

Steroid Use in Sports and Performance Enhancement: Ethical Considerations for Healthcare Providers

Mubanza Zunguka J.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The use of anabolic steroids in sports for performance enhancement presents a complex intersection of ethical, medical, and legal challenges, particularly for healthcare providers who must navigate the pressures of both medical integrity and athlete autonomy. While anabolic steroids offer certain physical benefits, their misuse for non-medical purposes poses significant health risks, including cardiovascular diseases, liver damage, hormonal imbalances, and psychological disorders. Healthcare providers are faced with ethical dilemmas when athletes seek prescriptions for performance enhancement, as such requests conflict with established medical ethics such as non-maleficence, beneficence, and justice. The global anti-doping regulations, particularly those of the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA), aim to preserve fairness in sports and protect athlete health, but steroid misuse persists due to the pressures athletes face to outperform competitors. This review explores the motivations behind steroid use, the ethical considerations for healthcare providers, and the medical risks associated with long-term steroid use. Furthermore, it discusses the role of healthcare providers in educating athletes about the risks of steroid use, adhering to anti-doping policies, and promoting alternative performance-enhancement methods. Ultimately, the study advocates for a balanced approach, emphasizing the importance of ethical responsibility, medical integrity, and the protection of athlete health and fairness in competitive sports.

Keywords: Anabolic steroids, performance-enhancing drugs, healthcare ethics, medical integrity.

INTRODUCTION

The use of steroids in sports has been a subject of intense debate for decades, primarily due to concerns related to fairness, health risks, and professional ethics [1]. While some athletes seek medical prescriptions for steroids to address legitimate health concerns such as hormonal deficiencies or recovery from injuries, others exploit these substances to enhance physical performance and gain a competitive edge. The increasing prevalence of performance-enhancing drugs (PEDs) in professional and amateur sports raises significant ethical, legal, and medical concerns [2]. Healthcare providers often face dilemmas when confronted with requests for performance-enhancing drugs, as they must balance patient autonomy with medical integrity and ethical responsibility. Despite stringent anti-doping regulations imposed by sporting bodies such as the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) and the International Olympic Committee (IOC), the use of steroids persists across various sports disciplines [3]. Athletes, driven by the desire for excellence, fame, and financial success, often succumb to the pressure to perform beyond natural limits. This has resulted in numerous scandals, suspensions, and legal battles, underscoring the need for a comprehensive understanding of the motivations behind steroid use, its implications, and potential interventions to curb its misuse. Steroids, particularly anabolic-androgenic steroids (AAS), are synthetic derivatives of testosterone designed to promote muscle growth and enhance physical performance [4]. Initially developed for medical purposes, including treating delayed puberty, muscle wasting diseases, and hormonal imbalances, these drugs have been increasingly misused in the sports industry [5]. The allure of enhanced strength, endurance, and faster recovery times has led to widespread illicit usage among athletes, despite the well-documented adverse effects, including cardiovascular diseases, liver damage, psychological disorders, and hormonal imbalances [5]. The history of steroid use in sports dates back to the mid-20th century when Soviet and American athletes reportedly began using synthetic testosterone to improve performance. Over time, advancements in pharmacology have led to the development of

