Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science 3(3):1-4, 2024

ROJBAS Publications ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9200

https://rojournals.org/roj-biological-and-applied-science/ PRINT ISSN: 1115-6120

Telemedicine and Remote Patient Monitoring: Innovations and Challenges

Katu Amina H.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International Uganda

ABSTRACT

Telemedicine and Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM) have made substantial progress in recent years, especially during the COVID-19 epidemic, for which they were crucial in sustaining healthcare provision. The present review investigates the progression of telemedicine, technical advancements in remote patient monitoring (RPM), and the obstacles linked to its deployment. Although these technologies have revolutionised patient care by facilitating uninterrupted monitoring and decreasing healthcare expenses, they also pose difficulties such as infrastructure requirements, reimbursement constraints, and ethical concerns. The debate emphasises the need of adopting a strategic strategy that effectively manages the tension between innovation and practical and ethical considerations in order to fully harness the capabilities of telemedicine and remote patient monitoring (RPM).

Keywords: Telemedicine, Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM), Healthcare Innovation, Telehealth, COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

Telemedicine and remote patient monitoring (RPM) have grown rapidly in recent years. In the course of their development, they have evidenced a diversified array of both innovative functionalities and systemic inadequacies. While teleconsultation and RPM confirmed their effectiveness and efficiency during the Covid-19 pandemic, they also enhanced the process of healthcare delivery, especially during times of crises. The intention of this discussion is to survey these innovations and difficulties [1]. The chief function of the policy framework is to mitigate the risks and limit the difficulties linked to the effective and beneficial aspects of telemedicine and RPM. As vehicles for the reformulation of the healthcare delivery system, teleconsultation and RPM would make the patient's role more proactive and reduce both the cost of care and loss of earnings [2]. In one sense, the investment in new technologies may actually increase healthcare costs, especially if strategies are not redesigned to adapt them. A strategy based exclusively on a "no return on investment" (ROI) undertaking could represent a mistaken orientation, given that telemedicine and RPM, in addition to providing economies and financial returns to the healthcare system and society, impact on the process of delivering care and reduce the psychological and physical effects associated with the progression of disease, thereby improving a patient's quality of life [3]. A strategy founded solely on a ROI principle, without consideration of further benefits in terms of disease management and improving the patient's health condition, could represent a limited vision of healthcare. We advocate a strategy based on the progressive and gradual introduction of telemedicine, through a smart and diversified method [4].

EVOLUTION OF TELEMEDICINE

Telemedicine has been in operation before the computer, internet, and television. According to Zhang, it was not until the introduction of the telephone that the healthcare industry began to gradually recognize telemedicine. As early as the 1800s, physicians saw patients over the phone or via video chat – long before

the strategy was considered routine practice. For a variety of reasons, ingenuity did not rapidly develop. As the technology developed, telemedicine grew, allowing for the use of several computers, a high number of phones, and fax machines. The strategy rapidly expanded to other modes. The existence of computers and internet facilities extended telemedicine's influence and reach. Using the internet made it simple for the service to reach a larger audience. Telemedicine has undergone numerous technological advancements and improvements that have altered the course of interactions throughout its historical course. Telemedicine swiftly went through the following modes: video calling on television, computers, tablets, and cell phones and other internet-equipped devices [5]. Our historical analysis of telemedicine demonstrates the field's ability to adapt new possibilities that technology provides in responding to the evolution and intervention needs of the community with updated knowledge and instruments. In this instance, looking at the history of telemedicine will aid in understanding how it differs from prior forms of provision of healthcare services and how it is now accepted in order to publicly discuss the various technological tools, applications, and issues that clinicians and those receiving such services may expect from the announcement of various state governments. Telemedicine has a long timeline, with its historical roots beginning centuries ago. Even so, it took a while to become a regular practice. Long ago, the early to mid-1900s treated people nearly face-to-face with the assistance of telegraph and telephone calls. The excuse for not pursuing this new trend was based on several factors: 1) Legislative resistance; 2) Financial concerns; 3) Technological handicap. Moreover, there was an intense debate during this period that was easily compact, equitable, and at the time could not be answered due to the absence of the necessary different technological tools to service a significant percentage of the population. The delays lasted until the "technology revolution" arrived. Not all, but there were setbacks. Today, telemedicine has a bright future, although the pandemic has redefined the landscape, and it remains to be seen what aspect of the increased ease of interaction will remain in play for the long term. However, the history of telemedicine is still in its early stages of adoption as compared to live interventions. The medical industry has tailored its technological advancements to match the precise nature and funding priorities provided by the several bodies giving financial support to advances across the spectrum of telemedicine supporting industries [6].

TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS IN REMOTE PATIENT MONITORING

The past few decades have seen huge advancements in the development of wearable medical devices, including lightweight biosensors that monitor vital signs, ingestible sensors that relay data from the gastrointestinal system, and radiation sensors. These technological developments have enabled continuous and remote monitoring of patients without having to admit them to healthcare facilities. Chronic disease management approaches, such as remote patient monitoring, help to avoid hospital readmissions, the costs associated with the treatment of exacerbations and complications, and emergency department visits. In recent years, the context of remote monitoring has been further improved through the integration of telehealth to create 'revolutionary' telehealth platforms, which leverage both patient-reported information and real-time data from wearable sensors. These platforms, an example of more complex systems, have shown positive results in chronic disease management with demonstrated reductions in unexpected ED visits or hospitalizations. Especially during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, monitoring patients remotely has gained relevance to shield vulnerable populations from unnecessary exposure to potentially infected individuals and serious complications during hospitalization [7]. At today's level of technology, remote patient monitoring (RPM) includes various devices and/or sensors that monitor health parameters such as vital signs, physical activity, and signs and symptoms of disease. RPM can use a variety of wearable, implanted, or digestible medical sensors to collect data and transmit it to a data center for the monitoring of chronic conditions like hypertension, diabetes, and congestive heart failure. Bridge devices with the capability to read and store the data locally and transmit the detected data in real-time or with a time delay to a Remote Patient Monitoring services provider enable smart and simple RPM. More complex remote patient monitoring systems or telehealth platforms exist today, which collect and analyze data from multiple devices, interpret and display the data, and transmit clinical information to a health professional or provider for assessment [8].

CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING TELEMEDICINE AND REMOTE PATIENT MONITORING

One of the primary and most widespread challenges impeding the wider adoption and implementation of telemedicine and RMP is the primary requirement of healthcare information technology (HIT) infrastructure. The purchase, implementation, and maintenance of HIT infrastructure, including hardware, software, and skilled personnel to manage it, can be prohibitively expensive. Small

organizations, centers, and practices may find it quite difficult to justify the expense of these infrastructure improvements, and the cost of infrastructure may be particularly harmful in under-resourced rural communities. Additionally, legislative regulations and incentives may be confusing and can actually hinder adoption in some cases [9]. Additionally, significant barriers to adoption are decreased reimbursement and no reimbursement related to telemedicine. Lack of reimbursement for RMP does not appear to be as significant a barrier as lack of reimbursement for pure telecommunications. The potential for a lack of return on investment (ROI) is also a concern, especially for organizations with limited resources to invest in this technology [8-11]. Limited reimbursement may also prevent RMP from reaching the wider population in its current state. In addition, workforce challenges related to electronic health records and other health information technology are significant drivers of interoperability challenges, and we lack a workforce that understands basic principles of health IT policy and standards. Requirements for site technology [12-13]. Electronic consultation and provider time necessary for electronic consultation have been identified as problems. Additionally, under current state and federal regulations, definitions, transaction codes, and fee structures regarding telemedicine are strategically located. Finally, patient acceptance is a major barrier to the adoption of telemedicine, as they are concerned about the quality of care, potential breach of privacy, or simply not interested in using these technologies [10-13].

ETHICAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS IN TELEMEDICINE

After years of experimentation and trials, the future of telemedicine and remote patient monitoring is still uncertain. There are no clear answers, although different lines of development seem promising. All projections to date can change with improvements in artificial intelligence and the increasingly invasive use of sensors, cameras, and other devices. Also, ethical and legal considerations, as well as economic factors, can impact the way these technologies develop. This chapter will explore that area [11]. Despite numerous innovations, the basic ethical principles that doctors follow have not changed in over two millennia. The Hippocratic Oath still embodies the principal ethical principles that guide the medical profession, from confidentiality to patient autonomy. Today, telemedicine is changing the practical application of these principles in the areas of privacy, patient relationships, and the exercise of tele-prescriptions and health apps. At the same time, economic theorists, including the Nobel Prize winner Friedrich Hayek, have suggested that systems which rely on decentralized local and individual knowledge to operate are more resilient and able to adapt to change more effectively than those managed centrally. By training and professional ethics, doctors should adopt the medicine-based approach, consider themselves first, based on the specifics peculiar to the case and the person concerned. The danger is to lose sight of the human part especially when the contact is mediated by a computer. There remains the need to think about what will be lost at the expense of new kinds of relationships [12].

