EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF MEDICINE AND MEDICAL SCIENCES (EEJMMS) ISSN: 2992-4103
©EEJMMS Publications Volume 6 Issue 1 2025

Anticipating the Next Pandemic: Global Surveillance Systems

Odile Patrick Thalia

Faculty of Biological Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Pandemics have shaped human history, bringing widespread social, economic, and health-related disruptions. The rapid and unpredictable spread of infectious diseases necessitates robust global surveillance systems to detect and mitigate outbreaks before they escalate into pandemics. This paper examines the evolution of pandemic surveillance, emphasizing historical perspectives, key components, technological advancements, and ethical challenges. The integration of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and mobile health (mHealth) applications has significantly enhanced real-time disease monitoring. However, disparities in surveillance capabilities between developed and developing nations, concerns over data privacy, and the balance between individual liberties and public health remain critical issues. Strengthening global surveillance systems requires international collaboration, standardized data-sharing protocols, and ethical frameworks that ensure equity, transparency, and trust. By addressing these challenges, global health security can be fortified to anticipate and respond effectively to future pandemics.

Keywords: Pandemic preparedness, global health security, disease surveillance, artificial intelligence, machine learning, data privacy.

INTRODUCTION

Since the emergence of time, the rise and fall of entire societies have been dictated by the spread of disease. From medieval Europe's Black Death to the 21st century Ebola outbreak in West Africa, pandemics have withered the global population, generated widespread fear, and caused widespread social and economic instability. What makes pandemics so daunting is their ability to move rapidly and unpredictably. This precipitation spurred an intensified awareness of the threats that diseases pose to public health, an understanding that has since developed into the multidisciplinary field of global health security. Among the foundational pillars of global health security are the global surveillance systems that seek to monitor the near-constant movement of infectious diseases around the world. These systems, however, are fraught with unique challenges, from data collection and transparency to complications in executing large-scale international collaboration. In this context lies the importance of accurate and timely global surveillance. If an epidemic is identified early enough, effective strategies can often be employed to prevent it from developing into a larger global pandemic. Understanding pandemics and global surveillance systems, then, has a lot to do with recognizing the ability of disease to move over borders and mimicking a conceptual framework. An epidemic is an event in which an infectious disease infects several people in a community, and the spread rate of the disease is notably higher than usual. The term, then, used regarding a particularly widespread and severe epidemic is pandemic. This concept is heavily weighted by influenza; high lethality and high transmissibility characterize pandemic influenza, which has the potential to generate a public health crisis. Furthermore, influenza's genome can easily undergo mutations, genetic reassortment, or antigenic drifts, all of which contribute to the pathogen's evasiveness from the immune system and increased resistance towards drugs. Lastly, influenza's incubation period is much shorter than other diseases, and the ability to stay infectious longer during that period gives influenza an outbreak that is harder to contain and monitor. On the other hand, surveillance may be passively done through various health department's websites or through the local news for event reports, one of the options is making preventions that are containing the virus from spreading any further in the world, by preventing people from other countries from lugging the illness back to their countries.

Non-pharmaceutical Interventions (NPIs) are also made, which can range from border gating to compulsion of mask-wearing in public spaces or, in case of a full-blown pandemic, closure of schools, businesses, and any public place that has potential risk of a virus being spread in the air. This, it is understood, however, often embarks a tradeoff of economic losses or people's discontent on the government's decision. Lastly, an effective surveillance framework bears the principles of timeliness, simplicity, sensitivity, representativeness of data, and usefulness of the output [1, 2, 3].

