EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF MEDICINE AND MEDICAL SCIENCES
(EEJMMS) ISSN: 2992-4103
©EEJMMS Publications Volume 5 Issue 3 2024

The Impact of Digital Health on Patient Adherence to Treatment

Abdullahi Abdirahim Bashiir

Faculty of Engineering Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The rapid progress of digital health technologies has transformed patient adherence to treatment plans, creating unprecedented prospects for healthcare improvement. Mobile health (mHealth), telemedicine, wearable devices, and patient portals are examples of digital health technologies that have changed how patients engage with healthcare professionals. This study investigates the impact of digital health interventions on treatment adherence, focusing on the factors influencing patient compliance and the efficacy of digital health tools in improving adherence. The study uses case studies and real-world examples to emphasize the impact of personalized interventions, such as mobile apps and telehealth services, in empowering patients to take an active role in their healthcare. The study additionally reviews the problems and future directions for integrating digital health into normal treatment, emphasizing the need for patient-centered approaches and socio-ethical issues.

Keywords: Digital Health, Patient Adherence, Mobile Health (mHealth), Telemedicine, Wearable Devices.

INTRODUCTION

The pace of digital transformation in healthcare has recently accelerated due to a number of variables, including new technologies, healthcare cost containment, health coverage expansion, and patient interaction via technology. This digital transition is referred to as "digital health" and includes mobile health, eHealth, health information technology, telehealth, and telemedicine. Patient portals, wearable gadgets, smartphone apps, and social media are all changing the way healthcare stakeholders and organizations connect and communicate. This transformation creates new opportunities for everyone engaged [1, 2]. Advances in healthcare technology have significantly increased treatment adherence rates. The use of digital health technologies has enabled healthcare providers to examine and influence patients' views while also successfully monitoring adherence and collecting useful data. This large data set enables advanced analysis, allowing the identification of detailed patterns that may lead to patient non-adherence. As a result, healthcare personnel are more equipped to administer individualized interventions, which leads to a significant increase in treatment adherence and, ultimately, better health outcomes for patients [3].

Understanding Patient Adherence to Treatment

Patient adherence to treatment, a crucial aspect of successful healthcare delivery, entails the extent to which patients follow medical advice, including taking medications, attending appointments, and engaging in recommended lifestyle changes. A distinction can be made between compliance and adherence; while the former conveys a passive role of patients in their treatment, adherence reflects a more active, collaborative relationship between patients and healthcare providers (HCPs). Though the term adherence is often used interchangeably with compliance, the former is favoured in current literature because it better addresses the active role of patients in following their treatment recommendations. The World Health Organization (WHO) posits that broadly speaking, adherence is the extent to which a person's behaviour following medical advice corresponds with agreed recommendations from an HCP [4, 5]. The WHO estimates that adherence to long-term treatments in developed countries is 50-70%. Non-adherence and poor adherence to treatment are significant issues in healthcare. Researchers, healthcare providers, and pharmaceutical companies are trying to improve adherence by removing costs to the patient, providing aids (like reminders), and simplifying regimens. Poor adherence is still estimated to be

around 50%. Inadequate adherence can lead to antimicrobial resistance and an economic burden. Factors influencing adherence can be divided into five dimensions: socio-economic factors, healthcare system factors, healthcare provider factors, patient factors, and disease factors [6].

Factors Influencing Patient Adherence

Patient adherence to treatment is influenced by various factors, including socio-economic, health system, condition, therapy, and patient-related factors. Background factors such as age, gender, education, and socio-economic status can indirectly impact treatment decisions. Financial and insurance coverage, access to care, and the type and severity of cancer also play a role in treatment adherence. Cultural beliefs and attitudes towards health, as well as family dynamics and authority, can affect treatment choices. Overall, multiple factors interact and vary across different patient populations [7]. Health system can affect treatment choice and adherence. Health care providers' personal experience plays an important role in treatment decisions and adherence to treatment in the family. Patients' previous experiences with treatment of themselves or family members can affect choices on diagnosis and treatment and adherence to treatment. Healthcare providers' views on a patient's chance of disease recurrence/progression, conditional on treatment or non-treatment, can affect treatment decisions and adherence. Healthcare providers' vested interest in treating patients with certain treatment modalities can result in unfavorable treatment choices and nonadherence [8].

