

EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH (EEJSAR)	ISSN: 2992-4146
©EEJSAR Publications	Volume 6 Issue 2 2024

Gamification in Science Education through Artistic Techniques

Mukamana Sandra Gisele

School of Applied Health Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the integration of gamification and artistic techniques in science education to enhance student engagement, motivation, and comprehension. Gamification in educational settings is often associated with the use of game elements to increase motivation and interaction, while artistic techniques contribute to creativity and deeper understanding. The study investigates theoretical frameworks for gamified learning, principles of game-based learning in science classrooms, and how artistic approaches like visual arts and storytelling can enrich these methods. Through case studies, this research illustrates the effectiveness of blending gamification with artistic activities, showcasing innovative teaching methods and their impact on student learning outcomes. The paper concludes by discussing future directions for research and practice, including virtual and augmented reality's potential in creating immersive educational experiences.

Keywords: Gamification in Education, Science Education, Artistic Techniques, Student Engagement, Creative Learning.

INTRODUCTION

Gamification is gathering attention among teachers due to the ease of incentivizing and motivating students. Gamification in education is associated with professors' ability to create an experience that encourages students to have fun, build relationships, and problem-solve. Artistic techniques have another approach since creativity is a major skill for educators to promote the construction of knowledge that lasts. Creativity in the education area has been used to make the comprehension of a determined subject in the class more attractive. Creativity can be developed within the educational method, and if this method is gamification, the motivation could be higher once it becomes new and unique for the particular or specialized field [1, 2]. The general concept of gamification applied to education is relatively clear. Up to now, it is possible to affirm that several works use the term gamification to create titles, and it seems to be more of a way to encourage motivation to read and write. This paper uses the concept of gamification through artificial techniques, which can be understood as a blending between gamification and a learning process. In other words, the main objectives are the development of the science area, adding theoretical studies, and the proposal of methodologies for applying the ideas theoretically into practical classes, allowing students to understand their role in the social domain of the network and in the construction of modernity [3, 4].

Theoretical Frameworks and Principles of Gamification in Science Education

When it comes to gamification in educational settings, several conceptual and theoretical frameworks have been proposed. Most of the approaches adopt concepts from video games and incorporate them into non-game settings. However, these findings concentrate on the more direct application of gameplay in educational settings rather than embedding it in a wider framework. This section examines several theoretical frameworks that have been proposed for implementing gamification strategies in non-gaming environments with a focus on science education. It also discusses some of the general principles underpinning these models of gamification that have been argued to engage students in the learning process for a variety of topics. Many of the theories discussed below are based on an approach to teaching and learning that is based on students analyzing and interpreting their experiences or creating a space for knowledge acquisition where learners can apply their understanding to real situations. Such a framework

also reflects the expectations of the general capabilities and skills programs of most school curricula. These include the ability to collaborate and engage in critical and creative thinking [5, 6]. The use of a gamified learning environment in the secondary-level science classroom is designed to be based on game principles. The game-based learning approach in the classroom is designed to simulate a real strategy game that requires the players to strategize to achieve their game goals. Game principles such as challenge, fantasy, curiosity, control or choice, and participation are used in the development of a game-based approach for the classroom. In the proposed gamified learning environments, the students are given choices and chances to strategize the game as they see appropriate to reach their game goals. The game also possesses an ever-changing progressive challenge for the players to be engaged and excited while playing [7, 8].

Integrating Artistic Techniques into Gamified Science Education Programs

Improving the effectiveness of science education programs is intrinsically linked to re-sectionalization in the era native to the digital world. One of the strategies for increasing student engagement with the subject is the combination of science education with elements of art and art education. Major forms of integration include art and aesthetics – theoretical foundation, art education through creative, artistic, and craft production, and the use of art and artistic activities as alternative education. Consuming artistic creations allows students to exercise functional aspects of cognitive abilities. The integration of art techniques can be especially effective in teaching science. A compulsory component of some models is the incorporation of art lessons in elementary and secondary schools [9, 10]. In some models of integrating art with science, the enthusiasm of the students and teachers has led to the successful involvement of local cultural resources who valued the products developed by students and willingly collaborated with centers. In the introduction of art in the course offered by the Center for Inquiry-Based Learning, the aesthetic dimension of color is explored in detail, besides creating color chromatograms and identifying the types of environmental pigmentation. There are such creative activities as creating your own stories or thinking about the causes of the seasonality of colors. The work shows the potential of a strong interdependent relationship between the arts and sciences through environmental themes [11, 12].