Historical Perspectives on Pandemics and Surveillance

COVID-19 is only the most recent addition to an ancient and ever-growing litany of pandemics—a word that, like plague but with different scientific and societal connotations, evokes an infinitely expanding, widespread catastrophe, told and retold throughout history in an archetypal narrative with striking structural and functional similarities. In an epidemic, the infectious spread of disease depends on its intrinsic transmissibility, as well as external contagion-spreading factors such as population density, movement, sanitation, temperature, humidity, and immune immunity. Surveilling and ameliorabilizing such forces and agents have, in turn, triggered the rise of various forms of public and preventive health interventions. Since antiquity, simplistic notions of contagion were common, and random plagues and diseases could be seen as acts of divine retribution. In the early Middle Ages, the dominant infectious disease theory was the miasma, in which pestilence was believed to be caused by the inhalation of poisonous fumes or bad air emitted by rotting organic material. By the Renaissance, such miasma theory had gained considerable popularity [4, 5, 6]. Pandemics are predominantly caused by new pathogenic agents entering human populations from some or all Great Powers. The first step in preparing for future pandemics like the COVID-19 pandemic is to understand their underlying causes, especially as derived from a close surveillance of the numerous pandemic bonds of history, their antecedents, and their repercussions. In the past five centuries, legion pandemics have produced disease, death, and social and economic upheaval on a scale humankind can scarcely compute. These include familiar scourges like smallpox, plague, cholera, and influenza, as well as the great syphilis pandemic of the 15th century and lesser-known pandemics such as typhus, yellow fever, and HIV/AIDS. Many cases of pandemic disease have aroused profound societal fears, culminating in unforeseeable changes in the course of the history of human societies. Understanding past pandemics provides a blueprint for anticipating future pandemics by enacting surveillance strategies. Since the worst of these is largely unmitigated by comprehensive government action, analyses of the responses of societies afflicted by pandemics have sought alternative approaches [7, 8, 9].

Key Components of Global Surveillance Systems

Anticipation is nearly universal for those with the means to voyage across oceans, to observe the swelling waves of distant lands, pondering what might be carried upon the wind. Similarly, anticipating the next pandemic requires the interpolation and extrapolation of numerous signals, relying on both scientific models and expertise from a diverse network of surveillance sources. Surveillance systems have a broad setup: Cases "watched by" human populations, research institutions, healthcare organizations, and national entities are then observed at varying levels (local, regional, international), with further reporting up to global organizations. These data are then used to address epidemiologically based goals of prediction, mitigation, and retrospective analysis. Surveillance systems depend on both technological tools and human resources, and increasing the overall capacity means enhancing both these facets. The interconnection of the various components is of paramount importance, as the best epidemiological analysis is impotent without a reporting mechanism, much as data-driven decisions are impossible without well-funded research. Of singular importance is the standardization of data collection and data sharing protocols across the globe. Data variability is immensely greater when incorporated across national boundaries; the onus of ensuring that there are equitable reporting requirements in place on the more developed nations. Most data are kept in individualized formats, be they local governmental reports, institutional databases, or news article collections. The voluminous data that is generated has many byproducts, i.e., a public health response often dictates travel advisories, curfews, or deployment of medical aid, and many of these actions spark media coverage either by design or coincidence. Many datasets are not created with epidemic response in mind, but "full preparation" implies the ability to subject any type of information to rigorous analysis. The methodology used to extract this data is as diverse as the sources that it has. Topically, this data often deals with socioeconomic issues, climate data, or the effect of other infectious diseases on a burgeoning outbreak. The incognizance of the many components of such a surveillance strategy is strikingly similar to that of simpler reporting systems [10, 11, 12]. Concern over the predictability of the movements of infectious diseases is nearly as ancient as the field of epidemiology itself. Medically rooted knowledge of such patterns is primarily relegated to clinician experience rather than any actual agreement of models. Some prevailing ideas were often detached from reality, such as, for instance, "miasma theories." From the very inception of such clinical observations, reducing them to a formal epidemiological model was a dubious proposition. An additional explicit technology is processing this data through rudimentary mathematical models of disease spread, but as "the best disease models can only be leveraged after broad data collection", the improvement of such epidemiological models is necessarily concurrent with assiduous data processing. Initially, the simplest models of disease spread were employed, such as deterministic compartmental models. These tools allow for the baseline projection of what an outbreak "would" look like, given a set of stable parameters. The first instinct was then to fit such models to available data following an outbreak and retrospectively adjust the parameters to better "predict" the past. However, the ignorant assumption of "fitting a model" to epidemics is predictable [13, 14, 15]. Major epidemiological insights in recent years have been derived from predictive modeling: The linkage of cholera outbreaks in Haiti to UN Peacekeepers or the identification of the culpable hospital at the epicenter of the 2014 West African Ebola Outbreak. Outreach with these entities necessitates the development of predictive models that can operate in "real-time." Sophisticated simulation models can make such waterproof predictions after only a few weeks, but as the time between the first case and the outbreak explodes to several months or years, this restrictive framework cannot be abided. The adaptation of such models to "time strung" data entails them to be "simplified dramatically." By necessity, these early models, such as the plain polynomial regressions utilized in the EWARS alerts, are rudimentary compared to the multi-layered, multi-modal models described previously, but still vastly more informative than simply waiting. Fundamental issues of parameter response times are inherent to the infrastructure of many nations stricken by pandemics [16, 17, 18].

