

# The Sociology of Fashion: Identity, Class, and Consumerism

Nabirye Amina Okwir

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Fashion serves as a vital lens for examining the interplay between individual identity, class distinctions, and consumer culture. Rooted in material culture, fashion functions as a nexus where personal expression meets collective identity, bridging aesthetic preferences with socio-economic realities. This paper investigates the sociological dimensions of fashion through theoretical frameworks like symbolic interactionism, functionalism, and postmodernism. It examines the role of dress in identity formation, the impact of class on fashion consumption, and the influence of consumerism on contemporary fashion trends. The analysis underscores fashion's dual role as a unifying cultural force and a vehicle for social differentiation, highlighting its potential to both perpetuate and challenge societal norms in an era of globalization and fast fashion.

**Keywords:** Sociology of Fashion, Identity and Dress, Class and Consumption, Symbolic Interactionism, Material Culture, Consumerism.

## INTRODUCTION

Fashion has always been associated with society and the ways in which its members indulge in collective and individual practices of self-expression and group solidarity. As a form of material culture, fashion has long been employed as a source of both individual and group identity. Accordingly, the study of fashion serves as a starting place for the examination of the social and cultural dynamics of late capitalism. It serves as neither a simple reflection of the socio-historical and economic dynamics of contemporary society nor an act of complete free will, uncoerced by the dictates of fashion designers or the economic objectives of retailers. Fashion forms a nexus of historical dynamics in which groups capitalize on the wear of and fascination with clothing as a point of distinction and differentiation. In the fashion of consumer culture, deep roots of modern identity expression can be found. This does not rule out the limitations on some consumer desires for fashionable goods and those we call taste, but it does suggest that identity gets caught up with material culture in a less than arbitrary manner [1, 2]. Individual fashions change rapidly over the course of cultural history in correlation with evolving social and cultural politics. Since the Renaissance, tinged by the impact of the exodus of works of art to Italy and their inherent classical models, Western clothing was restricted and prescribed as a symbol of class, sex, and social or political status. Before the invention of the sewing machine, cheap manufacturing techniques, and women's emancipation, fashion circulated and transformed at a leisurely pace. In the early 20th century, fashion experienced significant shifts as production and consumption patterns, along with the sex and girlfriend industries, were transformed relatively quickly due to the industrial revolution and the nascent celebrity culture. This trend has continued through to the present day as the pace of societal change, technological advancement, and cultural exchange increased. If fashion has always informed the social and cultural dynamics of collective meaning-making activities, then a sociological study of it might assist us in theorizing various forms of identity expressions, popular movements, and wider structural and cultural shifts [3, 4].

### **Theoretical Frameworks in Fashion Sociology**

Attempting to understand fashion through sociology has led to many important theoretical perspectives. These theoretical perspectives offer a way to understand and interpret how we can study and recover fashion from consumerism, pleasure, symbolic systems, evolution, social revolution, communication, and challenges to social norms. Various sociological theories can be used to delve deeper into the sociological nature of fashion. For sociologists of fashion, the aforementioned theories are the material to analyze, since fashion as an object of study and fashion as a collective manifestation of social life have led to the development of each [5, 6]. Such theoretical frameworks consist of seven perspectives, namely: classical foundation, functionalism, structuralism, political economy, feminism, poststructuralism, subcultural studies, and postmodernism. Of course, classical foundations have not unraveled the complexities of fashion, combining explanations for the economic, symbolic, and individual significance of class and, consequently, without considering fashion as a material practice. Functionalism mentions the relationship between fashion and social phenomena by encompassing differences and social change, while the structural perspective is not interested in power and social class. Contrary to the functionalist perspective, while postmodernism does not consider fashion as a trivial activity, it is doubtful that fashion represents any collective social expression. In general, all of these studies can rediscover fashion if applied critically because fashion is not just an aesthetic matter. Any critical reduction in the assumptions and perspectives presented will bear the following conclusions [7, 8]. As a social phenomenon, fashion is not just a matter of high art. It is intricate as a philosopher's taste and expression, while it is the appearance of social status or background as material culture, as a symbol of a group or social class in society. The notion of the group should not simply be understood as a mass grouping of people who are all grouped with the same characteristics. Such simplification cannot be accepted for the sociology of fashion itself because the group is not just the mass value of all persons potentially assembled, but must recognize and process individuals with unique and specific characteristics. In particular, the group masses are not simply habits but behavior towards certain values, rules, or laws that have become group norms. Fashion is an indicator of group identification with respect to conscious and linguistic symbols that bind group members together. It gives the group resources that have the potential for motivation, encouragement, or guidance in the struggle to change direction. Thus, this idea of fashion in the sociology of leadership makes it possible to explore the profile of a group leader in the process of social change [9, 10].