Role Of Digital Health in Improving Adherence

Digital health encompasses a range of technologies designed to enhance health and healthcare improvement, which includes health-related apps, mobile phones, web solutions, telehealth, wearable devices, electronic health records, and patient portals. Utilization of mobile phones in healthcare has increased, leading to the development of mobile health (mHealth) technologies aimed at supporting healthcare services, primarily focusing on user-centered and application-driven advancements [9, 10]. Patient adherence is absolutely vital for ensuring the success of any treatment plan. In order to enhance patient adherence, digital health interventions have emerged as incredibly valuable tools. These interventions, encompassing a wide range of methods such as mobile applications, text messages, and telehealth services, have proven to be highly effective in bolstering patient adherence rates. By incorporating reminders, monitoring mechanisms, and fostering open lines of communication with healthcare providers, these interventions empower patients to take control of their own healthcare journey, ensuring that they stay on track and achieve the best possible outcomes [11].

Types Of Digital Health Interventions

Digital health refers to the intersection of technology and health and is based on the use of digital technologies and communications to store, manage, share, and transmit data related to health and health care. Digital health is a broad, inclusive domain that encompasses various topics, including eHealth, telehealth, mobile health, and cyber health and is gaining momentum and developing at a rapid pace. Digital health interventions are tools used in digital health care that use digital technologies and applications to support or encourage patients' self-management of various health issues. Digital health interventions can take many forms, including websites, mobile apps, telecommunication technologies including SMS, FDAs, computer programs, and video games embedded with behavior change techniques. Digital health interventions are being evaluated for their potential to improve patient's adherence to their treatment regimens as digital health solutions can support and enhance patients' knowledge about their treatment, facilitate their self-management, and streamline and enhance their communication with their healthcare providers. Digital health interventions are broadly classified into three types based on their method of delivery: text messaging-based interventions using SMS or MMS, internet- or app-based interventions through websites or mobile applications, and other electronic interventions transmitted via FDAs or telecommunication programs [12].

Case Studies and Examples

Digital health interventions have proven effective in enhancing patients' adherence to treatment plans. This section explores case studies and examples, showcasing key features. A notable exemplification is a digital health app for individuals with chronic kidney disease (CKD-3n). It collects health information to generate personalized care plans and offers video tutorials and supportive chat-bots. Patients and caregivers collaborate in its seamless functioning. A multidisciplinary team diagnoses infections and creates a comprehensive clinical manual. The integration of mobile health tools empowers patients to actively monitor their health and make informed decisions. This innovation has led to improvements in patient outcomes and fosters hope and resilience. The future of healthcare looks promising with the integration of technology and human dedication [13, 14].

Challenges And Future Directions

Despite the growing interest and exponential expansion of digital health, there is a big need to better understand the full scope of digital health and its role in health and care. Digital health encompasses a wide scope of technologies, tools, roles, and governance structures and takes on many different forms. Digital health cannot be fully understood separately from local political and healthcare realities. Thus, there is a need for a more nuanced understanding of what is meant by digital health and its role. A simple catalog of technical and regulatory elements is insufficient to come to grips with the full scope and importance of digital health. Currently, digital health is mostly discussed and analysed in terms of the positive aspects of the technologies and their potential. There is a risk of techno-bias, where the promise, successes, and visions of digital health are over-emphasized, and disadvantages, risks, limitations, and threats are downplayed, ignored, or simply not noticed. Besides technological solutions and their implementation, there is a need to create a fuller understanding of digital health itself and its socio-ethical implications for health and care and the future of societies. Citizens, users, patients, healthcare professionals, and other stakeholders need to be meaningfully invited into discussions about the development of digital health. There is a need for deliberative engagement with the full scope of the opportunities and challenges posed by digital health. Users need help in finding ways to discuss the digitalization of health and care in their everyday lives and more formal governance settings. Co-production between researchers and non-academic stakeholders is imperative to create debate on a wider range of possibilities, alternatives, and consequences of digital health. Researchers also have an important responsibility in examining and problematizing health, care, and technologies and in helping to shape visions of health and care for society's public. There will be a need to deepen research on the social-cultural, ethical, political, and economic implications of the digitalization of health and care in different contexts and about vulnerable groups. There is also a need to design, construct, and re-claim alternative digital health infrastructures [15].

