy, education level, income, and socio-economic status. Highly targeted communication has a greater likelihood of being relevant to the audience and can address previously identified fears, misconceptions, or cultural beliefs that may prevent compliance with health recommendations [18, 19]. Data-driven audience segmentation is also used to ensure that different audience groups are engaged on platforms where they spend significant amounts of time, resulting in increased action on the part of prioritized audiences. Audience segmentation also takes into account digital divides and may involve identifying channels, whether traditional or digital, through which underserved or high-risk populations can be reached. During crises, public health organizations often segment audience groups into different priority groups based on risk and likelihood of needing to take immediate public health, hygienic, or medical action. In many cases, this could involve identifying and segmenting groups that have the most influence over other people's behavior or are disproportionately at risk. Moreover, it is important for health communication to prioritize communicating with individuals identified as early adopters and influencers, as bypassing them could limit spillover effects that result in increased knowledge and action across their social networks. Communicating and providing information through credible influencers can also enhance trust and engagement with critical public health measures at the community level [20, 21].

Challenges and Ethical Considerations in Health Communication

Many challenges in the field of health communication in public health crises require difficult and somewhat sophisticated ethical navigation. One critical issue in public communication about health emergencies is the problem of information, misinformation, and disinformation. The majority of emergencies are accompanied by an excess of information, an unknown percentage of which is false. This creates the potential to cause public panic. A poor communication strategy that results in the spread of false information might subvert the public's capacity for trust. Ethical responsibility for medical doctors is part of the public perception and trust. Putting the interests of the patient and the precision of the scientific record above payment or publishing opportunities is part of the identity of responsible

professionalism. The same is true for science journalists in health communication. A pertinent question, then, is whether health communication truthfulness and integrity with the public should be subject to professional and/or academic ethical normative regulation [22, 4]. Diverse audiences, of course, require divergent messages. While it is a challenge to provide information that maintains a balance among competing difficulties, there is no disagreement between mainstream theoretical and practical works in health information provision. The value of truthful public health communication as good for public policy and health, domestically and internationally, is widely shared. Successful public health communication requires that when correct and potentially lifesaving messages are delivered, the intended recipients reliably hold positive communicative attitudes about those messages. The results are unlikely to be achieved without professionals and institutions demonstrating responsibility, accountability, and respect for the public. A crucial point for trustworthiness is the ability and willingness of health information providers to counter the abundance of falsehoods. Openness about what is not known is crucial. Public health authorities work towards developing and explaining public health measures according to the principle of evidence-based policymaking. The value of transparency in revealing power is at least similarly important. How policy decisions are made should be as open as possible. How policy decisions are made depends on the ethical values and norms that frame certain democratic communities [23, 24].

Misinformation and Disinformation

Misinformation, or inaccurate information, is the accidental spread of falsehoods. Inaccurate information can stem from native naivety, anecdotal learning, confusion, or the pursuit of a catchy headline. It can be fragmented, anecdotal, or detached from context, as evidenced by infrastructural dysfunction and cognitive biases. Few factors better embody this disconnect between values and actions as social systems and global outputs than the dangers, framings, effects, and communicative flows associated with misinformation and disinformation in times of crises. By contrast, disinformation is characterized by a deliberate intention to deceive, misinform, and generate chaos. It is a form of information warfare with destabilizing effects on public health. It arises from the purposeful spread of fabricated information, in part to cast doubt and undercut accuracy, journalistic reporting, and critical discourses via social and algorithmic systems. It is intended to harm and disrupt the functioning of systems of knowledge, governance, and sociopolitical order [25, 26]. Throughout the pandemic, we have seen the damage this can cause. Disinformation appears on social media, where believability is a function of good convincing, legitimation, predictive relevance, algorithmic resonance, content values, and endorsement by a multitude of semi-anonymous sources. It is thus not surprising that health-related conspiracy theories, pseudoscience, and false information have become viral during pandemics, as social media is the breeding ground for misinformation and, eventually, virality. Meanwhile, health communicators pleading for evidence-based medicine and preventive behavioral guidelines are caricatured as dogmatists; therefore, misinformation and appeals to mistrust and champions of "healing debates/emancipatory skepticism" are fostered for self-pitying identification building. Infodemics are not only a challenge, but half a step short of performance autoinfection, with social cooperation following a script blindly that slips curiously in going its way, characterized by isolation or dismissal, instead of proactively reaching out for advice and intervention [27, 28].

