

Mental Health in the Workplace: Strategies for Employers

Ahairwe Frank and Atukunda Lucky

Faculty of Business Administration and Management Kampala International University Uganda

Email: ahairwefrank56@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Mental health is an essential component of employee well-being, with profound implications for workplace productivity, organizational culture, and employee retention. This paper examines the critical impact of mental health on employee performance and organizational success, highlighting legal, ethical, and practical considerations for addressing mental health challenges in the workplace. Strategies such as mental health support programs, training for managers and employees, and creating an inclusive work environment are evaluated. The findings demonstrate that investing in employee mental health yields tangible benefits, including reduced absenteeism, increased engagement, and a healthier workplace culture. By adopting a proactive, inclusive approach, employers can mitigate mental health-related challenges and create a sustainable framework for employee success.

Keywords: Workplace Mental Health, Employee Well-being, Productivity, Inclusion, Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs).

INTRODUCTION

Mental health, for any person, is a fundamentally critical aspect of overall well-being. This is no less true for employees who, from entry-level to C-suite, are regularly provided the opportunity to describe well-being, engagement, and motivation for improvement through engagement surveys and public review platforms. This data regularly supports the assertion that, in general, an increased focus on mental health for employees leads to increased productivity and decreased absence rates. Further, the branding of an organization as either more or less desirable based on attempts to increase the focus on mental health provides a more desirable talent pool for direct hires. Healthcare costs are also greatly reduced. A chain effect here is that whenever mental and behavioral health services are not obtained and symptoms persist, despite the best attempt to "compartmentalize," these symptoms bleed into the corporate cultures we are working to protect and can spread to others [1, 2]. The corporate setting has become much more aware of and comfortable with discussing mental health in recent years, and a large reason for this is the growing acceptance by all demographics of the field of psychology, supported and evidenced by brain imaging. In addition, acknowledging issues related to mental health and referring to workplace solutions or third-party counseling is essential in the management of known or suspected symptoms so production and performance are not affected to the point of disengagement from a top performer in the hopes of fixing a "bottom feeder." Failure to address an employee's mental health usually leads to litigation or the immediate termination of that employee, both of which affect the overall company culture and public opinion. The organization should wish not only to provide individuals with this service but also to continue their employment once healthy. However, the consolidation of the EAPs at the turn of the 21st century, leading to the branding and the desire of the company, has sent a message to their employees to the effect of "you need to address mental health on your own time" or "mental health is only important if you think you need it." Only within the past few years has mental health been mentioned in surveys or

had targeted gatherings. The push is on, the burden of proof for role-specific knowledge is laid out, and the means to integrate and utilize these benefits must be present to satisfy these curiosity seekers [3, 4].

Understanding The Impact of Mental Health on Employee Performance

The effects of mental health issues on the ability of employees to perform their jobs are significant. These issues drive productivity losses through presenteeism – the inability to focus and perform effectively – and absenteeism – missing work due to mental health issues. Employees with mental health issues may be unable to meet job expectations or work effectively with coworkers. The quality of their work may suffer, and they may be overall less resilient to the stresses of the workplace, decreasing overall job satisfaction and contributing to negative morale. Importantly, the presence of an employee with untreated mental illness – like anxiety and depression – can resonate across a team and workplace to further erode organizational culture and employee well-being. Despite the impact of these disorders, employers can successfully manage them in the workplace, thus forming an invaluable support system for the early intervention and support of affected employees [5, 6]. Existing research shows clear advances in the understanding of the prevalence and impact of mental health issues within the workforce. The most common causal workplaces leave employees vulnerable to the repercussions of financial pressures, the impact of personal relationships, and indicators of depression including fatigue and poor concentration. Anxiety and depression, perhaps the two most common disorders known to impede workplace performance, commonly result from the development of excessive stress and are consistent with disabling employability. When left untreated, such disorders can lead to significant employment disruptions, such as decreased hours worked, layoffs, and turnover. Such concerning job consequences – often stemming from early onset conditions – lay the foundation for the development of severe impairments. Addressing these conditions can result in a potential return to work for affected employees [7, 8].