### **Symbolic Interactionism and Fashion**

Symbolic interactionism, a sociological framework developed in the 1960s in Chicago, focuses on how individuals interact with each other and make meaning through those social exchanges. Through their day-to-day interaction, people develop shared meanings, and these meanings are articulated through symbols. One of the key symbols that are central to people's identities and sense of self is their dress and appearance. Symbolic interactionism, accordingly, is one of the two frameworks employed by sociologists to explain fashion and dress consumption. Central to this perspective is the concept of "self-presentation"—the idea that people present themselves to others in a particular way, acting as "personal technicians" in the construction of their identity [11, 12]. Fashion, therefore, is an integral part of personal performance, a vital tool that people use to play out their roles and exhibit their selves to the audience. Reflecting society's main preoccupations and the central mandates of its institutions, individuals use everyday items of dress and appearance to express messages about themselves to friends, family, work colleagues, or strangers. It acts as a form of protection against others, a way of creating and maintaining social identity. Fashion is a language through which we are able to know ourselves and be known and, as such, is a way of creating a feeling of rapport with others in a culture. Functions of methods of self-presentation can be simple or complex, direct, or highly subtle, innocent, or highly manipulative. The significance of fashion is, of course, modified by changes in class, race, gender, age, and various separate groupings of people who are active in a culture [13, 14]. Above all, however, the emphasis is upon the individual's communications through their decor: what jeans or sunglasses reveal about the young, what the standard prejudice is about people wearing furs or pendants, what skirts, makeup, and jackets reveal about girls, and so forth. These penalizations and institutions are acknowledged by people regarding their dress choices in general and fashion styles in a unique way. This reverberation is critical as it gives to the individual the feeling of being lonely. In other words, the individual is both a commissioner and a receiver of the message. Therefore, dress does have the power to "reveal" messages, individual and correlated with the social structure, and it is the route of interaction between self and society where that society is real or imagined, a world of fantasy. This subtly indicates a link between the personal and the public in the

sociological imagination—where the individual is recognized as part of the public—in that it involves being aware of social processes and how they interact with private concerns. The communicative functions of the fashion system also underline the essential understanding at the core of Simmelian sociology that individuals are social species [15, 16]. The choice of clothing and style is often more than merely a matter of personal preference. Dress is one of the key ways in which people engage in the work of self-representation and personal identity formation within the constraints of what are often rigid societal norms. Because of this, questions of dress and identity have been popular among sociologists for some time, albeit often more so within the soft end of the discipline. For many people, particularly young people, fashion and style are innovative ways to express one's unique self, with clothing and hairstyles being seen as outward signs of a particularly imbued personality. However, someone's dress, whether spectacularly flamboyant or painfully drab, can also tell an observer a lot about their age, class, gender, and occupation. Thus, while fashion seems to promise the chance of joining a fellowship of the individual, it creates a sense of commonality among wearers. Fashion is then a particularly personal instance of a more collective social force, as the lack of style can signify just as much as its possession. There have been numerous case histories of identity and dress, which often have as their object the nature of personal choice. These studies can, for the most part, be divided into one of two major strands: those that suggest that the choice of fashion is largely driven by social necessity and those that seek to elaborate the free choice exercised by the individual. To give an example of the latter, one discussed the individual as an island of subjectivity adrift within an ocean of expectation; while a pessimistic picture of dress overall, the specific figures used work to elevate the individual above societal expectation [17, 18].

