

Intersectionality: Understanding Multiple Identities in Society

Kato Bukenya T.

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Intersectionality, a concept introduced by Kimberlé Crenshaw, examines the complex ways in which multiple social identities such as race, gender, class, sexuality, and ability intersect and create unique experiences of privilege and oppression. Originating in Black feminist thought, intersectionality offers a framework for understanding the overlapping systems of power that shape individuals' lives. This paper investigated the theoretical foundations of intersectionality, its core concepts, applications across various disciplines, critiques, and future directions for research. Through this lens, we highlight how intersectionality is crucial in understanding and addressing social justice issues, such as discrimination, inequality, and systemic oppression while emphasizing its transformative potential in activism, policy-making, and scholarship.

Keywords: Intersectionality, social justice, privilege, oppression, multiple identities, race, gender.

INTRODUCTION

Intersectionality is a concept that helps us understand how individuals hold multiple social identities and how these identities intersect with one another. The notion for the term arose in the late 20th century and sought to address the category assumptions in the treatment and assumption of social disadvantage. Intersectionality examines not just how systems of oppression, privilege, and power overlap; it also applies ideas and theories that can explain the disparate approaches to ending violence and discrimination based on social categories like race, gender, class, sexual orientation, and ability [1, 2]. Intersectionality specifically refers to the complex nature of oppression—how people can hold multiple social locations and the dangers of examining systems of power and oppression in a manner that separates race, gender, class, ability, sexual orientation, and other social locations. These are not separate things, and no one is solely just one. The term is located within historical Black feminist thought and conversations and movements toward social justice and the ending of oppression overall. It is also worth noting that this framework broke new ground in creating language and a movement for the issues and perspectives of multiple different groups of women and people. The definition and framework for intersectionality have since been expanded to apply to everyone in every identity. This means that any time we are talking about social justice and liberation, we must engage in intersectional thinking [3, 4].

Theoretical Foundations of Intersectionality

Intersectionality provides an analytical lens to understand how multiple social identities intersect to produce diverse experiences that need to be taken into account. Emerging from a rich theoretical background, primarily theorized out of contributions from feminist theory, critical race theory, critical legal studies, and queer theory, intersectionality can be situated in the broader intellectual currents of identity politics. Intersectionality itself is an evolving theoretical and methodological framework that is being continuously developed and redefined. Core to the theory is that intersectionality insists on the multiplicity and complexity of social identities. It begins with the examination of the constructed nature of social categories and identities, including race, gender, sexuality, class, and ability [5, 6]. Singular identity positions have a weakness in that they tend to assert specific oppression without engaging or acknowledging the multiplicity of oppression. Examining social groups individually becomes impossible when developed into a detailed part of society. Additionally, all people are marginalized within their social

groups at some intersection, where the social groups are interconnected in some way; thus, intersectionality challenges essentialist notions of categories [7, 8]. It is concerned with the patterns of human relations from various social structures, revealing why certain intersections are perpetuated at the micro level of human relations, and showing why existing institutional practices do not readily accommodate the demands and uniquely situated representatives for 'rights.' Intersectionality thus helps scholars study social problems and social identities' relationships beyond functioning in general abstract systems of rule. Both academically and transnationally, feminists, activists, union members, and students are accepting intersectionality as a means to study globalization. Additionally, a wide array of themes around the globe are being investigated under an intersectional rubric [9, 5].

Key Concepts and Terminology

In this paper, we define and clarify some concepts and terminology. Making sure that we're on the same page and using the same working vocabulary is a crucial aspect of both using and communicating about an intersectional framework. Because of the complexities of identity and privilege, we must speak with precision to make clear our points, both within an academic context and when working with others in anti-oppression, activist, and allied fields. In particular, there are a few terms and ideas for which we may have very similar working understandings, but it is worth noting that no one has a universal experience of any form of oppression, and experiences of oppression are always fluid and relational to one's context and locations in a particular culture and a particular group. Because of the wide variation of experiences, it is worth mentioning these definitions, possibly to clarify those with whom we work. As such, we use "someone has privilege" over "someone is privileged," as the former refers to the power dynamics between the person who has privilege and the person or people who do not. We use the term "between" because these forces are relational, with power enacted between members of groups and with people often involved in multiple locations. Finally, the word "factors" is used instead of the words "sites" or "locations" commonly existing within the literature, as those terms do not express an understanding that multiple factors or levels of identity can coexist in a single communication [10, 11].

