

Ethics of Communication in Legal Technology Implementation

Maria Edet Umo

Faculty of Law Kampala International University Uganda

Email: umomaria@kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

The integration of technology into legal practice has transformed the way legal professionals communicate, manage cases, and interact with clients. However, the implementation of legal technology presents ethical challenges, particularly in maintaining attorney-client privilege, ensuring transparency, and upholding professional responsibility. Effective communication is vital to the successful deployment of legal technology, as miscommunication can lead to resistance, inefficiencies, and ethical dilemmas. This paper investigates the ethical considerations in communication during legal technology implementation, identifying challenges, pitfalls, and best practices. By examining ethical concerns such as data security, client confidentiality, and informed consent, this research underscores the necessity of a structured communication strategy. A proactive approach that fosters collaboration among legal professionals, IT teams, and stakeholders can mitigate risks and enhance the ethical integration of technology in the legal sector.

Keywords: Legal technology, ethics, communication, attorney-client privilege, confidentiality, professional responsibility, legal practice.

INTRODUCTION

Technological advancements have revolutionized the way modern communication takes place and how people access and utilize information. The legal sector is no exception. The methods of legal practice that were in place before the technological advancements in the last two decades are outdated and, in some instances, no longer relevant. This wave of technological advancements presents a myriad of ethical issues that have yet to be adequately addressed by the legislature [1, 2, 3]. While there have been no new additions to the American Bar Association's Model Rules of Professional Conduct that directly reference technology, the existing rules are affected by the way legal professionals use various forms of technology. The advancement of technology has greatly affected the way attorneys preserve the attorney-client privilege, practice ethically, and preserve client confidence [4, 5, 6]. The advancement of technology also affects how legal matters and advice are communicated. The legal industry has been accepting and adapting to these changes in client communication. Attorneys permissively use email, text messages, and social media platforms to communicate with clients, other legal professionals, and service providers. It is largely accepted that these methods are sufficient to meet the reasonable communication requirements under Rule 1.4 of communication with clients. However, full-time legal professionals are not the only stakeholders in the practice of law—it also affects clients, pro se litigants, and the general public [7, 8, 9].

Importance of Communication in Legal Technology Implementation

Communication is a key component to the successful implementation of new legal technologies, and projects often collapse due to “the lack of effective communication . . . [or] due to miscommunication”. A structured timeline of what needs to be communicated, how it should be communicated, and when it should be communicated is detailed. Throughout the text, the successful implementation and use of case,

project, knowledge, and client management systems are described. It is emphasized that effective communication can enhance collaboration among the legal professionals and various stakeholders involved in the use of legal technology. This can be accomplished through a variety of methods for feedback and information sharing, such as regular training, email updates, and follow-up meetings. At the beginning of the implementation process, channels of communication should be established to clarify the process for both the legal staff (i.e., the “users”) being asked to adopt the new technology and the organization’s stakeholders supporting the technology. These channels should be both formal and informal, and may include things such as weekly emails or status updates on system development; follow-up meetings to discuss systems that were not implemented; user manuals detailing how to utilize the new system, and its underlying benefits; and regular training sessions for individual software paid for directly by the law firm, but also for service enhancements used. The expectation is that all of these communications are important even as work related to legal technology implementation is still ongoing. Taking the next step, systems for case, project, knowledge, and client management are expected to streamline overall work processes and result in a final service/product that carries far greater value. The above channels of communication will be used throughout the process of communicating system benefits and features, training on newly implemented systems, and facilitating knowledge transfer to other legal staff. This will ultimately help direct the focus and resolve any potential challenges with the attention currently on the final system implementation on the requirement of client and conflict management software [10, 11, 12].