Legal and Ethical Considerations for Addressing Mental Health in The Workplace

Addressing mental health proactively in the workplace is not only the ethical thing to do but it is also guided by laws and regulations. Numerous laws exist to protect the rights of employees facing mental health issues. Employers are required to provide unpaid leave to employees who meet certain criteria. Employers are prohibited from discriminating against qualified individuals with disabilities and are required to provide reasonable accommodations to affected employees. Standards are set that would help employees with mental illness feel more comfortable talking about their illness if they choose to do so within their workplace. Regarding ethics, providing a mentally healthy workplace reflects organizational values of non-discrimination and inclusiveness. By respecting confidentiality, employers can build an open and trustworthy reputation that can assist in successful recruitment and retention efforts. Further, many companies fall under legal mandates and regulations due to the nature of the work that they do. As a result of these facts, it is often easier, legally, to require some level of monitoring and accommodation for employee mental health problems than to cover expenses and conduct training for future litigation from an increasing part of the workforce. Some of the fastest-growing groups in organizations include employees 65 and older and employees with disabilities [9, 10]. Employers are expected to treat these employees fairly and to make any reasonable accommodations required by law. An organization that has not conducted a self-audit of its policies and programs may be found liable within the legal systems for a violation of these workers' rights. In practice, this usually means making training, good communication, and non-discriminatory treatment an important part of managerial and HR policy. This legislation can also work to create corporate policies when included as part of an organization's overall mission. For instance:

- Many companies pride themselves on "hiring for diversity."
- Some corporations have a social entrepreneurial model that goes so far as to comply with state laws and create "return-to-work" accommodations for those suffering from mental illness.
- Educating state-by-state to implement these policies can create a corporate belief system that values each individual's worth and abilities as a worker.
- The development of official policies and practices also works to create more formal programs and establish a climate for "comfortable conversations" and "appropriate responses" to mental health issues.
- Employees can feel better knowing that their company is a model for inclusion that has "values" attached to it and is not "just seeing a doctor" [11, 12].

Implementing Mental Health Support Programs in The Workplace

Several practical methods can be developed to support mental health in the workplace. Counseling services and employee assistance programs can be provided through a range of means, either in-house or through specialist services. Wellness programs can also be developed for employees. To ensure that mental health initiatives are workable, it can be helpful to conduct an audit of needs, such as 'stress audits,' and then undertake an anonymous survey to find out how many people may be experiencing stress. This can then be incorporated into a report [13, 14]. While a vast array of strategies can be

developed to support workplace mental health, these strategies must be appropriate for the workforce that is being serviced. It is crucial when designing mental health programs to keep the target population in mind. Research shows the majority of workers inquire about mental health in the general practice setting. An onsite service may foster greater employee utilization and help them feel more comfortable discussing mental health. What should you consider when setting up a program that meets the needs of your organization? Employers should gauge the level of demand for mental health support, evaluating which initiatives are attractive and accessible to their workforce. Reviewing ways in which employees seek help at present can be a helpful indicator in this regard [15, 16].

Training and Education for Managers and Employees

Finally, recognizing that a supportive workplace is a joint effort whereby managers create the environment, employees are an essential component of that environment, and it is important to provide training for each. Specifically, managers require formal training to recognize when an employee may be in distress and to skillfully and assertively reach out to offer help, as well as to cultivate a workplace that is based on human rights principles of dignity, respect, and accommodation. The training needs of employees include awareness and sensitivity training, skills for individual coping, as well as an understanding of the resources available. Basic awareness training helps to remove the blanket of fear and ignorance that covers the issue, and good-intentioned and well-intentioned 'talk,' even if muddled, will help in reducing and hopefully removing the taboo feelings and thoughts often associated with the issue. Before assisting employees, it is also helpful to be able to recognize the signs and symptoms of mental distress. Awareness of these 'symptoms' will help managers to reach out to employees whom they fear may be struggling and to offer help respectfully. A variety of training initiatives and programs are available that provide such training. Importantly, potentially employable individuals should also be included in such educational programs since the stress of change in duties and personnel can exhaust the most competent, mentally healthy employees. Illness and recovery in employees can also be sensitively discussed and managed, and ways of optimizing the return to work are highlighted. Only an informed and educated workforce can change the workplace culture from fear, ignorance, and illness to understanding, support, even-handedness, compassion, and a healthier and more efficient workforce [17, 18].

CONCLUSION

Addressing mental health in the workplace is no longer optional but imperative for employers seeking to create a sustainable and thriving workforce. By prioritizing mental health, organizations not only comply with legal and ethical obligations but also enhance productivity, reduce costs, and foster a supportive culture. Programs tailored to the specific needs of employees, combined with training initiatives and robust policies, can dismantle stigmas and empower employees to seek help. As workplaces evolve, embracing mental health as a cornerstone of organizational strategy will ensure long-term success, attract top talent, and cultivate a resilient, engaged workforce.