Technological Innovations in Pandemic Surveillance

Surveillance is essential during infectious crises. It may be active, involving the regular collection of information from predictable sources, or passive, relying on irregular surveillance of ad hoc sources. Passive surveillance can be ameliorated either with strategic plans to improve it or through technological solutions that enhance the potential sources of data, making passive strategies reach a larger or more representative population. At the end of the last century, the field of surveillance underwent important transformations owing to data warehousing and data mining. New data sources were soon to create novel shifts, with the introduction of syndromic surveillance and the exploitation of online data and, later, of social media. In the twenty-first century, progress in surveillance processes has accelerated, especially around free and open-source software. Technological innovations include intelligent software to predict disease outbreaks and data mining algorithms for nearly real-time surveillance based on a wide range of data sources—including geographic information systems (GIS) and near real-time monitoring systems from the field of mHealth. The rapid adoption of mobile health applications (mHealth) translates into a further advance: the ability to easily crowd-source health-related data beyond selfies, exploring other features of diseases. It should be noted that to foster the diffusion of passive, mHealth-related projects, devices and apps should comply with legal aspects, thus possibly impeding the exploitation of an incredible amount of data. Potentially representative mHealth projects were also those that included the development of GIS solutions. GIS offers the possibility of producing visuals of the collected data, even if these could be utilised as proof-of-concept rather than routinely. GIS allows surveillance data to be integrated with cartography; because of their database structure, they let visualisations be built, making them especially effective for enhancing the accessibility of data. One of the shifts concerned the further exploitation of mobile device data, especially the millions of geo-located records generated every day from the use of Apps. Although not directly about health, these data may be repurposed, for instance, by inferring where population aggregates, as many are at risk for COVID-19. Hence, some effort has been put into this kind of data about the epidemiological data being collected by health authorities. In the infectious disease field, much of the focus has been on outbreaks. An event detected can be rapidly responded to to try to prevent a full-scale outbreak. The basic definition of an outbreak involves the number of cases of a particular disease exceeding the expected numbers for a given period in a set location. Detection in districts with a low case count is naturally more challenging, and for this reason, technology proves efficient. Machine learning models are used to predict potential outbreaks up to one month before. More advanced algorithms are used for near real-time prediction of outbreaks, modifying prediction parameters on the go. In recent projects, Bayesian methods have been applied. Data from projects related to contained health have been used to inform these predictions [19-23].

Challenges and Ethical Considerations in Global Surveillance Systems

The idea of implementing global surveillance systems in response to pandemics may not be new, but it is usually discussed concerning hypothetical, technological advances in the far-off future. COVID-19 has caused a sense of immediacy and urgency with emerging technologies that before only existed in the realm of science fiction. Such technologies could allow governments and organizations to closely monitor individuals' health and movements. It would reveal ethical concerns concerning data privacy and ownership, informed consent, misuse of information, accountability, equity, and surveillance capabilities between developed and developing nations. It would also question the trade-off between individual civil liberties and public health safety. Furthermore, preliminary evidence suggests that pandemic surveillance may risk alienating communities and undermining trust in health systems. To ensure that the benefits of global surveillance systems outweigh the risks, a comprehensive ethical framework is needed to guide their development [22, 23, 24]. One of the three main uses of surveillance in response to COVID-19 has been targeted surveillance. This involves local, regional, and national monitoring and collection of patient health data, mainly in the form of testing, case reporting, and isolation measures. Traditional surveillance methodologies exist even in the least developed countries, demonstrating a high degree of surveillance equity among states. However, the far less visible real-time surveillance of patient data managed through digital channels offers significantly more comprehensive insights into individual health and, over time, can be used to deduce emerging health issues, such as the beginning of a pandemic. Most of the digital surveillance capabilities are currently found in high-income countries, mirroring broader technology and development gaps between high- and low- and middle-income countries. Moreover, unlike traditional patient data surveillance, modern surveillance actors include transnational technology companies. Moving forward, international diplomacy will need to address the surveillance equity gap in pandemic response between developed and developing countries [24-31].