Ethical Considerations in Legal Technology

As legal professionals adapt to the latest changes in technology and modes of communication, the ethical considerations of such technology are likely to become increasingly relevant and salient. Once thought of as largely paper- and book-bound concerns, legal research and communication with clients are now far more diverse, and ‘text’ need no longer mean writing. Nevertheless, the duties of confidentiality and competence remain firmly tied to the law student, legal professional, and the mode of communication used. At the heart of daily professional life, it includes the delivery of advice that is as honest as it is skilled. As technology changes, the delivery of professional advice may change, but not the underlying professional duties. Especially in the legal field, where everything is supposed to be nuanced, disclaimed, protected, and legacy-efficient, nothing veers near to the procedural, or compliant. Once the document is recognizable, it risks blame [13]. It is this technology that could devise obligations that the user is unaware of. Moreover, artificial intelligence (AI) and its associated applications of predictive analytics and client profiling may stand on the ethical and regulatory margins of legal advice and legal service provision. Its opacity is reputedly being exploited in ‘legal’ but not law (or the legal industry proper). These issues find a natural home in rule-following institutions. Nevertheless, there is increasing attention to the need to integrate ethical considerations into the architecting of digital systems. Developments have unique ethical concerns that current procedures and guidelines do not anticipate. The rule-obeying machine is not quixotic but increasingly concretized in software code. Most actions are described in code, and as each day passes, more and more of the day-to-day show up as text, as work. While the preoccupations of small subsets of the population rarely reach the institutional bottom line, they have nonetheless remained attentive to how they fall and so what will evolve. Each issue locates greater agency with the user, advocating a more empowered form of technological interaction. However, the user is not always the addressee, and as it grows an ecosystem, the legal profession has continuously failed to keep pace with the moral hazards of the digital environment. This is a realization writ large, as the consequences of past exclusion and near Luddism in technology implementation are now impressively felt. So professional integrity and trust critically demand that ethical considerations are embedded throughout the process of implementation [14, 15, 16].

Challenges and Pitfalls in Communication During Legal Technology Implementation

What are the challenges and pitfalls of communication that arise in the introduction of legal technology? Any legal technology used for legal practice is implemented via a project over a series of steps. In theory, communication needs to be strong among stakeholders to make decisions regarding the project at each stage. For example, if a legal practice is implementing a case management system, team members who will use the system must first decide what features they want in a case management system. This information is then passed to the IT team, who will investigate options concerning the list of desired features. The IT team needs to update the list of desired features as they learn about technical restrictions and costs they otherwise would not have known about. Finally, the team members who will use the case

management system will determine the final set of features they want based on cost and technical restrictions [17, 18, 19]. In practice, this process is complicated for numerous reasons. First, likely, the IT team workforce does not possess a deep working knowledge of the tasks carried out by the rest of the legal practice workforce, meaning information disclosed between the teams will be asymmetric. For example, case handling teams might not be proficient at explaining their work in ways that the IT team can understand. This problem is underpinned by a hierarchical imbalance in which the IT team workforce may possess more social capital, and hence considerable ability to distort the information passed. Decisions may not be best for the legal practice but might suit the interests rather of the IT team better. Second, different teams within the business may have different goals and desired outcomes. For example, prioritizing cost over useful features, or a political desire to procure from a particular vendor. It's thus critical that the goals of all stakeholders remain as consistent and aligned as possible for the duration of the implementation project. Third, at the outset of many projects, team members may not fully appreciate the implications of their decisions, so the cost of changing these decisions later becomes infeasibly high. For example, in the case of a document automation system, early specification of system requirements may inspire scant deliberation by promoting hasty agreement. Fourth, in many cases a technology is procured that is overly complex because staff lack the skills or training necessary to operate it efficiently. A robust process must be put in place to ensure that an adequate workforce is in place once the system is operational. Finally, when changes do occur, there may be resistance to these changes from those affected, resulting in incomplete dissemination or passive-aggressive circumvention. This is observed most acutely in the rejection by workers to adopt new technologies they feel may threaten their job security. Ameliorating some of these difficulties requires ensuring that the communication environment is made as inclusive as possible—for example, by involving salient stakeholders in the early phases of a project. Finally, while the implementation of any legal technology is naturally a linear project, providing for ongoing dialogue regarding the operation of the technology can be particularly beneficial in allowing decisions to be made in a more adaptative way rather than being dictated by pre-ordained milestones [20, 21, 22].