Case Studies and Best Practices in Gamification and Artistic Techniques

Case Study 1: The First World of Science

Best practices and strategies classification: The course unit proposed for this academic year has an innovative, interactive, cross-thematic, interdisciplinary methodology and consists of the application of multiple teaching and learning skills and strategies aimed at creating a true gaming experience in a universe of proposals, one of which is set in a dense medieval environment. The methodology used is more centered on learning by doing, of a highly constructivist nature, since the learner will propose solutions and defend their point of view about the most diverse situations through the resolution of group work, the realization of individual projects based on problem-based learning, as well as in the practical and didactic application through classroom activities [13, 14].

Case Study 2: The Ice Queens - 4th-Year Students Integrate Art with Science

Best practices and strategies classification: The case of the “Ice Queen” illustrates the prospect of bridging art and the professional, highlighting the further educational values outside of the science and technology foundation and concluding address of final assessment for this frame. There are four common threads woven through these two different teaching activities, which have significant overlap: gamification and creativity, progressive and engaging course evolution, student autonomy and agency, and rich post-training within the framework of the final assessments. Data was gathered from the case studies discussing the keywords discovered on the evaluation platforms. The terminology includes descriptors of enjoyment, platform, community of practice, unit, and the Year of Ten Reviews itself. The term was explicitly referred to only in the presentation of the first case study - The First World of Science. In this case, the entity that manages the educational evaluations knows that it is a game but appreciates that teachers use it to strengthen the educational community and explicitly refers to the global red zone as a community of practice [15, 16].

Future Directions and Implications for Research and Practice

An emerging trend is the coupling of innovative learning technologies such as art-based VR interactive and adaptive VR environments with learner-centered activities, which may open new possibilities for practice and research. At the boundaries of art installations and VR, they approach AR/VR as novel applications and techniques for science education, which opens new fascinating research directions. Future research topics will benefit research and practice. They can serve as strategies to deepen our

understanding of how artistic techniques can create educational spaces that broaden science education from cognitive to embodied, affective experience. This is an important topic of research. Drawing on psychology and pedagogy, they will be interested in the long-term impact of this intervention on the personal and academic development of the students who took part in the learning and on the values, motivation, and conceptions they might have acquired or revised about technology-enhanced learning and education in the broad sense [17, 18]. By extending the research to the experience in different settings, such as hands-on artistic workshops in schools or museums, and to different cultures, much will be learned not only about practice, when people are likely to see some insights from these studies affecting how they design and use technology-enhanced learning, but quite a bit about design when the output can impact general knowledge, pedagogy, educational practices, and curriculum design at the secondary level. It is also going to be the groundwork to figure out how to for science educators interested in active and emotional participatory experience. Then, we can create some real art and promote science with it! This process will be highly participatory: we plan on involving the interviewees in studies and interactive artwork creation. Studies will be conducted with the help of research groups with a focus on social impact within the field of interactive system design. In less than a year, students will demand immediate gratification, question the pedagogy, and ask for changes. There seems to be a cyclical model of 'the students demand, the institution changes, the students demand again' at play to an outsider. Otherwise, if students are not engaged or see no relevance in the course, they are less likely to be open to the task or activities and may shut down and adopt non-alignment behaviors. To avoid this, we will continue to evolve the techniques and collaborate with colleagues from other fields to develop this practice. We hope to contribute to pedagogy research and teacher development programs, and as a useful resource for curriculum development and policy to create those spaces and courses. This could be combined with further educational goals of internationalization and developing world issues problem-solving through cross-institutional courses [19, 20].

CONCLUSION

Integrating gamification with artistic techniques offers a transformative approach to science education, fostering a more engaging and dynamic learning environment. This combination encourages students to develop critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative skills, which are essential for tackling real-world problems. Case studies illustrate the positive outcomes of these methods, showing enhanced motivation and comprehension in students. Future research should explore immersive technologies, such as virtual and augmented reality, to further advance this field. Expanding gamification through creative practices holds promising implications for science education, allowing for a more holistic approach that can significantly impact students' academic and personal growth.

