

Communication Ethics in Alternative Dispute Resolution

Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Effective communication is the backbone of successful Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes. Communication ethics grounded in honesty, respect, fairness, and empathy play a vital role in fostering trust, respect, and just outcomes among disputing parties. This paper examines the theoretical frameworks, key principles, and challenges of ethical communication in ADR. It also examines dilemmas faced by practitioners, such as navigating cultural differences, confidentiality issues, and conflicting interests, while adhering to ethical principles. Finally, the integration of case studies and best practices highlights actionable strategies to enhance communication ethics, ensuring that ADR processes remain fair, effective, and transformative.

Keywords: Communication ethics, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), Ethical frameworks, Conflict resolution, Mediation, Empathy in negotiation.

INTRODUCTION

Communication ethics is an essential aspect of effective negotiation and conflict resolution. Ethical communication influences the perceptions parties have of an ADR process, their satisfaction with it, and the outcomes they determine based on it. Whether or not a negotiator or third party considers ethics as a significant aspect of their role or the process, parties participating in ADR expect to be treated in ways that are not harmful, that are fair and just, and that are respectful of their personhood. They make explicit and implicit ethical considerations when determining how they will communicate and interact with a mediator or arbitrator and with their adversaries. Trust and respect, the sine qua non of all dispute resolution processes, are grounded in ethical considerations. Good communication practice is further based on a common-sense understanding of "right" and "wrong" ways to interact and persuade through communication. Ethical communicators create persuasion strategies that emphasize honesty, fairness, empathy, and respect for the adversary or disputant's decision-making ability. Underlying communication ethical principles for negotiation and mediation include patient listening, non-harmful speech, and non-questionable motives for engaging in the conflict resolution process; empathy; respect for the inherent value of the persons in the conflict; and fairness. Ethical communication helps all involved parties maintain their intrapersonal, interpersonal, and intercultural self-respect. Ethical communication has as its ultimate goal to ensure that the process, the relationship, and the outcomes that emerge from the parties' use of the process are moral. In the ADR literature, ethics is considered of utmost importance in guaranteeing not just effectiveness in dispute resolution, but also fairness and justice [1, 2].

Theoretical Frameworks of Communication Ethics

In the field of communication studies, both practical and theoretical attention has been paid to ethical issues for several decades. Communication ethics in ADR, however, draws upon and integrates a wide variety of theoretical frameworks and approaches. Those frameworks have been shaped primarily by the questions to be addressed, such as identifying which choices are the most ethical or ethically defensible in

particular situations, identifying the characteristics of individuals who make ethical choices, identifying particular kinds of advice or strategies that will lead negotiators to make ethically preferable choices, and/or identifying the dynamics of relationships within which conflicts arise between an individual negotiator's preferences and the other party's needs, interests, or positions. The frameworks and theories that describe and make these claims fall into several categories. The familiar ethical theories of consequentialism, deontology, and virtue ethics provide the foundation for work that locates ethical communication practices and 'good advice' for mediators, negotiators, ombudspersons, and other ADR professionals [3, 4]. Additionally, these frameworks also help to identify and analyze the ethical implications of engagement in communication practices such as lying, honesty, deception, empathy interventions, active listening, information disclosure and denial, and more. Several scholars have sought to apply these frameworks to communication in ADR. They have focused on making and evaluating claims about the ethicality of 'extreme' communication practices as above, and doing so through the lenses of specific ethical theories or using specific models of ethical communication. Different theories and models of ethical communication emphasize transparency, honesty, accountability, or good intentions in the communication process. These theories are derived from research on deontology, the ethics of care, and more. All of these theories and models offer an extremely complex and nuanced view of the ethical issues inherent in communicative practices in ADR [5, 6].