### **Personal Identity Through Dress**

In 1990, sociologist Joanne Entwistle wrote in her study of dress, "Ultimately, dress was one of the key ways in which we all defined ourselves and others. It was, among other things, a powerful form of social discourse." Dress theorists also see dress as a fundamental part of our personal identity. The idea of 'dressing the self' infers that individuals do not simply put a shirt on their backs in the morning, but also place an identity on themselves, as dress is thought of as a language. If the dress is something through which we communicate our individuality, then it is surely distinct from fashion - an industry that consists of creating homogeneity through the selling of styles that will help people become a part of that group [19, 20]. However, the subjective importance of personal identity and style does not diminish the significance of where they can be located in dress; different identification levels are dependent on different markers of identity. In terms of sociobiography, these might include gender, clothing style, social class, moral attributes, and religious beliefs, from the most superficial characteristics to the deepest. In the way that clothes are used as part of the 'self', they also serve as a badge of who we are in the microcosm of society. In an interactive study with teachers, one teacher said, "We go out, our whole family we think about, our personalities are shown in our clothes. Suit and boots or jeans and trainers, to show that we are relaxed, instead of saying relaxed." What the teacher seems to be inferring is that clothes are also seen as a proxy for what we think about ourselves - when we put on a tuxedo we feel different than we do in a tracksuit, and indeed we may behave differently [21, 22].

### **Class and Fashion**

Many sociologists interested in clothing are particularly concerned with the way fashion reflects and reinforces class divisions. And this is where the complexity begins. No one doubts that social class mediates our judgments about what fashions are in vogue - but how it does is beyond consensus. A rooted notion often heard is that of "taste". Taste is a class indicator. Working-class people have the "real", rather basic taste, while people belonging to the middle or upper class display a rather more cultivated and acquired one [23, 24]. A second mediation as to why these boundaries are not crossed has to do with the distinction between high fashion, with which designers become famous and present their collections twice a year in major cities, and fashion (in lower case), which are the clothes people wear every day. This can certainly act as a barrier since although in haute couture, every extravagant design can be seen on the catwalk, sometimes is laughable or ridiculous, but no one questions its "fashionability". Such august bodies even have a special commission that assesses the latest fashion shows to see whether they are likely to have a positive effect on exports. This indicates how it is possible to regard fashion as a surface activity - so common and widespread that its real significance and the signification it carries are ostracized. In other words, people wanting to ascend the social scale will seek to purchase the clothes or objects of their potential or wished-for social superiors because they carry a superior aura. While the social reality of this phenomenon is undeniable, our consumption patterns, and what fashion we like, are also molded by

culture and the experiential properties of forms [25, 26]. On the other hand, consumption patterns are also molded by access. Or rather the lack of it. If we were to repeat the excursion to certain council estates, fifty years later we might be able to notice that there are indeed more fashionable labels in the hands of teenagers than back in the 40s, when fashionable labels were another world out of reach. But we could also notice that apart from these changes, the fabric of society has retained its integrity throughout time. It hasn't gone away; it merely has changed its shape. This brings us to consider the skewed implications of the argument we have just examined as regards accessibility to fashion and, to a broader extent, the means of consumption. This lack of "cultural capital" does indeed point the way towards issues of social mobility and identity. It makes us wonder whether, in essence, fashion is a unifier or a divider. It also warns us of the temptation of overestimating the impact of the introduction of new products, new markets, and the evolution of society: things always change, but even so, it seems, in the end, they always remain the same. Many have addressed the uncountable vested interests and prejudice against those consumer initiatives that aim to improve the quality of everyday living with sustainable fashion campaigns when cleaners are not entitled to hold office in a seminar. Clothing, like life's opportunities, has yet to find some semblance of justice. Functions have indeed changed over time, and so have goods being bought and sold. But the relationships of production and consumption do not as of yet promise to upheave the frivolous deterministic nature of clothing, consumption, and fashion itself: an inconsequential commodity, fetish, or signifier, laden with complex implications, representative and otherwise. The Sisyphean struggle of "a society which worships commodities" against precarity, it seems, has yet to be settled. Although the dialectic seems to have been momentarily stalled [27, 28].