CONCLUSION

Digital health technologies have significantly enhanced patient adherence to treatment by providing personalized, accessible, and data-driven tools that facilitate engagement, monitoring, and communication with healthcare providers. These technologies, including mobile applications, telehealth platforms, and wearable devices, offer tailored interventions that address individual patient needs, thereby improving adherence and health outcomes. However, the full potential of digital health will only be realized by addressing challenges such as the digital divide, patient privacy, and the need for more inclusive, co-produced health solutions. Future developments should focus on integrating socio-cultural, ethical, and political aspects of digital health to ensure equitable access and meaningful patient engagement across diverse populations.

REFERENCES

1. Hermes S, Riasanow T, Clemons EK, Böhm M, Krcmar H. The digital transformation of the healthcare industry: exploring the rise of emerging platform ecosystems and their influence on the role of patients. Business Research. 2020 Nov;13(3):1033-69. springer.com
2. Jayaraman PP, Forkan AR, Morshed A, Haghighi PD, Kang YB. Healthcare 4.0: A review of frontiers in digital health. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery. 2020 Mar;10(2):e1350.
3. Padwal R, Wood PW. Digital health approaches for the assessment and optimisation of hypertension care provision. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2021 May 1;37(5):711-21.
4. Myers LB, Midence K. Concepts and issues in adherence. InAdherance to Treatment in Medical Conditions 2020 Jul 24 (pp. 1-24). CRC Press.
5. Anderson LJ, Nuckols TK, Coles C, Le MM, Schnipper JL, Shane R, Jackevicius C, Lee J, Pevnick JM, PHARM-DC Group Choudhry Niteesh K MD, Ph. D O'Mahony Denis MD Sarkisian Catherine MD. A systematic overview of systematic reviews evaluating medication adherence interventions. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy. 2020 Jan 15;77(2):138-47. oup.com
6. Burnier M, Polychronopoulou E, Wuerzner G. Hypertension and drug adherence in the elderly. Frontiers in cardiovascular medicine. 2020 Apr 7;7:49. frontiersin.org
7. Nidoi J, Muttamba W, Walusimbi S, Imoko JF, Lochoro P, Ictho J, Mugenyi L, Sekibira R, Turyahabwe S, Byaruhanga R, Putoto G. Impact of socio-economic factors on Tuberculosis treatment outcomes in north-eastern Uganda: a mixed methods study. BMC Public Health. 2021 Dec;21:1-6. springer.com

8. Richardson JP, Smith C, Curtis S, Watson S, Zhu X, Barry B, Sharp RR. Patient apprehensions about the use of artificial intelligence in healthcare. NPJ digital medicine. 2021 Sep 21;4(1):140. nature.com
9. Solomon DH, Rudin RS. Digital health technologies: opportunities and challenges in rheumatology. Nature Reviews Rheumatology. 2020 Sep;16(9):525-35.
10. Brewer LC, Fortuna KL, Jones C, Walker R, Hayes SN, Patten CA, Cooper LA. Back to the future: achieving health equity through health informatics and digital health. JMIR mHealth and uHealth. 2020 Jan 14;8(1):e14512. jmir.org
11. Skoufalos A, N'Dri LA, Waters D. Leveraging digital medicine to support providers and their patients in managing serious mental illness. Population Health Management. 2021 Aug 1;24(S2):S-55.
12. Gentili A, Failla G, Melnyk A, Puleo V, Tanna GL, Ricciardi W, Cascini F. The cost-effectiveness of digital health interventions: a systematic review of the literature. Frontiers in Public Health. 2022 Aug 11;10:787135. frontiersin.org
13. Philippe TJ, Sikder N, Jackson A, Koblanski ME, Liow E, Pilarinos A, Vasarhelyi K. Digital health interventions for delivery of mental health care: systematic and comprehensive meta-review. JMIR mental health. 2022 May 12;9(5):e35159. jmir.org
14. Willis VC, Thomas Craig KJ, Jabbarpour Y, Scheufele EL, Arriaga YE, Ajinkya M, Rhee KB, Bazemore A. Digital health interventions to enhance prevention in primary care: scoping review. JMIR medical informatics. 2022 Jan 21;10(1):e33518. jmir.org
15. Trupia DV, Mathieu-Fritz A, Duong TA. The sociological perspective of users' invisible work: a qualitative research framework for studying digital health innovations integration. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2021 Nov 4;23(11):e25159. jmir.org

CITE AS: Abdullahi Abdirahim Bashiir. (2024). The Impact of Digital Health on Patient Adherence to Treatment. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF MEDICINE AND MEDICAL SCIENCES. *5(3)*:21-24