Case Studies of Successful Health Communication in Crisis Situations

Case studies show that getting accurate information to people who need it, in good time, can make a difference in public health. For example, during the SARS epidemic, frontline healthcare and public health workers were identified as those at greatest risk of infection. Targeted risk communication helped ensure they were aware of this and could take steps to protect themselves. When a blindly targeted intervention of offering the SARS vaccine to healthcare workers was not taken up, good communication and extensive involvement of people themselves eventually led to high uptake of the vaccine. Social marketing campaigns were successful in conveying tailored health and risk information and made a positive difference in several case study examples [29, 30]. Three case studies are of particular significance. Immediately after the bombings in London, mobile telephone text messages, radio, and TV were used to broadcast information about the bombing and advise people with minor psychosocial reactions where they could go for help if needed. In Ontario, health officials controlled a dangerous outbreak of chlorine gas in Sarnia by using local radio and coordinating local newspaper coverage to get important messages to the affected population. The Sarnia case examines the role of health communication in reaching ethnic minorities. It is a useful illustration of reactive communication as well as consultation in a high-quality risk communication strategy. Subsequent investigation showed that effective local management and communication about what people should do to protect themselves were key factors in minimizing harm. Wildlife incidents in Texas illustrate proactive risk communication with a local community and

vulnerable group, in this case, fishing workers. Here, formal and informal social networks fed back information to public health researchers, enabling flexible rapid responses to local concerns.

CONCLUSION

Effective health communication is a cornerstone of crisis management, influencing public behavior and trust in health authorities. By applying theoretical models such as Social Learning Theory and adopting evidence-based strategies, health communicators can craft messages that resonate with diverse audiences. Audience segmentation, targeted messaging, and the integration of multiple communication channels are essential for disseminating accurate and persuasive information. Ethical considerations, including transparency and misinformation management, must remain central to crisis communication efforts. Case studies highlight the impact of well-executed communication in mitigating health crises. Future health communication strategies should focus on adaptability, inclusivity, and continuous monitoring to enhance public health resilience and response effectiveness.

REFERENCES

1. Malecki KM, Keating JA, Safdar N. Crisis communication and public perception of COVID-19 risk in the era of social media. Clinical infectious diseases. 2021 Feb 15;72(4):697-702. nih.gov
2. Nan X, Iles IA, Yang B, Ma Z. Public health messaging during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond: Lessons from communication science. Health communication. 2022 Jan 2;37(1):1-9.
3. Hyland-Wood B, Gardner J, Leask J, Ecker UK. Toward effective government communication strategies in the era of COVID-19. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. 2021 Jan 27;8(1):1-1. nature.com
4. Wang Y, Hao H, Platt LS. Examining risk and crisis communications of government agencies and stakeholders during early-stages of COVID-19 on Twitter. Computers in human behavior. 2021 Jan 1;114:106568.
5. Roca J, Canet-Vélez O, Cemeli T, Lavedán A, Masot O, Botigué T. Experiences, emotional responses, and coping skills of nursing students as auxiliary health workers during the peak COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative study. International journal of mental health nursing. 2021 Oct;30(5):1080-92. nih.gov
6. Jones R, Mougouei D, Evans SL. Understanding the emotional response to Covid-19 information in news and social media: A mental health perspective. Human behavior and emerging technologies. 2021 Dec;3(5):832-42. wiley.com
7. Altinay L, Arici HE. Transformation of the hospitality services marketing structure: a chaos theory perspective. Journal of Services Marketing. 2022 Jun 29;36(5):658-73.
8. Altinay L, Kozak M. Revisiting destination competitiveness through chaos theory: The butterfly competitiveness model. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management. 2021 Dec 1;49:331-40.
9. Calp MH, Butuner R, Kose U, Alamri A, Camacho D. IoHT-based deep learning controlled robot vehicle for paralyzed patients of smart cities. The Journal of Supercomputing. 2022 Jun;78(9):11373-408. [HTML]
10. Smith M, Blamires J. Mothers' experience of having a child with cerebral palsy. A systematic review. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2022 May 1;64:64-73.
11. Chen CC, Khan A, Hongsuchon T, Ruangkanjanases A, Chen YT, Sivarak O, Chen SC. The role of corporate social responsibility and corporate image in times of crisis: The mediating role of customer trust. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Aug 4;18(16):8275. mdpi.com
12. Demerouti E, Bakker AB. Job demands-resources theory in times of crises: New propositions. Organizational Psychology Review. 2023 Aug;13(3):209-36.
13. Kendal E. Public health crises in popular media: how viral outbreak films affect the public's health literacy. Medical Humanities. 2021 Mar 1;47(1):11-9.
14. Su Z, McDonnell D, Wen J, Kozak M, Abbas J, Šegalo S, Li X, Ahmad J, Cheshmehzangi A, Cai Y, Yang L. Mental health consequences of COVID-19 media coverage: the need for effective crisis communication practices. Globalization and health. 2021 Dec;17:1-8. springer.com
15. Tambo E, Djuikoue IC, Tazemda GK, Fotsing MF, Zhou XN. Early stage risk communication and community engagement (RCCE) strategies and measures against the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic crisis. Global Health Journal. 2021 Mar 1;5(1):44-50. sciencedirect.com
16. Cohn S, Clinch M, Bunn C, Stronge P. Entangled complexity: why complex interventions are just not complicated enough. Journal of health services research & policy. 2013 Jan;18(1):40-3.

