

# The Role of Transparency in Legal Communication

Nabirye Amina Okwir

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Transparency is a cornerstone of effective legal communication, critical to fostering trust, legitimacy, and efficiency within legal systems. This paper examines the concept of transparency through semiotic and legal linguistic perspectives, highlighting its role in bridging the gap between legal professionals and diverse stakeholders, including the public, court officials, and policymakers. Transparency is positioned as both a goal and a means to achieve ethical and practical objectives, such as public trust and effective governance. Challenges to achieving transparency include systemic issues like legal jargon, confidentiality constraints, and cultural inertia within legal institutions. Strategies for improvement include adopting plain language, establishing clear communication protocols, leveraging technology, fostering collaboration among stakeholders, and implementing feedback mechanisms. Case studies provide practical examples of how transparency can transform legal communication, reinforcing accountability and democratic values in the pursuit of justice.

**Keywords:** Legal communication, Transparency, Trust in governance, Legal linguistics, Plain language law, Judicial communication.

## INTRODUCTION

Transparency is a big issue in today's legal world. This short essay reflects on the topic and activity of transparency in law and legal languages. The truth is that this matter, a little by surprise, is recurrent in the contemporary super-specialist sector with many discussions from various points of view, from linguistic and cognitive studies to jurisdictional and philosophical debates. This fact positions it as a genuine issue in the modern world order, connected with other fundamental questions tied to the contemporary era, first of all, the question of power and authority [1, 2]. The main objective of this paper is to perform an analysis under the semiotic and, specifically, legal linguistic perspective on the notion of transparency, a notion that is particularly important for legal subjects. First, however, we should focus on some general considerations about this semiotic and legal notion, which is suitable not only for identifying and interpreting legal-factual actions or states but also for strengthening relations of trust and legitimacy within a community. This review aims to provide a broader perspective on the theme to apply and adapt it to the language that is used by law communicators, in particular by police and judicial organizations that have chosen the type of legal system. Indeed, the absence of transparency in writings may undermine mutual trust, which can lead to fatal errors that are difficult to correct, also because they concern trust in the authorities of justice [3, 4].

### The Importance of Transparency in Legal Communication

Transparency is a fundamental requirement for legal communication in the modern world. It is usually recognized as a goal in itself, but it can also serve as a means to realize other legitimate goals, such as the trust of the public in governance and the effective administration of the law. Both seek legitimate communication for openness in law, with the underlying reasons divided into two categories. Openness enables an understanding of administrative decisions, and it can rectify or mitigate misunderstandings that may lead to conflicts such as litigation or social uprising. It enhances governmental effectiveness by creating a sociopolitical climate of trust, which strengthens group solidarity. Major ethical systems, moreover, often demand that a legal system effectively communicate with stakeholders, such as the public,

court officers, or the accused. It would appear to be an obvious conclusion of this study that law, in both theory and practice, seeks ethics of dialogue and transparent communication. From a purely instrumental perspective as well, transparency can further goals such as governmental efficiency, public satisfaction, or democratic openness. In the context of litigation, transparency can have significant effects. In the context of administrative law, good governance is an essential tenet of modern public and administrative law and derives from multiple principles, including openness. Aristotle coupled persuasive legal voice with transparency, and several legal theories highlight transparency as a necessary precondition for the pursuit of justice or political deliberation. The label may vary, but the practical implications are functionally equivalent. In a general sense, these arguments—and they are numerous—are merely reflections on the functional effectiveness of informational norms, which are critical features of law and communication theory. Undue opacity in some communicative contexts can betray confidence in the law as a domain of accountability, morality, and the pursuit of goods and justice under the law [5, 6].

### **Challenges to Achieving Transparency in Legal Communication**

Some of these challenges are systemic and have to do with the broader organizational context. The document may be too complex and replete with legal jargon to enable a general communications officer to understand it and act upon it. Confidentiality concerns may pose a barrier here on two fronts. The first, and most obvious problem is that the very nature of the case may dictate caution, especially in the sensitive area of crisis management. This is particularly so about highly complex or intellectual property disputes. These can end up in violation of laws that control commercial sensitivity, confidentiality, or privacy rights. On the other hand, any agency is always limited in the degree to which it can disclose the content of current or potential cases, to protect its clients' commercial interests [7, 8]. The pace of cultural, practical, and institutional change can be slow, particularly in the legal sector. A person's whole approach to tasks may be governed by the strategies of a firm or legal team that may be cripplingly conservative in their approach. There is no mandate for genuine transparency, only disclosure, which is not the same thing. Other lawyers I talk to are unable to understand what I am doing. Different regulatory frameworks and legal cultures create different kinds and levels of disclosure that are difficult to standardize. Technology arising, for the most part, out of bureaucratic need, creates closed silos of knowledge and proprietary systems for accessing it that are hugely wasteful in terms of time and money, not to mention being fundamentally unfair. A lot of legal knowledge is held within closed, privatized systems of regulation, and that enables some of the most financially powerful individuals and entities to break the rules. Different countries, states, and regions may disagree with the need to extrapolate or implement such tools and ways of being [9, 10].

### **Strategies For Enhancing Transparency in Legal Communication**

How then can we achieve this desired transparency of legal communication? Several practical strategies can help make such improvement a reality.