Applications of Intersectionality Theory

Intersectionality has been applied or considered in a variety of fields including sociology, political science, law, public policy, psychology, and economics. In law, a legal theory of intersectionality can broaden judicial understanding of the range of injuries experienced. In social policy, intersectionality theory suggests that identity is shaped by a whole host of factors that affect the privileges and discriminations a person is likely to encounter and can inform social justice and social work practices. It was relatively common within informants' work to use an intersectional approach in policy advocacy and research, with a shared view that pursuing an exclusive focus on multiple or single equality characteristics is limited. In education, the use of intersectional theory can help offer numerous possibilities for understanding how the multiplicity of identity configures issues of educational equity and practice [12, 13]. One example of intersectionality in practice is the study of the personal consequences of poverty. Despite resistance when expanding the legal definition of hate crime to use an intersectional frame, an intersectional policy review and subsequent training were legitimated by the Ministry of Justice. The use of other-touch in research and policy encourages understanding from a range of life experiences and not just marginalizing conditions or perhaps a single major harm. In health care, jurisprudence indicates a shift towards the use of intersectionality in policy appraisal and service delivery. B. Case Studies [14, 15]. There are several examples from research and evaluation where an intersectional approach has been theorized and shown to work in practice. A case study on diabetes and race argues that if this approach is not taken, then, in terms of healthcare achievement of equality and non-discrimination, certain bodies may be seen to have greater biological relevance than others. The examination of multiple inequalities highlights that intersectionality can be transformative in challenging intellectual imperialism. This is further borne out in the work that used the intersectional approach to campaign for domestic worker rights, which highlighted systemic inequalities among poor working women that were not accounted for in civil rights campaigns. Furthermore, intersectional approaches shatter unconscious bias (responsiveness and action are needed for all victims/survivors) [16, 17].

Challenges and Critiques of Intersectionality

Early critiques of intersectionality suggested that it might be becoming too complex, too much – that it was too easy to collect data on multiple identities but hard to define what was significant, what to include or exclude, and what to make of typicality and deviance once multiple identities were added to the mix. Related critiques suggest that in a move to embrace more identities, intersectionality can lose the very insight that drew so many to it in the first place: its ability to surface power and its compounding effects over time. This has led some scholars to note the risk of diluting the insights of intersectionality in response to identity politics, providing more descriptions than explanations, or telling us what we already

know but a bit more loudly. There is also an increasing number of critiques focusing on how intersectionality has been operationalized in particular analyses. There is a sense that intersectionality cannot switch from being a critical social theory that highlights and unmask issues of power to a measurable and straightforward research method [18, 19]. There is a growing sense of tension between intersectionality and mainstream social justice movements. Concerns are raised that intersectionality can be understood in a way that hides the radical potential of the theory by creating room for dominant narratives – namely individual responsibility and blame – to be reified. Other concerns are that concepts like inclusion, diversity, and equality can start to be used alongside (if not in preference to) intersectionality and that this might be co-opted by wider movements without respecting its radical power analysis underpinnings. Finally, some feel that reading intersectionality as simply about adding up identities runs the risk of losing the power of the concept: of intersectionality as a focus on the very specific experiences of groups other than white men. The warning that a focus on analytic difference over relationships among differences, and the general over the specific, runs the risk of losing sight of what is radical and valuable about intersectionality. It is important to remain mindful of these critiques and work to find the balance between respecting the radical legacy and subtlety of intersectionality and to reflect on the most effective way to apply the analytical findings. This is particularly important when thinking about the move towards intersectionality as praxis. It is also vital to work towards creating and holding spaces in which these critiques are attended to and to critically engage in debates that reflect the changing and developing way that intersectionality is being used and understood. It is crucial to maintain a reflexive mindset about how we work on intersectionality, to remain true to its evolution as a theory, praxis, and politics, and to actively support accountability in intersectionality as both scholars and practitioners [20, 21].