17. Kakwi JD, Yakasai KM, Kakwi JD, Raimi MO. Campaigning Against Vaccine Hesitancy: Evaluating the Effectiveness of Health Communication on COVID-19 Vaccination Uptake in Plateau State, Nigeria. JMIR Preprints. 2024;22(09):2024.

18. Collier B, Flynn G, Stewart J, Thomas D. Influence government: Exploring practices, ethics, and power in the use of targeted advertising by the UK state. Big data & society. 2022 Jan;9(1):20539517221078756.

19. Karinshak E, Liu SX, Park JS, Hancock JT. Working with AI to persuade: Examining a large language model's ability to generate pro-vaccination messages. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction. 2023 Apr 16;7(CSCW1):1-29.

20. Gregory S, Holt S, Barter C, Christofides N, Maremela O, Mwanda Motjuwadi N, Humphreys C, Elliffe R, Stanley N. Public health directives in a pandemic: paradoxical messages for domestic abuse victims in four countries. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2022 Oct 29;19(21):14148. mdpi.com

21. Madziva R, Nachipo B, Musuka G, Chitungo I, Murewanhema G, Phiri B, Dzinamarira T. The role of social media during the COVID-19 pandemic: Salvaging its 'power'for positive social behaviour change in Africa. Health Promotion Perspectives. 2022;12(1):22. nih.gov

22. Søvold LE, Naslund JA, Kousoulis AA, Saxena S, Qoronfleh MW, Grobler C, Münter L. Prioritizing the mental health and well-being of healthcare workers: an urgent global public health priority. Frontiers in public health. 2021 May 7;9:679397. frontiersin.org

23. Aldamen Y, Abdallah A. Dialogical Health Communication via Twitter (X) During COVID-19 in African Countries: Ghana as a Case Study. World. 2024 Oct 18;5(4):962-80.

24. Atcero M, Ayikoru M. Digital and language inequalities in disseminating COVID-19-related health campaigns in Uganda: the effects of confinement and social distancing strategies. InInternational Conference on Social Implications of Computers in Developing Countries 2022 May 25 (pp. 310-331). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]

25. Chadwick A, Stanyer J. Deception as a bridging concept in the study of disinformation, misinformation, and misperceptions: Toward a holistic framework. Communication Theory. 2022 Feb 1;32(1):1-24.

26. French A, Storey VC, Wallace L. A typology of disinformation intentionality and impact. Information Systems Journal. 2024 Jul;34(4):1324-54.

27. Gisondi MA, Barber R, Faust JS, Raja A, Strehlow MC, Westafer LM, Gottlieb M. A deadly infodemic: social media and the power of COVID-19 misinformation. Journal of medical Internet research. 2022 Feb 1;24(2):e35552. jmir.org

28. Rocha YM, De Moura GA, Desidério GA, De Oliveira CH, Lourenço FD, de Figueiredo Nicolete LD. The impact of fake news on social media and its influence on health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. Journal of Public Health. 2021 Oct 9:1-0. springer.com

29. Myomin T, Lim S. Emergence and development of health risk communication networks among street-level health bureaucrats during the COVID-19 pandemic crisis in Myanmar. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science. 2022 Aug;13(4):507-20.

30. Gupta D, Hassan B, Narain JP. Awareness to Action: Strengthening RCCE, Combating Future Pandemics in Bangladesh. Journal of Health Management. 2023 Dec;25(4):715-20. [HTML]