various forms of steroids, each promising improved athletic capabilities [6]. However, the ethical concerns surrounding their use have led to the establishment of anti-doping regulations and frequent testing to deter athletes from engaging in steroid abuse. Despite regulations, the effectiveness of anti-doping measures remains a topic of debate, as athletes and their medical teams continuously devise methods to circumvent drug tests [7]. This has led to the emergence of underground markets for steroids and other performance-enhancing substances, making enforcement challenging. The persistence of steroid use in sports highlights the need for a deeper investigation into the motivations behind such decisions and the broader implications on athletes' health and the integrity of sports. The problem of steroid use in sports is multifaceted, involving ethical, medical, and legal dimensions. Despite strict regulatory frameworks, the use of steroids remains prevalent, raising concerns about their long-term impact on athletes' health, fairness in competition, and the overall perception of professional sports [5]. While some athletes use steroids under medical supervision, others obtain them illicitly, increasing the risks of misuse and severe health consequences. Additionally, healthcare providers face ethical dilemmas when athletes seek prescriptions for performance-enhancing drugs. The principles of medical ethics—autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice—often come into conflict when physicians are approached for steroid prescriptions [8]. Athletes argue for their right to make autonomous decisions regarding their bodies, while medical professionals must uphold their duty to prevent harm and ensure fair medical practices. Another major issue is the inconsistency in anti-doping enforcement across different sports and countries. While some nations and organizations maintain rigorous testing protocols, others exhibit leniency, leading to disparities in punishment and raising questions about the efficacy of global anti-doping policies [9]. The ease of access to steroids through black markets and online sources further complicates the problem, necessitating stronger preventive and educational measures. This study investigates the reasons behind steroid use among athletes, the ethical dilemmas faced by healthcare providers in prescribing steroids for performance enhancement, the health risks associated with long-term steroid use in sports, the effectiveness of current anti-doping policies, and alternative approaches to maintain fairness and integrity in sports without compromising athletes' health [10]. The research questions include understanding the primary motivations behind steroid use, navigating ethical dilemmas when athletes request steroids, assessing the short-term and long-term health risks associated with steroid use, and exploring alternative strategies to ensure fair competition while safeguarding athletes' health [11]. The findings of this study are expected to contribute to the ongoing discourse on steroid use in sports by providing a comprehensive analysis of the ethical, medical, and regulatory challenges associated with performance-enhancing drugs. The study will offer insights to various stakeholders, including policymakers, sports organizations, healthcare providers, and athletes, to develop more effective strategies for addressing steroid use. The study aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for strengthening anti-doping regulations, enhancing testing procedures, and implementing stricter penalties for violations. It will also explore the ethical dilemmas associated with prescribing steroids, emphasizing the importance of medical integrity and ethical responsibility in sports medicine. The study will serve as an educational resource for athletes, raising awareness about the health risks and legal consequences of steroid use and encouraging a shift towards safer and more sustainable training and performance-enhancement practices. It also aims to inform the general public about the complexities of steroid use in sports, fostering a more nuanced understanding of the pressures athletes face and the ethical challenges involved in maintaining fairness and competitiveness. The research aims to contribute to a more transparent, ethical, and health-conscious sporting environment by addressing these multifaceted issues. The ongoing controversy surrounding steroid use in sports underscores the need for a balanced approach that prioritizes athletes' health, ethical considerations, and the integrity of sports.

Medical Risks and Health Implications

Anabolic steroids pose significant medical risks and health implications, particularly when used for non-clinical purposes like performance enhancement or aesthetic purposes [12]. These risks include increased risk of heart disease, liver damage, hormonal imbalances, and psychological disorders. Cardiovascular diseases are linked to alterations in lipid profiles, such as elevated LDL and decreased HDL cholesterol, which can lead to atherosclerosis, hypertension, and cardiomyopathy. Liver damage is another significant risk, with long-term use leading to liver toxicity, liver tumors, and jaundice. Hormonal imbalances can result from the mimicking effects of naturally occurring hormones, such as testosterone, leading to testicular atrophy, gynecomastia, and suppression of natural testosterone production. Psychiatric disorders can also arise from steroid use, with symptoms such as aggression, mood swings, depression, anxiety, and psychotic disorders. Kidney damage, skin issues, and immune system suppression are other health implications [13]. Ethical considerations for healthcare professionals include informed consent and patient education, patient safety, and exploring safer, evidence-based alternatives. In most cases, the health risks posed by steroids far outweigh any potential benefits, and physicians must be vigilant about the potential for steroid abuse and advocate for healthier, more sustainable approaches to fitness and well-being. By being vigilant about the potential for steroid abuse, healthcare professionals can help patients maintain their well-being and prevent harm.