Best Practices and Strategies for Ethical Communication in Legal Technology Implementation

Efforts to roll out any new system within an organization face communication challenges. This difficulty is amplified in a legal setting, where confidentiality, ethics, and professionalism are paramount. This research considers case studies of legal technology implementations at two law firms to recommend best practices and strategies to facilitate ethical communication during legal technology implementation. Develop a culture of openness where concerns and suggestions are encouraged and all staff feels confident voicing these. Consider creating a communication protocol from the outset. It doesn't matter what methods of communication are employed but they must be consistent and understood by all. In the examples studied, training programs around not only the technology but also the communication processes related to the technology were successful in developing full comprehension among staff members. Plan to provide regular verbal and written updates throughout the process. These can range from a weekly meeting project update to a comprehensive monthly briefing. Depending on the project, a client newsletter or firm-wide email might also be considered 13. Solicit feedback at key points throughout the process. Staff, along with clients, stakeholders, consultants, suppliers, developers, and any other affected parties should be involved as key stakeholders. Regular collective briefings, feedback sessions, and cross-team updates will ensure a collective understanding of issues and progress. At one organization, client feedback was sought at the beginning of the project and the resulting system was found to be misaligned with the client's needs. Treat every interaction as an opportunity to demonstrate professionalism and integrity. Each email, phone call, meeting, and memo forms part of the professional service that law firms provide to clients and reflects on the reputation and trust of the legal service as a whole [23, 24, 25].

CONCLUSION

The implementation of legal technology demands careful consideration of ethical communication practices to ensure transparency, security, and trust. While technological advancements streamline legal processes and enhance efficiency, they also introduce complexities in maintaining professional integrity. A well-structured communication strategy that includes clear guidelines, regular feedback, and training sessions is essential to navigating these challenges. Ensuring that all stakeholders—including attorneys, clients, IT professionals, and regulators—are informed and aligned can prevent ethical lapses and enhance the responsible use of technology in legal practice. By embedding ethical considerations into

legal technology implementation from the outset, legal professionals can uphold their duty of care, protect client interests, and foster confidence in the evolving digital legal landscape.