Future Directions in Intersectionality Research

Since Crenshaw introduced intersectionality three decades ago, the field has grown and developed. In this paper, we offer some suggestions for future intersections of intersectionality research, which draw upon the ideas from the previous discussions. First, while there are many innovative directions that scholars could pursue in this area, we highlight a few possibilities. For example, two areas that could benefit from a deep intersectional analysis are new technologies and environmental justice. In addition, with phenomena like mass migration and climate change, there are new barriers to overcome for the most marginalized individuals around the world. Likewise, global health disparities need to be understood within this intersectional frame [22, 23]. Second, it will be important for researchers to continue to engage with these areas in an interdisciplinary fashion. Given the voices of community members, anti-racist activists, and other groups who have historically been excluded from elite academic spaces, it will be exciting to see the innovative directions this area will take. We also hope, and expect, that as intersectionality research continues, scholars will make sure to include the community voices that are so necessary for this area of study about lives lived at the edge. In the future, research methods will be developed that can combine non-archival quantitative evidence with stories and other qualitative evidence in qual-quant methods. Finally, increasingly sophisticated research methods, such as digital humanities and methods using big data, will allow us to nuance methods to account for intersectionality. In all the work we do, reflexive practices should be encouraged [24, 25].

CONCLUSION

Intersectionality remains a vital framework for understanding the multifaceted nature of oppression and privilege in society. It challenges reductive approaches to identity and social justice by emphasizing the interconnectedness of race, gender, class, ability, and other factors. While intersectionality has proven invaluable in activism, policy advocacy, and academia, it faces critiques related to complexity and potential dilution in mainstream social movements. The future of intersectionality research holds exciting prospects, particularly in areas like technology, global health, and environmental justice, where an intersectional approach can reveal overlooked disparities. Moving forward, it is essential to maintain the radical core of intersectionality, ensuring that research and activism continue to address the unique and compounded experiences of marginalized communities while engaging with interdisciplinary methods and community voices to guide the theory's evolution.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad R, Gomez C. Intersectionality in Social Sciences: Unpacking the Layers of Identity and Oppression. *The Critical Review of Social Sciences Studies*. 2023 Jun 30;1(01):43-53.
2. Ruiz AM, Luebke J, Klein K, Moore K, Gonzalez M, Dressel A, Mkandawire-Valhmu L. An integrative literature review and critical reflection of intersectionality theory. *Nursing inquiry*. 2021 Dec;28(4):e12414. [\[HTML\]](#)