Ethical Frameworks and Professional Integrity

Healthcare providers are bound by strong ethical frameworks that prioritize patient well-being, uphold justice, and maintain public trust in the healthcare system [1]. The prescription of anabolic steroids for non-medical purposes raises significant ethical concerns, as it violates these principles and undermines the integrity of the medical profession. The Hippocratic Oath, one of the oldest and most influential ethical guidelines for medical professionals, requires physicians to uphold certain moral standards, including a commitment to "do no harm." Prescribing anabolic steroids for non-medical purposes directly contradicts this central tenet because it exposes patients to significant risks without a legitimate therapeutic reason. Additionally, the practice betrays the sacred trust between physicians and patients [14].

Bioethical principles, such as autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice, are particularly relevant when discussing the ethical implications of anabolic steroid use. Autonomy acknowledges the patient's right to make informed decisions about their own health care, but prescribing steroids without fully educating patients or without a legitimate medical need undermines this. Beneficence obligates healthcare providers to act in the best interest of their patients by promoting well-being, while non-maleficence emphasizes "doing no harm." Justice calls for fairness and equal treatment, and prioritizing steroid use for non-therapeutic purposes could divert resources away from patients in need of legitimate medical care, exacerbating healthcare disparities and creating a culture of inequality. Professional codes of conduct outline ethical and legal standards for healthcare providers, which are violated when physicians facilitate steroid use for non-medical purposes. This can lead to the erosion of trust in healthcare providers, increased demand for unethical practices, and undermine public health initiatives. Physicians have a professional and ethical obligation to prevent steroid abuse, including education and prevention, referral to appropriate specialists, and adherence to bioethical principles and professional codes of conduct [15].

The Role of Healthcare Providers in Sports Ethics

Healthcare providers play a crucial role in sports ethics, advocating for clean sports and educating athletes about the long-term consequences of performance-enhancing drugs (PEDs) and substances like anabolic steroids. They must educate athletes about the risks associated with steroid use, emphasizing the importance of clean sport and the risks of adopting shortcuts to performance improvement [16]. Healthcare providers also offer alternative solutions for performance improvement, such as proper nutrition and supplements, customized training programs, and psychological support. By presenting safe, effective alternatives, healthcare providers can help athletes achieve peak performance while safeguarding their physical and mental health, ensuring ethical and sustainable competition [17]. Collaborating with sports organizations and enforcing anti-doping policies is essential for healthcare providers, who must understand and comply with these regulations. They should ensure that athletes are fully aware of the rules surrounding banned substances and the consequences of violating those rules. Healthcare providers must also maintain professional integrity, ensuring that their decisions are guided by the best interests of the athlete and the principles of sports ethics. Whistleblowing on illicit practices is a necessary part of maintaining trust in sports, and healthcare providers must have the courage to adhere to ethical guidelines and regulatory standards. Many professional sports organizations and regulatory bodies have mechanisms in place to protect whistleblowers from retaliation [18]. Healthcare providers play a crucial role in protecting athlete health and sports ethics. They are responsible for treating injuries, supporting athletes' recovery, and upholding the ethical principles of fairness, health, and integrity in competitive sports. By adhering to these ethical responsibilities, healthcare professionals ensure that athletes can pursue their dreams in a safe, fair, and sustainable way while contributing to the ongoing fight for clean sports.

Legal and Policy Considerations

The use of anabolic steroids in competitive sports is subject to significant legal regulation, both internationally and nationally, to preserve sport integrity, safeguard athletes' health, and ensure a level playing field. Healthcare providers play a crucial role in upholding these regulations, as they may face legal and professional consequences if they facilitate steroid use in non-medical contexts, particularly for performance enhancement. The World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) is the cornerstone of international anti-doping regulations, which include the prohibition of non-therapeutic use of steroids, drug testing and monitoring, and Therapeutic Use Exemptions (TUE) [19]. Healthcare providers must ensure that any prescription of anabolic steroids for medical purposes aligns with WADA's TUE procedures, which require clear documentation and justification that the steroids are necessary for the athlete's health.