REFERENCES

1. Babikian J. Navigating legal frontiers: exploring emerging issues in cyber law. *Revista Espanola de Documentacion Cientifica*. 2023 Dec 30;17(2):95-109.
2. Watamura E, Liu Y, Ioku T. Judges versus artificial intelligence in juror decision-making in criminal trials: Evidence from two pre-registered experiments. *PloS one*. 2025 Jan 30;20(1):e0318486.
3. Singh B, Kaunert C. Integration of cutting-edge technologies such as Internet of Things (IoT) and 5G in health monitoring systems: a comprehensive legal analysis and futuristic outcomes. *GLS Law Journal*. 2024 Jan 1;6(1):13-20.
4. Rigertas LA. How Do You Rate Your Lawyer-Lawyer's Responses to Online Reviews of Their Services. . *Mary's J. on Legal Malpractice & Ethics*. 2014;4:242.
5. Mensah EKG. Assessing the Role of Media Influence and Public Perception in Legal Decision-Making. Available at SSRN 4811277. 2024. ssrn.com
6. Cook JJ, Heinrich DR. AI-Ready Attorneys: Ethical Obligations and Privacy Considerations in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. *U. Kan. L. Rev.*. 2023;72:313.
7. Nwosu NT, Babatunde SO, Ijomah T. Enhancing customer experience and market penetration through advanced data analytics in the health industry. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;22(3):1157-70. [wjarr.co.in](https://www.wjarr.co.in)
8. Karneli O. The role of adhocratic leadership in facing the changing business environment. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*. 2023 Aug 13;1(2):77-83. literasisainsnusantara.com
9. Lee JJ, Meng J. Digital competencies in communication management: a conceptual framework of Readiness for Industry 4.0 for communication professionals in the workplace. *Journal of Communication Management*. 2021 Nov 5;25(4):417-36.
10. Al-Tarawneh A, Al-Badawi M, Hatab WA. TRANSLATING GOVERNANCE AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE: EXPLORING THE ROLE OF TRANSLATION IN FACILITATING CORPORATE REPORTING AND POLICY IMPLEMENTATION. *Corporate Law & Governance Review*. 2024 Sep 1;6(3). [\[HTML\]](#)
11. Igbinenikaro E, Adewusi AO. Developing international policy guidelines for managing cross-border insolvencies in the digital economy. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. 2024 Apr 7;6(4):1034-48. [fepbl.com](https://www.fepbl.com)
12. Martínez-Peláez R, Ochoa-Brust A, Rivera S, Félix VG, Ostos R, Brito H, Félix RA, Mena LJ. Role of digital transformation for achieving sustainability: mediated role of stakeholders, key capabilities, and technology. *Sustainability*. 2023 Jul 19;15(14):11221. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
13. Bresnahan PA, Pera LT. The Impact of Technological Developments on the Rules of Attorney Ethics Regarding Attorney-Client Privilege, Confidentiality, and Social Media. . *Mary's J. on Legal Malpractice & Ethics*. 2016;7:2.
14. Khogali HO, Mekid S. The blended future of automation and AI: Examining some long-term societal and ethical impact features. *Technology in Society*. 2023 May 1;73:102232.
15. Kakolu S, Faheem MA. Building trust with generative AI chatbots: Exploring explainability, privacy, and user acceptance. *Iconic Research And Engineering Journals*. 2024 Nov 21;8(3):823-34. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
16. Challoumis C. What are the ethical implications of AI in FINANCIAL systems. InXVII International Scientific Conference 2024 Nov (pp. 41-75).
17. Rüfenacht S, Woods T, Agnello G, Gold M, Hummer P, Land-Zandstra A, Sieber A, Vohland K, Land-Zandstra A, Ceccaroni L, Lemmens R. Communication and dissemination in citizen science. *The science of citizen science*. 2021;475:520. oapen.org
18. Aripin Z, Mulyani SR, Haryaman A. Marketing Strategy In Project Sustainability Management Efforts In Extractive Industries: Building A Reciprocity Framework For Community Engagement. *KRIEZ ACADEMY: Journal of development and community service*. 2023 Dec 12;1(1):25-38. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)

19. Pizzi S, Moggi S, Caputo F, Rosato P. Social media as stakeholder engagement tool: CSR communication failure in the oil and gas sector. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. 2021 Mar;28(2):849-59. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
20. Javid I, Chauhan A, Thappa S, Verma SK, Anand Y, Sawhney A, Tyagi VV, Anand S. Futuristic decentralized clean energy networks in view of inclusive-economic growth and sustainable society. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2021 Aug 1;309:127304. [\[HTML\]](#)
21. World Health Organization. Towards a dementia-inclusive society: WHO toolkit for dementia-friendly initiatives (DFIs). World Health Organization; 2021.
22. Bradley VM. Learning Management System (LMS) use with online instruction. *International Journal of Technology in Education*. 2021;4(1):68-92.
23. Prithivraj S, Lighittha PR, Priya L. Privacy Preservation and Confidentiality Assurance in CriticalHealth Care Networks: Navigating Complex Data Challenges within. In *Conference Proceedings: Encryptcon-An International Research Conference on CyberSecurity 2024* Apr 1 (p. 10). Shashwat Publication. [\[HTML\]](#)
24. Azer MA, Samir R. Overview of the Complex Landscape and Future Directions of Ethics in Light of Emerging Technologies. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science & Applications*. 2024 Jul 1;15(7). saiconferences.com
25. Rathod VP. Justice Augmented: Navigating the Ethical and Legal Terrains of AI Integration in International Criminal Proceedings. *DME Journal of Law*. 2023 Dec 25;4(02):14-21.

CITE AS: Maria Edet Umo. (2025). Ethics of Communication in Legal Technology Implementation. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management 7(3):5-9