Key Principles of Communication Ethics In ADR

Aspects of communication ethics have been with us for centuries and are admirably articulated in the principle-based approach to the ethical practice of ADR. It is generally conceded that honesty, respect, integrity, and fairness are minimum principles in almost any ADR code of conduct, as well as a rule-based response to the requirements of impartiality. To this list, we would like to add the human characteristics of empathy and forgiveness if we are to explore the end goals of face-to-face communication between disputing parties. On the one hand, operatives can study group dynamics to develop new strategies for increasing mutual understanding by focusing on bottom-up questions about which levels of meaning are present, and how human desires relate to interpretive or communicative ones. On the other hand, operatives can create the communicative conditions for dialogic face-to-face interactions by framing the kind of communication that should or could occur inside ADR. Good listening, honesty, integrity, respect, fairness, impartiality, and informed consent mark the kinds of roles that disputants, lawyers, neutral third parties, and non-neutral third parties should play in ADR. Provided the listener pays particular attention to how but-for and if-then reasoning is used in narratives of event sequence as a precondition for wrongdoing, he or she will gain a deeper understanding of the nature of the conflict and the depths of the damage denying the dispute can bring about. If truth and meaning are inherent in the narratives provided by the disputants, it is the responsibility of the operative to provide the kind of listening that allows truth and meaning to surface in the dialogue between the disputing parties [7, 8].

Challenges and Dilemmas in Applying Communication Ethics

Despite the normative orientation towards promoting communicative practices and understanding, alternative dispute resolution (ADR) practitioners often encounter problems in addressing ethical issues in their day-to-day work with people in conflict. The difficulty of dealing competently with ethical dimensions in practice is also evidenced by a growing body of literature on ethical conflicts in ADR, which addresses the challenges practitioners encounter when trying to promote ethical communication. Issues at stake are, for example: - Ethical problems related to the widespread, not legally binding character of ADR procedures, for instance regarding confidentiality; - Problems related to practitioners' relationships with their clients, based on notions of informal and non-hierarchical power, and connected to the prior issue above; - Conflicting cultural approaches to talking about conflicts, such as in the case of collectivistic or individualistic communication, both of which regard ADR forms of communication as superficial or behavioristic. The situation becomes even more difficult, especially because the different interests of practitioners may be at stake, undermining their ethical commitment [9, 10]. These conflicting interests may be the reason why reflective practices often cannot help unravel the ethical dilemmas practitioners face. Moreover, there may be pressures justifying the omission of ethical principles such as confidentiality requirements according to court orders or organizational requirements. Ethical training may not be enough to increase awareness, because attention to ethical issues is needed in every step of the process. Practitioners' abilities in dealing adequately with the ethical challenges and dilemmas they encounter in practice may depend on the legal culture they belong to, not only regarding

deontological and moral issues but also different and highly variable notions of what amounts to ethical well-formedness in communication. The case study below is meant to reveal some complexity in dealing with ethics in professional conduct [11, 12].

Case Studies and Best Practices in Communication Ethics

Case studies and real-world training scenarios can demonstrate that adherence to principles of communication ethics in ADR is both possible and successful. Case studies can provide specific examples of how communication ethics can be and are applied in actual ADR. It does not appear that there are databases of such cases, but there are bits and pieces of real-life and hypothetical cases that can be reconstituted. These miniature case studies can be linked directly to the principles being asserted in training sessions, for example. Best practices can also be provided based on these case studies [13, 14]. You can imagine these as units in a course, a special series of training or meetings, or a manual. Case studies show that a) practitioners' communication has played a significant role in their settlement success; b) parties' relationships and the norms in the processes preceding the dispute resolution meeting have also made a difference to the ability to settle in all cases; and c) there are certain communication skills associated with mediator practices that appear to be related to some set of principles underlying communication ethics that are singled out in the code of conduct. Given the above case studies, best practices taught in restorative justice and circle treatment group-inspired informal face-to-face conversation can be connected to general communication ethics best practices. They include active listening or empathy and no cross-talking, which is a movement in a fellowship that works within the same principles as the communication ethics principles, supplemented with a safe form of confidential conversation that we have earmarked as a good practice for inching toward the 'space to restore oneself.' It should be noted that all of the practices below are basic good meeting practices, whether the representatives also view them as 'restorative justice' or within the model [15, 16].

CONCLUSION

Ethical communication is a cornerstone of effective ADR, shaping the fairness, satisfaction, and outcomes of dispute resolution processes. Theoretical frameworks, such as consequentialism, deontology, and virtue ethics, provide valuable guidance for practitioners in navigating ethical dilemmas. However, real-world challenges, including cultural differences and confidentiality conflicts, necessitate ongoing reflection and adaptability. Incorporating active listening, empathy, and transparent dialogue into ADR practices enhances trust and mutual respect among parties. By embracing best practices derived from case studies, ADR professionals can create equitable, respectful environments that prioritize ethical engagement. Ultimately, communication ethics are not just tools for resolving disputes but essential mechanisms for promoting justice and human dignity.