CONCLUSION

The increasing frequency of pandemics underscores the urgency of enhancing global surveillance systems for early detection and containment of infectious diseases. While technological innovations offer unprecedented capabilities for real-time monitoring and predictive modeling, ethical considerations and disparities in surveillance infrastructure pose significant challenges. To effectively anticipate and mitigate future pandemics, a comprehensive approach is necessary—one that integrates advanced technologies, fosters international cooperation, and upholds ethical principles of equity, privacy, and accountability. By investing in robust global surveillance frameworks, the world can move toward a more resilient and proactive pandemic response strategy, ultimately safeguarding public health on a global scale.

REFERENCES

1. Khan HA, Nguyen TN, Shafiq G, Mirza J, Javed MA. A secure wearable framework for stress detection in patients affected by communicable diseases. IEEE Sensors Journal. 2022 Sep 13;23(2):981-8. [HTML]
2. Strickland E, Pan D, Godfrey C, Kim JS, Hopke A, Ji W, Degrange M, Villavicencio B, Mansour MK, Zerbe CS, Irimia D. Self-extinguishing relay waves enable homeostatic control of human neutrophil swarming. Developmental Cell. 2024 Oct 7;59(19):2659-71. cell.com
3. Cornfeld O, Niu K, Neeman O, Roswell M, Steinbach G, Beckett SJ, Wardi Y, Weitz JS, Yashiv E. Integrating macroeconomic and public health impacts in social planning policies for pandemic response. medRxiv. 2025:2025-01. medrxiv.org
4. Pacaol NF, Siguan AA. "We Are Not Lazy (Rather We Persevere) But Please…": The Resilience of Homeless Filipino Youths in the Covid-19 Pandemic. Journal of Social Service Research. 2024 Sep 6:1-7.
5. Assaf AG, Kock F, Tsionas M. Tourism during and after COVID-19: An expert-informed agenda for future research. Journal of Travel Research. 2022 Feb;61(2):454-7.
6. Saad-Filho A. Mass protests under 'left neoliberalism': Brazil, June-July 2013. Critical Sociology. 2013 Sep;39(5):657-69.
7. Meganck RM, Baric RS. Developing therapeutic approaches for twenty-first-century emerging infectious viral diseases. Nature medicine. 2021 Mar;27(3):401-10.
8. Akter R, Rahman MH, Bhattacharya T, Kaushik D, Mittal V, Parashar J, Kumar K, Kabir MT, Tagde P. Novel coronavirus pathogen in humans and animals: an overview on its social impact, economic impact, and potential treatments. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2021 Dec 1:1-9. springer.com