REFERENCES

1. Mårell-Olsson E. Using gamification as an online teaching strategy to develop students' 21st century skills. *IxD&A: Interaction Design and Architecture (s)*. 2021(47):69-93.
2. Flores-Aguilar G, Prat-Grau M, Fernández-Gavira J, Muñoz-Llerena A. "I Learned More Because I Became More Involved": Teacher's and Students' Voice on Gamification in Physical Education Teacher Education. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2023 Feb 9;20(4):3038. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20043038)
3. Qiao S, Chu SK, Shen X, Yeung SS. The impact of an online gamified approach embedded with self-regulated learning support on students' reading performance and intrinsic motivation: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. 2022 Oct;38(5):1379-93. [\[HTML\]](#)
4. Huseinović L. The effects of gamification on student motivation and achievement in learning English as a foreign language in higher education. *MAP Education and Humanities*. 2024;4:10-36.
5. Willig JH, Croker J, McCormick L, Nabavi M, Walker J, Wingo NP, Roche CC, Jones C, Hartmann KE, Redden D. Gamification and education: A pragmatic approach with two examples of implementation. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*. 2021 Jan;5(1):e181. [cambridge.org](https://doi.org/10.1093/jctts/tqaa018)
6. Oliveira W, Hamari J, Shi L, Toda AM, Rodrigues L, Palomino PT, Isotani S. Tailored gamification in education: A literature review and future agenda. *Education and Information Technologies*. 2023 Jan;28(1):373-406. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10634-022-10000-9)

7. Krath J, Schürmann L, Von Korfflesch HF. Revealing the theoretical basis of gamification: A systematic review and analysis of theory in research on gamification, serious games and game-based learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2021 Dec 1;125:106963.
8. Al Ghawail EA, Yahia SB. Using the E-learning gamification tool Kahoot! to learn chemistry principles in the classroom. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2022 Jan 1;207:2667-76.
9. Wekerle C, Daumiller M, Kollar I. Using digital technology to promote higher education learning: The importance of different learning activities and their relations to learning outcomes. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*. 2022 Jan 1;54(1):1-7. [[HTML](#)]
10. Simanjuntak MB, Suseno M, Setiadi S, Lustyantje N, Barus IR. Integration of curricula (curriculum 2013 and cambridge curriculum for junior high school level in three subjects) in pandemic situation. *Ideas: Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial, dan Budaya*. 2022 Feb 24;8(1):77-86. ideaspublishing.co.id
11. Hargreaves A. Teacher collaboration: 30 years of research on its nature, forms, limitations and effects. *Policy, Teacher Education and the Quality of Teachers and Teaching*. 2021 Feb 11:103-21. [[HTML](#)]
12. Archer MO, DeWitt J. Thanks for helping me find my enthusiasm for physics! the lasting impacts research in schools projects can have on students, teachers, and schools. *Geoscience Communication Discussions*. 2020 Jul 31;2020:1-28.
13. Ghani AS, Rahim AF, Yusoff MS, Hadie SN. Effective learning behavior in problem-based learning: a scoping review. *Medical science educator*. 2021 Jun;31(3):1199-211. springer.com
14. Rafiq AA, Triyono MB, Djatmiko IW. The integration of inquiry and problem-based learning and its impact on increasing the vocational student involvement. *International Journal of Instruction*. 2023 Jan 1;16(1):659-84.
15. Kumnuanta J. Participatory Design of a Gamification Enhanced Divergent Thinking Application: A Case Study in Mae Hong Son Province, Thailand. *Scholar: Human Sciences*. 2021 Oct 18;13(2):145-.
16. Cavus N, Ibrahim I, Okonkwo MO, Ayansina NB, Modupeola T. The effects of gamification in education: A systematic literature review. *BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*. 2023 Jun 30;14(2):211-41.
17. Alex M, Wünsche BC, Lottridge D. Virtual reality art-making for stroke rehabilitation: Field study and technology probe. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 2021 Jan 1;145:102481.
18. Van Mechelen M, Smith RC, Schaper MM, Tamashiro M, Bilstrup KE, Lunding M, Graves Petersen M, Sejer Iversen O. Emerging technologies in K-12 education: A future HCI research agenda. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 2023 Jun 10;30(3):1-40. acm.org
19. Lee HY, Wu TT, Lin CJ, Wang WS, Huang YM. Integrating Computational thinking into scaffolding learning: An innovative approach to enhance Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics hands-on learning. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*. 2024 Apr;62(2):431-67. [[HTML](#)]
20. Çalışkan G. Investigating The Relationship Between Turkish Teacher Candidates' Attitudes Towards E-Learning, E-Learning Readiness, Digital Literacy Levels and Academic Achievement in Distance Education Process. *The Universal Academic Research Journal*. 2023 Sep 17;5(3):139-52.

CITE AS: Mukamana Sandra Gisele. (2024). Gamification in Science Education through Artistic Techniques. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 6 (2):33-36.