CONCLUSION

Telemedicine and Remote Patient Monitoring represent significant innovations in the healthcare sector, offering enhanced patient care, especially during crises like the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite their potential, the successful integration of these technologies faces numerous challenges, including infrastructure costs, reimbursement issues, and ethical dilemmas. The future of telemedicine and RPM depends on a careful balancing act between technological advancement and the ethical, legal, and financial realities of healthcare delivery. A strategic and gradual adoption of these technologies, with a focus on patient-centered care and broad systemic support, is essential for maximizing their benefits and ensuring sustainable healthcare improvement.

REFERENCES

1. El-Rashidy N, El-Sappagh S, Islam SR, M. El-Bakry H, Abdelrazek S. Mobile health in remote patient monitoring for chronic diseases: Principles, trends, and challenges. Diagnostics. 2021 Mar 29;11(4):607. mdpi.com
2. Lozano E, Meza SF, Alexander A, Bonilla P, Jaramillo W. Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM). InClosing the Care Gap with Wearable Devices 2022 Dec 7 (pp. 67-77). Productivity Press. [HTML]
3. Pritchett JC, Borah BJ, Desai AP, Xie Z, Saliba AN, Leventakos K, Coffey JD, Pearson KK, Speicher LL, Orenstein R, Virk A. Association of a remote patient monitoring (RPM) program with reduced hospitalizations in cancer patients with COVID-19. JCO Oncology Practice. 2021 Sep;17(9):e1293-302. ascopubs.org
4. Haleem A, Javaid M, Singh RP, Suman R. Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. Sensors international. 2021. sciencedirect.com

5. LoPresti MA, McDeavitt JT, Wade K, Jahn LK, Viswanathan A, Fordis M, Yoshor D. telemedicine in neurosurgery—a timely review. Neurosurgery. 2020 Aug 1;87(2):E208-10. [HTML]
6. Albert DA, Mathew SD, Peoples C. Telerheumatology: A Historical Perspective. InTelerheumatology: Origins, Current Practice, and Future Directions 2022 Jul 15 (pp. 7-20). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
7. Jeddi Z, Bohr A. Remote patient monitoring using artificial intelligence. Artificial intelligence in healthcare. 2020. [HTML]
8. Condry MW, Quan XI. Remote patient monitoring technologies and markets. IEEE Engineering Management Review. 2023. [HTML]
9. Sharma S, Rawal R, Shah D. Addressing the challenges of AI-based telemedicine: Best practices and lessons learned. Journal of education and health promotion. 2023 Sep 1(1):338. lww.com
10. De Guzman KR, Snoswell CL, Taylor ML, Gray LC, Caffery LJ. Economic evaluations of remote patient monitoring for chronic disease: a systematic review. Value in Health. 2022 Jun 1;25(6):897-913. sciencedirect.com
11. Muller AE, Berg RC, Jardim PS, Johansen TB, Ormstad SS. Can remote patient monitoring be the new standard in primary care of chronic diseases, post-COVID-19?. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2022 Jul 1;28(7):942-69. uit.no
12. Ojinmah U, Anyanechi CN, Ovesuor CA, Onodugo NP, Ogbobe O, Emeka CM, Onyedum CC. The evolution and ethical implication of the Physician's Pledge: A guide for medical practitioners. Nigerian Medical Journal. 2023 May 23;64(2):174-82. ajol.info
13. Ogenyi, Fabian Chukwudi and Ugwu Okechukwu Paul-Chima .The Impact of Telemedicine on Healthcare Delivery during and after the COVID-19 Pandemic: Opportunities, Innovations, Challenges, and Policy Implications. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PHARMACY, 2024. 5(1):39-48. https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJPP/2024/51394818

CITATION: Katu Amina H. Telemedicine and Remote Patient Monitoring: Innovations and Challenges. Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science, 2024 3(3):1-4.