### **Consumerism and Fashion**

Fashion advertising and marketing campaigns often emphasize the desirability and exclusivity of certain designs, thus suggesting that consumers are buying more than just clothing when they make their purchases. The mechanisms of marketing and advertising lure efficient ways in which consumer culture shapes fashion trends and influences consumer choice. Contemporary society is preoccupied with consuming in general, and fashion is a reflection of consumption as a primary human activity: either as the individual consumption of clothes and accessories, or identification with another's clothing to mimic celebrity, group, or cultural identities. It has been argued that contemporary Western societies are organized as fashion promotional and consumption societies, and as such, operating as consumer societies, material wealth, personal appearance, and style are highly valued. However, in a globalized world, one size no longer fits all as individuality is increasingly valued over the expression of group identity [29, 30]. In recent years, studies of fashion and retailing have increasingly started to address the strong global trends towards mass and fast fashion production, supply, and consumption. It is now possible to buy fast fashion, designed in one country, manufactured in another, and for sale in a third, almost anywhere in the world, at any price; some of it may fall apart or be discarded after only a very limited number of wearings. It has been demonstrated by fashion industry research organizations that the trend of fashion is to speed up – at the beginning of the twenty-first century, fashion delivery had to be immediate, with a complete turnover of stock every short six-week maximum of today. So, what are the future implications for natural resources and sustainable practices? More pertinently, who benefits from this phenomenon? Proposals have been put forward that the fast fashion phenomenon can be seen as evidence of how postmodern consumers live their postmodern lives today; they are constantly adapting and changing their lives, behavior, and, of course, their wardrobe, to fit the latest trend. Consumption is a method of self-fashioning and so by consuming fashion and style, they produce their own identity within the flux of the Western-empowered world. Subcultures could all become made up of style tribes who see no fragmentation and who simply consume style as a continuous process of identity performance.

### **CONCLUSION**

Fashion operates at the intersection of individual agency and societal structure, acting as a powerful medium for self-expression and social signaling. The evolution of fashion reflects broader historical, economic, and cultural shifts, from its slow transformation in pre-industrial societies to the rapid turnover of fast fashion today. Theoretical perspectives on fashion reveal its capacity to both unite and divide, fostering group identity while reinforcing class boundaries. In consumer-driven societies, fashion amplifies issues of sustainability, global inequality, and cultural appropriation, challenging us to critically engage with its implications. Ultimately, the sociology of fashion provides valuable insights into the dynamics of identity, power, and consumption, offering a platform for rethinking fashion's role in achieving social justice and sustainable practices.