9. Dharmarajan G, Li R, Chanda E, Dean KR, Dirzo R, Jakobsen KS, Khan I, Leirs H, Shi ZL, Wolfe ND, Yang R. The animal origin of major human infectious diseases: what can past epidemics teach us about preventing the next pandemic?. Zoonoses. 2022 Apr 1;2(1):989. scienceopen.com
10. Kashef M, Visvizi A, Troisi O. Smart city as a smart service system: Human-computer interaction and smart city surveillance systems. Computers in Human Behavior. 2021 Nov 1;124:106923.
11. Vahdat S. The role of IT-based technologies on the management of human resources in the COVID-19 era. Kybernetes. 2022 May 17;51(6):2065-88.
12. Budhwar P, Chowdhury S, Wood G, Aguinis H, Bamber GJ, Beltran JR, Boselie P, Lee Cooke F, Decker S, DeNisi A, Dey PK. Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: Perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. Human Resource Management Journal. 2023 Jul;33(3):606-59. wiley.com
13. Paul-Chima UO, Ugwu CN, Alum EU. Integrated approaches in nutraceutical delivery systems: optimizing ADME dynamics for enhanced therapeutic potency and clinical impact. RPS Pharmacy and Pharmacology Reports. 2024 Oct;3(4):rqae024.
14. Dasgupta U, Jha CK, Sarangi S. Persistent patterns of behavior: Two infectious disease outbreaks 350 years apart. Economic Inquiry. 2021 Apr;59(2):848-57.
15. Ding C, Liu X, Yang S. The value of infectious disease modeling and trend assessment: a public health perspective. Expert review of anti-infective therapy. 2021 Sep 2;19(9):1135-45.
16. Kronfeld-Schor N, Stevenson TJ, Nickbakhsh S, Schernhammer ES, Dopico XC, Dayan T, Martinez M, Helm B. Drivers of infectious disease seasonality: potential implications for COVID-19. Journal of biological rhythms. 2021 Feb;36(1):35-54. sagepub.com
17. Khodadadi E, Towfek SK. Internet of Things Enabled Disease Outbreak Detection: A Predictive Modeling System. Journal of Intelligent Systems & Internet of Things. 2023 Nov 1;10(1). [HTML]
18. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
19. Rahman SZ, Senthil R, Ramalingam V, Gopal R. Predicting Infectious Disease Outbreaks with Machine Learning and Epidemiological Data. Journal of Advanced Zoology. 2023 Nov 26;44(S4):110-21. academia.edu
20. Xiang Y, Jia Y, Chen L, Guo L, Shu B, Long E. COVID-19 epidemic prediction and the impact of public health interventions: A review of COVID-19 epidemic models. Infectious Disease Modelling. 2021 Jan 1;6:324-42. sciencedirect.com
21. Islam MS, Shahrear P, Saha G, Ataullha M, Rahman MS. Mathematical analysis and prediction of future outbreak of dengue on time-varying contact rate using machine learning approach. Computers in biology and medicine. 2024 Aug 1;178:108707. [HTML]
22. Edyedu I, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI. The role of pharmacological interventions in managing urological complications during pregnancy and childbirth: A review. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41381.
23. Malki Z, Atlam ES, Ewis A, Dagnew G, Ghoneim OA, Mohamed AA, Abdel-Daim MM, Gad I. The COVID-19 pandemic: prediction study based on machine learning models. Environmental science and pollution research. 2021 Aug;28:40496-506. springer.com
24. Santangelo OE, Gentile V, Pizzo S, Giordano D, Cedrone F. Machine learning and prediction of infectious diseases: a systematic review. Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction. 2023 Feb 1;5(1):175-98. mdpi.com
25. Mitsilegas V, Jofre SW. Democracy, Trust, and the Rule of Law in Times of Pandemic: The UK Response to COVID-19. InExperimental Law 2023 Jan 17 (pp. 187-254). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.
26. Gkinopoulos T, Elbaek-Trielsen C, Mitkidis P. Trusting to abide: The role of institutional and interpersonal trust for the support of COVID-19 prevention behaviors and policies and the mediating role of national identification. Available at SSRN 4062454. 2022 Mar 19.

27. Kähkönen T. Remote work during the COVID-19 pandemic: identification of working life impacts, employees' data protection abilities and trust outcomes. Journal of organizational change management. 2023 Mar 24;36(3):472-92.
28. Carter H, Araya R, Anjur K, Deng D, Naslund JA. The emergence of digital mental health in low-income and middle-income countries: A review of recent advances and implications for the treatment and prevention of mental disorders. Journal of psychiatric research. 2021 Jan 1;133:223-46. nih.gov
29. Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Ugwu JN, Eze VH, Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB. Harnessing technology for infectious disease response in conflict zones: Challenges, innovations, and policy implications. Medicine. 2024 Jul 12;103(28):e38834.
30. Woo YL, Gravitt P, Khor SK, Ng CW, Saville M. Accelerating action on cervical screening in lower-and middle-income countries (LMICs) post COVID-19 era. Preventive medicine. 2021 Mar 1;144:106294.
31. Berrueta M, Ciapponi A, Bardach A, Cairoli FR, Castellano FJ, Xiong X, Stergachis A, Zaraa S, Meulen AS, Buekens P. Maternal and neonatal data collection systems in low-and middle-income countries for maternal vaccines active safety surveillance systems: A scoping review. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2021 Dec;21:1-9. springer.com

CITE AS: Odile Patrick Thalia. (2025). Anticipating the Next Pandemic: Global Surveillance Systems. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF MEDICINE AND MEDICAL SCIENCES. 6(1):10-15