3. Simon JD, Boyd R, Subica AM. Refocusing intersectionality in social work education: Creating a brave space to discuss oppression and privilege. *Journal of Social Work Education*. 2022 Jan 2;58(1):34-45.
4. Moffitt U, Katsiaficas D, Ghavami N, Minor I, Padilla D, Rogers LO. Intersectionality and identity: A systematic review and qualitative analysis of US research in psychological science. *Identity*. 2023 Oct 2;23(4):288-313.
5. Azmitia M, Mansfield KC. intersectionality and identity development: How do we conceptualize and research identity intersectionalities in youth meaningfully?. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2021 Feb 2;12:625765.
6. Fleming E, Neville P, Muirhead VE. Are there more women in the dentist workforce? Using an intersectionality lens to explore the feminization of the dentist workforce in the UK and US. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*. 2023 Jun;51(3):365-72. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
7. Cioè-Peña M, Linares RE, Kangas SE. The expansive language access framework: an integrated approach to addressing oppression in language education. *Language Policy*. 2024 Dec 7:1-22.
8. Bruce M, Powell A. Reclaiming Early Childhood Educator (s) as Early Childhood Educator (s) through Feminist Ethics of Care. *The Early Childhood Educator: Critical Conversations in Feminist Theory*. 2022 Oct 20:61.
9. Montoya C. Intersectionality and social movements: Intersectional challenges and imperatives in the study of social movements. *Sociology Compass*. 2021 Aug;15(8):e12905.
10. Farmer TW, Serpell Z, Scott LA, DeVlieger SE, Brooks DS, Hamm JV. The developmental dynamics of emotional and behavioral difficulties of youth of color: Systemic oppression, correlated constraints, and the need for targeted universalism. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*. 2022 Jun;30(2):71-85. [\[HTML\]](#)
11. Llena CZ, Stier J, Gray B, editors. *Crisis and the Culture of Fear and Anxiety in Contemporary Europe*. Taylor & Francis Group; 2023 Aug 1.
12. Kelly C, Kasperavicius D, Duncan D, Etherington C, Giangregorio L, Presseau J, Sibley KM, Straus S. 'Doing' or 'using' intersectionality? Opportunities and challenges in incorporating intersectionality into knowledge translation theory and practice. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2021 Aug 21;20(1):187. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
13. Ghasemi E, Majdzadeh R, Rajabi F, Vedadhir A, Negarandeh R, Jamshidi E, Takian A, Faraji Z. Applying Intersectionality in designing and implementing health interventions: a scoping review. *BMC Public Health*. 2021 Dec;21:1-3. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
14. Holman D, Salway S, Bell A, Beach B, Adebajo A, Ali N, Butt J. Can intersectionality help with understanding and tackling health inequalities? Perspectives of professional stakeholders. *Health research policy and systems*. 2021 Jun 25;19(1):97. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
15. Singleton BE, Rask N, Magnusdottir GL, Kronsell A. Intersectionality and climate policy-making: The inclusion of social difference by three Swedish government agencies. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*. 2022 Feb;40(1):180-200. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
16. Okoli AC. Exploring the transformative essence of intellectual feminism in Africa: Some contributions of Amina Mama. *Open Political Science*. 2021 Jan 1;4(1):126-35.
17. de Saxe JG, Trotter-Simons BE. Intersectionality, decolonization, and educating for critical consciousness. *Journal of thought*. 2021 Apr 1;55(1/2):3-20.
18. Wyatt TR, Johnson M, Zaidi Z. Intersectionality: a means for centering power and oppression in research. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 2022 Aug;27(3):863-75.
19. Misra J, Curington CV, Green VM. Methods of intersectional research. In *Intersectional Experiences and Marginalized Voices* 2024 Feb 15 (pp. 10-29). Routledge. [ualberta.ca](https://www.ualberta.ca)
20. Misra J, Curington CV, Green VM. Methods of intersectional research. In *Intersectional Experiences and Marginalized Voices* 2024 Feb 15 (pp. 10-29). Routledge.
21. Roth S. Intersectionality and coalitions in social movement research—A survey and outlook. *Sociology Compass*. 2021 Jul;15(7):e12885.
22. Bah F, Kagotho N. "If I Don't Do It, No One Else Will" Narratives on the Well-Being of Sub-Saharan African Immigrant Daughters. *Affilia*. 2024 May;39(2):229-44.
23. Spencer-Wood SM, Trunzo JM. Introduction to Archaeologies Special Issue on Intersectionality Theory and Research in Historical Archaeology. *Archaeologies*. 2022 Apr;18(1):1-44.
24. Boveda M, Annamma SA. Beyond making a statement: An intersectional framing of the power and possibilities of positioning. *Educational Researcher*. 2023 Jun;52(5):306-14.

25. Bonu G. Intersectionality and participatory action research: a methodological proposal applied to the study of feminist spaces in urban contexts. *Culture e Studi Del Sociale*. 2022 Dec 1;7(2):215-33.

CITE AS: Kato Bukenya T. (2025). Intersectionality: Understanding Multiple Identities in Society. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management 7(2):30-34
--