National anti-doping laws and regulations vary across countries, and healthcare providers must ensure that any actions related to steroid prescriptions comply with national regulations and understand the specific rules in their jurisdiction. Violations of anti-doping laws and regulations can lead to criminal charges, fines, imprisonment, or both. Healthcare providers have a duty to uphold the law and adhere to their ethical and professional responsibilities, including ensuring that prescriptions for anabolic steroids are made only when there is a valid medical reason. They must verify medical necessity, comply with sports organization policies, and maintain accurate and transparent

records regarding steroid prescriptions [20]. Violations of anti-doping regulations can result in severe consequences for healthcare providers, athletes, and the sport itself. These consequences include legal penalties, loss of medical licensure, public trust and professional reputation, and athletes' sanctions. Balancing medical and sporting ethics is crucial, as healthcare providers must prioritize their professional obligations and legal responsibilities while ensuring athletes receive the best possible medical care. Violating these regulations can have significant legal, professional, and ethical consequences, emphasizing the importance of strict adherence to both medical and sporting regulations in the prescription and use of anabolic steroids [21]. By adhering to these guidelines, healthcare providers help maintain the integrity of the sport, protect athletes from harm, and ensure fair competition.

CONCLUSION

Steroid use in sports poses significant ethical, medical, and legal challenges for healthcare providers. The use of steroids for performance enhancement often conflicts with medical ethics, such as the duty to "do no harm," patient safety, and fairness in competition. Healthcare providers must navigate anti-doping regulations and legal frameworks to ensure their practices align with medical necessity and the integrity of the sport. Steroid use presents significant health risks, including cardiovascular disease, liver damage, hormonal imbalances, and psychological disorders. Healthcare providers are ethically bound to educate athletes on these risks and provide safer methods for performance improvement. Advocating for clean sports and upholding anti-doping policies contributes to maintaining fairness and health in competitive environments. Violations of anti-doping regulations can lead to severe consequences, including legal sanctions, loss of licensure, and damage to professional reputations. Healthcare providers must adhere to ethical principles and national and international anti-doping laws to preserve the sanctity of sports, protect athletes from harm, and promote a culture of integrity within the sporting world.

REFERENCES

1. AlShareef, S., Gokarakonda, S.B., Marwaha, R.: Anabolic Steroid Use Disorder. In: StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL) (2025)
2. Chisati, E.M., Undi, D., Uili, S., Nkhoma, S., Mlongoti, M.: Prevalence of performance enhancing substance use among elite football players in two super league teams in Blantyre, Malawi. *Malawi Med J.* 34, 157–161 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.4314/mmj.v34i3.3>
3. Merlo, A.B.M., Lobigs, L., Piper, T., Champod, C., Robinson, N.: Unravelling the threat of contamination in elite sports: Exploring diverse sources impacting adverse analytical findings and the risk of inadvertent exposure to prohibited substances. *Forensic Science International.* 365, 112240 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2024.112240>
4. Pope, H.G., Kanayama, G., Ionescu-Pioggia, M., Hudson, J.I.: Anabolic steroid users' attitudes towards physicians. *Addiction.* 99, 1189–1194 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00781.x>
5. Wenbo, Z., Yan, Z.: The Uses of Anabolic Androgenic Steroids Among Athletes; Its Positive and Negative Aspects- A Literature Review. *J Multidiscip Healthc.* 16, 4293–4305 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S439384>
6. Gavin T, Madeline S, Andrea L H, Jessica A W, Jessica K, Max A, Qing C, Najla E J, Margaret L M, Daniel J W, Bruce R B, Alexander K, Robin R S, Dan K, Shernan G H, Armin R. Shotgun sequencing of the faecal microbiome to predict response to steroids in patients with lower gastrointestinal acute graft-versus-host disease: An exploratory analysis. *British journal of haematology*, 192, 3 (2021). [Dio 10.1111/bjh.17238](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.17238)
7. Lauritzen, F., Solheim, A.: The purpose and effectiveness of doping testing in sport. *Front Sports Act Living.* 6, 1386539 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2024.1386539>
8. Varkey, B.: Principles of Clinical Ethics and Their Application to Practice. *Med Princ Pract.* 30, 17–28 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1159/000509119>
9. Celalettin U, Jacqueline B, Debra A M, Eris T, Marisa M, Armin R, Mallory W, Ece M, Shernan H, Daniel W, Sunita N. Necessity for treatment of steroid refractory severe GIT GVHD: patience of providers. *Bone marrow transplantation*, 55, (4), 833–835 (2020).
10. Silva, V., Madeira, R., Joaquim, J., Matos, C.: Safety Implications of Off-Label Medication Use in Athletes: A Narrative Review. *Medicines (Basel).* 11, 20 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines11080020>
11. Ekmekci, P.E.: Physicians' Ethical Dilemmas in the Context of Anti-Doping Practices. *Ann Sports Med Res.* 3, 1089 (2016)
12. Berning, J.M., Adams, K.J., Stamford, B.A.: Anabolic steroid usage in athletics: facts, fiction, and public relations. *J Strength Cond Res.* 18, 908–917 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1519/14813.1>
13. Zubair M. F, Oladosu I A, Olawore N O, Usman L A, Fakunle C O, Hamid A A, Ali M S (2011). Bioactive steroid from the root bark of *Psorospermum corymbiferum*. *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*, 9, (4), 264–266 (2011). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1875-5364\(11\)60062-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1875-5364(11)60062-9)