REFERENCES

1. Brahmabhatt G. Ethical Considerations in ADR: Professional Responsibility and Conflict Resolution. ADR Strategies: Navigating Conflict Resolution in the Modern Legal World. 2022 Jul 15:237. [google.com](https://www.google.com)
2. Singh PP. ADR Processes: Comparative Analysis and Effectiveness. ADR Strategies: Navigating Conflict Resolution in the Modern Legal World. 2022 Jul 15:1. [google.com](https://www.google.com)
3. Thakur C. Mediation Has Emerged As An Important Tool In Resolving Family Disputes, Offering A Constructive Alternative To Traditional Litigation. As An Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Mechanism, Mediation Focuses On Collaboration And Communication, Aiming To Help Families Reach Amicable Agreements Without The Adversarial Environment Of The Courtroom. In This Discussion, We Assess The Role Of Mediation In Family Law, Its Benefits, Challenges, And Overall Effectiveness In Addressing Family Conflicts. The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research. 2024 Jul 15;1(1):01-23.
4. Satriana IM, Dewi NM. Non Litigation Dispute Resolution in Settlement of Civil Disputes. Legal Brief. 2021 May 30;10(2):214-20.
5. Jejenywa TO, Mhlongo NZ, Jejenywa TO. The role of ethical practices in accounting: A review of corporate governance and compliance trends. Finance & Accounting Research Journal. 2024 Apr 25;6(4):707-20. [fepbl.com](https://www.fepbl.com)
6. Guo K. The relationship between ethical leadership and employee job satisfaction: the mediating role of media richness and perceived organizational transparency. Frontiers in Psychology. 2022 May 18;13:885515.

7. Fan A. Reimagining Legal Ethics: Co-Existence of Dominant and Alternative Principles in Lawyering. *Int'l JL Ethics Tech.* 2024;217.
8. Rogers CA. The legitimacy and ethics of international commercial court judges. In *International commercial courts: the future of transnational adjudication* 2022 (pp. 299-314). Cambridge University Press.
9. Galderisi S, Appelbaum PS, Gill N, Gooding P, Herrman H, Melillo A, Myrick K, Pathare S, Savage M, Szmukler G, Torous J. Ethical challenges in contemporary psychiatry: An overview and an appraisal of possible strategies and research needs. *World Psychiatry*. 2024 Oct;23(3):364-86. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
10. Schofield G, Dittborn M, Huxtable R, Brangan E, Selman LE. Real-world ethics in palliative care: a systematic review of the ethical challenges reported by specialist palliative care practitioners in their clinical practice. *Palliative medicine*. 2021 Feb;35(2):315-34. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
11. Wang C, Liu S, Yang H, Guo J, Wu Y, Liu J. Ethical considerations of using ChatGPT in health care. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2023 Aug 11;25:e48009. [jmir.org](https://www.jmir.org)
12. Andersson H, Svensson A, Frank C, Rantala A, Holmberg M, Bremer A. Ethics education to support ethical competence learning in healthcare: an integrative systematic review. *BMC medical ethics*. 2022 Mar 19;23(1):29. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
13. Tiamiyu OM. The Impending Battle for the Soul of ODR: Evolving Technologies and Ethical Factors Influencing the Field. *Cardozo J. Conflict Resol.* 2022;23:75.
14. Ojelabi LA. The Challenges of Developing Global Ethical Standards for Mediation Practice. In *Comparative and Transnational Dispute Resolution* 2023 Feb 10 (pp. 101-119). Routledge.
15. Hirst G, Curtis S, Nielsen I, Smyth R, Newman A, Xiao N. Refugee recruitment and workplace integration: An opportunity for human resource management scholarship and impact. *Human Resource Management Journal*. 2023 Nov;33(4):783-805. [\[HTML\]](#)
16. Russell E, Mossop L, Forbes E, Oxtoby C. Uncovering the 'messy details' of veterinary communication: An analysis of communication problems in cases of alleged professional negligence. *Veterinary Record*. 2022 Feb;190(3):no-.

CITE AS: Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka (2025). Communication Ethics in Alternative Dispute Resolution. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management 7(2):40-43