## REFERENCES

1. Liechty M. Media, markets and modernization: youth identities and the experience of modernity in Kathmandu, Nepal. In *Youth cultures* 2022 Nov 30 (pp. 166-201). Routledge.
2. Edú-Valsania S, Laguía A, Moriano JA. Burnout: A review of theory and measurement. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2022 Feb 4;19(3):1780. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031780)
3. Karlsson MT. Fashioning the Ecological Crisis: Sustainability and Feminism in Fashion Advertising and Communication in Contemporary Sweden. 2023. [lu.se](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031780)
4. Akgöz G. Metaphorical Machines or Mindless Consumers: Young Working-Class Femininity in Early Postwar Turkey. *International Labor and Working-Class History*. 2023 Oct;104:32-54.
5. Newman DM. *Sociology: Exploring the architecture of everyday life*. Sage Publications; 2020 Jan 28.
6. Garcia CC. Fashion forecasting: an overview from material culture to industry. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*. 2022 Mar 29;26(3):436-51. [academia.edu](https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-01-2021-0011)
7. Hasbullah NN, Sulaiman Z, Mas'od A. The effect of perceived value on sustainable fashion consumption in the era of COVID-19: A proposed conceptual framework. *Int. J. Acad. Res. Bus. Soc. Sci*. 2020;1:895-906. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.24018/ijarbs.2020.1.895-906)
8. Jin Y, Ji S, Liu L, Wang W. Business model innovation canvas: a visual business model innovation model. *European Journal of Innovation Management*. 2022 Dec 1;25(5):1469-93. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-01-2021-0011)
9. Barber B, Lobel LS. "Fashion" in Women's Clothes and the American Social System. In *Constructing the Social System* 2021 Oct 29 (pp. 127-143). Routledge.
10. Kautish P, Khare A, Sharma R. Influence of values, brand consciousness and behavioral intentions in predicting luxury fashion consumption. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*. 2021 May 11;30(4):513-31. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1108/JPB-01-2021-0011)
11. Conerly TR, Holmes K, Tamang AL. *Introduction to sociology* 3e. 2024 Sep 9.
12. Genov N. *The paradigm of social interaction*. Routledge; 2021 Nov 25.
13. Yeo SF, Tan CL, Kumar A, Tan KH, Wong JK. Investigating the impact of AI-powered technologies on Instagrammers' purchase decisions in digitalization era—A study of the fashion and apparel industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 2022 Apr 1;177:121551. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121551)
14. Hassani H, Silva ES. The role of ChatGPT in data science: how ai-assisted conversational interfaces are revolutionizing the field. *Big data and cognitive computing*. 2023 Mar 27;7(2):62.
15. Chaiken S. Physical appearance and social influence. In *Physical appearance, stigma, and social behavior* 2022 Nov 1 (pp. 143-178). Routledge.
16. Capraro V, Barcelo H. The effect of messaging and gender on intentions to wear a face covering to slow down COVID-19 transmission. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.05467*. 2020. [\[PDF\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05467)
17. Li K. The media image of Chinese older people: From stigmatic stereotype to diverse self-representation. *Global Media and China*. 2021 Sep;6(3):281-302.
18. Zaid B, Shin DD, Kteish SW, Fedtke J, Ibahrine M. Gendered self-representation and empowerment on social media in the United Arab Emirates. *The Communication Review*. 2021 Jul 3;24(3):199-217. [academia.edu](https://doi.org/10.1080/10812397.2021.199217)
19. Deflorian M. Refigurative politics: understanding the volatile participation of critical creatives in community gardens, repair cafés and clothing swaps. *Social Movement Studies*. 2021 May 4;20(3):346-63.
20. Ibarra PR, Kitsuse JJ. Claims-making discourse and vernacular resources. In *Challenges and Choices* 2024 Nov 1 (pp. 17-50). Routledge.
21. Camargo AP, Roux S, Schulz F, Babinski M, Xu Y, Hu B, Chain PS, Nayfach S, Kyrpides NC. Identification of mobile genetic elements with geNomad. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2024 Aug;42(8):1303-12. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-024-0011-1)
22. Hasan N, Choudhary S, Naaz N, Sharma N, Laskar RA. Recent advancements in molecular marker-assisted selection and applications in plant breeding programmes. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*. 2021 Dec 1;19(1):128. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2021.128)
23. Barnard M. *Fashion theory: A reader*. Routledge; 2020 Aug 3.

24. Chen J. Clothing and identity: Chinese rural students' embodied transformations in the urban university. *Journal of Sociology*. 2022 Sep;58(3):379-94.
25. Entwistle J. *The fashioned body: Fashion, dress and modern social theory*. John Wiley & Sons; 2023 May 19.
26. Sinha P, Sharma M, Agrawal R. A systematic review and future research agenda for sustainable fashion in the apparel industry. *Benchmarking: an international journal*. 2023 Dec 1;30(9):3482-507. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
27. Wiesinger H, Wang Z, Hellweg S. Deep dive into plastic monomers, additives, and processing aids. *Environmental science & technology*. 2021 Jun 22;55(13):9339-51. [acs.org](https://pubs.acs.org)
28. Klein EY, Milkowska-Shibata M, Tseng KK, Sharland M, Gandra S, Pulcini C, Laxminarayan R. Assessment of WHO antibiotic consumption and access targets in 76 countries, 2000–15: an analysis of pharmaceutical sales data. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2021 Jan 1;21(1):107-15. [sgul.ac.uk](https://www.sgu.ac.uk)
29. Ewen S. Advertising and the development of consumer society. In *Cultural politics in contemporary America* 2022 Nov 30 (pp. 82-95). Routledge.
30. Das M, Jebarajakirthi C. Impact of acculturation to western culture (AWC) on western fashion luxury consumption among Gen-Y consumers in the Asia-Pacific region. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*. 2020 Sep 1;56:102179.

**CITE AS: Nabirye Amina Okwir. (2025). The Sociology of Fashion: Identity, Class, and Consumerism. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 6(1):31-36**