14. Henriksen, H.C.B., Havnes, I.A., Jørstad, M.L., Bjørnebekk, A.: Health service engagement, side effects and concerns among men with anabolic-androgenic steroid use: a cross-sectional Norwegian study. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*. 18, 19 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-023-00528-z>
15. Margaret L M, Shernan G H, Armin R, Todd E D, Bruce B, Daniel J W (2017). Pediatric Acute GVHD Grade, Risk Prediction and Response to Upfront Steroids in 370 Children Treated at the University of Minnesota. *Blood*, 130, 4486 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V130.Suppl_1.4486.4486
16. Petróczi, A., Boardley, I.D.: The Meaning of “Clean” in Anti-doping Education and Decision Making: Moving Toward Integrity and Conceptual Clarity. *Front Sports Act Living*. 4, 869704 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2022.869704>
17. Drew, M.K., Toohey, L.A., Smith, M., Baugh, C.M., Carter, H., McPhail, S.M., Jacobsson, J., Timpka, T., Appaneal, R.: Health Systems in High-Performance Sport: Key Functions to Protect Health and Optimize Performance in Elite Athletes. *Sports Med*. 53, 1479–1489 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-023-01855-8>
18. Margaret L M, Shernan G H, Armin R, Todd E D, Claudio G B, John E W, Bruce R B, Daniel J W. Validation of minnesota acute GVHD risk score and identification of new factors associated with initial response to steroids: not all GVHD is created equal. *Blood*, 132, 67 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-99-113085>
19. Hughes, D.: The World Anti-Doping Code in sport. *Aust Prescr*. 38, 167–170 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.18773/austprescr.2015.059>
20. Chang, C.J., Weston, T., Higgs, J.D., Ohkubo, M., Sauls, A., Tedeschi, F., White, M., Young, C.C.: Inter-Association Consensus Statement: The Management of Medications by the Sports Medicine Team. *J Athl Train*. 53, 1103–1112 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-53-11>
21. Piatkowski, T., Gibbs, N., Dunn, M.: Beyond the law: Exploring the impact of criminalising anabolic-androgenic steroid use on help-seeking and health outcomes in Australia. *Journal of Criminology*. 57, 62–82 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1177/26338076231209044>

CITE AS: Mubanza Zunguka J. (2025). Steroid Use in Sports and Performance Enhancement: Ethical Considerations for Healthcare Providers. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES, 6(1):84–88