REFERENCES

1. Johnson A, Dey S, Nguyen H, Groth M, Joyce S, Tan L, Glozier N, Harvey SB. A review and agenda for examining how technology-driven changes at work will impact workplace mental health and employee well-being. *Australian Journal of Management*. 2020 Aug;45(3):402-24. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
2. Rollins AL, Eliacin J, Russ-Jara AL, Monroe-Devita M, Wasmuth S, Flanagan ME, Morse GA, Leiter M, Salyers MP. Organizational conditions that influence work engagement and burnout: A qualitative study of mental health workers. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*. 2021 Sep;44(3):229. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
3. Romanis EC, Parsons JA. Legal and policy responses to the delivery of abortion care during COVID-19. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 2020 Dec;151(3):479-86. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
4. Smit L, Holly G, McCorquodale R, Neely S. Human rights due diligence in global supply chains: evidence of corporate practices to inform a legal standard. *The International Journal of Human Rights*. 2021 Jul 3;25(6):945-73. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
5. de Oliveira C, Saka M, Bone L, Jacobs R. The role of mental health on workplace productivity: a critical review of the literature. *Applied health economics and health policy*. 2023 Mar;21(2):167-93. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
6. Dobson M, Schnall P, Roskam E, Landsbergis P. Work-related burden of absenteeism, presenteeism, and disability: an epidemiologic and economic perspective. *Handbook of disability, work and health*. 2020:251-72. [healthywork.org](https://www.healthywork.org)
7. Ramón-Arbués E, Gea-Caballero V, Granada-López JM, Juárez-Vela R, Pellicer-García B, Antón-Solanas I. The prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress and their associated factors in

- college students. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020 Oct;17(19):7001. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197001)
8. Fauzi MF, Anuar TS, Teh LK, Lim WF, James RJ, Ahmad R, Mohamed M, Abu Bakar SH, Mohd Yusof FZ, Salleh MZ. Stress, anxiety and depression among a cohort of health sciences undergraduate students: the prevalence and risk factors. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Mar 22;18(6):3269. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18063269)
9. Thornicroft G, Sunkel C, Aliev AA, Baker S, Brohan E, El Chammay R, Davies K, Demissie M, Duncan J, Fekadu W, Gronholm PC. The Lancet Commission on ending stigma and discrimination in mental health. The Lancet. 2022 Oct 22;400(10361):1438-80. [google.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00800-0)
10. World Health Organization. Hospital-based mental health services: promoting person-centred and rights-based approaches. World Health Organization; 2021.
11. Kruse D, Park SR, van der Meulen Rodgers Y, Schur L. Disability and remote work during the pandemic with implications for cancer survivors. Journal of Cancer Survivorship. 2022 Feb;16(1):183-99. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-021-10000-0)
12. Schur LA, Ameri M, Kruse D. Telework after COVID: a “silver lining” for workers with disabilities?. Journal of occupational rehabilitation. 2020 Dec;30(4):521-36.
13. Bryant-Genevier J. Symptoms of depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, and suicidal ideation among state, tribal, local, and territorial public health workers during the COVID-19 pandemic—United States, March–April 2021. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2021;70.
14. Vanhaecht K, Seys D, Bruyneel L, Cox B, Kaesemans G, Cloet M, Van Den Broeck K, Cools O, De Witte A, Lowet K, Hellings J. COVID-19 is having a destructive impact on health-care workers’ mental well-being. International Journal for Quality in Health Care. 2021 Jan 1;33(1):mzaa158. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyaa158)
15. Urbina-Garcia A. What do we know about university academics’ mental health? A systematic literature review. Stress and Health. 2020 Dec;36(5):563-85.
16. Trógolo MA, Moretti LS, Medrano LA. A nationwide cross-sectional study of workers’ mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Impact of changes in working conditions, financial hardships, psychological detachment from work and work-family interface. BMC psychology. 2022 Mar 18;10(1):73.
17. Gabriel KP, Aguinis H. How to prevent and combat employee burnout and create healthier workplaces during crises and beyond. Business horizons. 2022 Mar 1;65(2):183-92.
18. Rathnayake S, Dasanayake D, Maithreepala SD, Ekanayake R, Basnayake PL. Nurses’ perspectives of taking care of patients with Coronavirus disease 2019: A phenomenological study. Plos one. 2021 Sep 3;16(9):e0257064. [plos.org](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257064)

CITE AS: Ahairwe Frank and Atukunda Lucky. (2025). Mental Health in the Workplace: Strategies for Employers. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH, 7(1):76-79.