1. **Write in Plain Language:** We should encourage efforts for the creation of plain-language versions of legal information that are concise, focused, and easy to read and understand. We can use short sentences and short paragraphs, and replace complex, technical terms and concepts with simpler, more direct words where definitions and explanations add clarity. We should avoid jargon, if possible, and give proper context and background information to make sure that we are not assuming that people know what we mean. A clean, appealing page layout is more relaxing and thus more conducive to retaining and understanding. Content can be organized under helpful headings and subheadings for quick access. Finally, we can provide the necessary contacts to interpretive tools and appropriate expertise [11, 12].
2. **Establish Clear Communication Protocols:** Good communication about complicated legal matters will be fostered by lawyers' collective efforts to build and promote clear procedures around what information should be shared with whom, when, and how. These processes will rely on technology and secure storage systems for customer information that allows other essential stakeholders to respond promptly to questions from clients, other teams, or the public [13, 14].
3. **Utilize Technology to Increase Transparency:** As stated in both of the points above, collaboration and IT are integral to transparent communication. With IT, legal issues can be discussed and recorded in clear, simple language and archived in databases and websites where everyone can use this explanation or background. These technologies can also be used to collaborate internally among lawyers in the same organization, with other departments, or across other stakeholders in problem-solving sessions. Some peer-to-peer question-and-answer technologies and tools are useful to help lawyers explain laws and legal concepts regularly, and authentication and encryption elements of technology are also important. End-to-

end encryption can reassure clients, for example, that their personal information is safe. Strategies for those implicated in the legal profession on transparency and clarity—both lawyers and those in peripheral roles—can run the gamut from inclusion in law school curricula and mandatory ethics courses for legal practitioners to publishable guidelines and internal best practices. It is crucial to provide uniform training and guidance to everyone involved in legal communication or implicated in business in this area [15, 16].

4. Share Information between Stakeholders: Stakeholders need to communicate and share ideas to further the use of plain language in legal communication. A summit has been proposed to develop collective strategies for sharing and developing inter- and intra-organizational best practices for the creation of specific legal documents and for collaborating on content development. The conference might include lawyers, judges, teachers, municipal administrators, academics, and scholars who have demonstrated an interest in plain language and readability [17, 18].

5. Evaluate Regularly and Solicit Feedback: Feedback should be regularly sought from clients, from the public, and from pro bono recipients on the quality and utility of the information being shared. Techniques for feedback on the quality of legal information depend on the context. It is a good idea to periodically ask receiving clients and those individuals that the information is aimed at for a formal evaluation of the quality of the information. As the information is intended to empower the parties, they should also help to measure the effectiveness of their outcomes. The urgency within the clarity of legal communication does not lend itself to lengthy longitudinal studies or formal financial value assessments. If legal information creators can bear the burden of proof, though, it might be worth creating a longitudinal study to analyze the impact of clear legal information on social norms within the legal system [19, 20].

#### **Case Studies and Examples of Effective Transparent Legal Communication**

Transparency in legal systems leads to many positive outcomes—greater trust and support, legitimacy of the law, voluntary compliance, and adherence to the law. Although the literature has many studies showing the importance of transparency, few analyses have explored providing theoretical implications or practical case studies. There is a gap in the literature concerning transparency and its practical uses, but existing data can help shape more effective strategies and provide a useful starting point for those who are not already using transparency. In particular, legal professionals must provide information, policies, and practices in terms that the public can understand. Research and analysis of best practices and empirical data in use and outcomes can be accomplished in many contexts, as can be seen in the following sections. Engagement is important in the case studies and recommendations for moving forward in the next steps section. Utilization of sensors for bladder data presents a case study in effectively integrating communication with vulnerable patient responders, caregivers, and healthcare professionals in a country where background violence and hostilities require risk preparedness to lower the communications stigma. The analysis is of cost strategies for the use of communication technology requiring linguistic and numerical data together with multiple needs to comply with humanitarian safeguards. A strategic transparency case study shows the careful classification of should-know and need-know information for dissemination and the ability to provide passive versus active sources of learning. A draft is considered to be a useful case study in legally relating a nuclear non-proliferation agreement in language simplified for non-official comprehension outside of the professional diplomatic community [21, 22].

#### **CONCLUSION**

Transparency in legal communication is essential to a fair and effective legal system, supporting trust, legitimacy, and compliance. While jargon, confidentiality, and systemic inertia persist, practical strategies like adopting plain language, leveraging technology, and fostering collaboration can overcome these barriers. Transparency not only enhances understanding and accessibility but also strengthens the ethical foundations of legal practice by fostering accountability and equity. As legal systems evolve in complexity, ensuring transparency will remain a crucial pathway to reinforcing public confidence and democratic governance.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Barman KG, Wood N, Pawlowski P. Beyond transparency and explainability: on the need for adequate and contextualized user guidelines for LLM use. *Ethics and Information Technology*. 2024 Sep;26(3):47.
2. Al-Tarawneh A, Al-Badawi M, Hatab WA. TRANSLATING GOVERNANCE AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE: EXPLORING THE ROLE OF TRANSLATION IN FACILITATING

- CORPORATE REPORTING AND POLICY IMPLEMENTATION. *Corporate Law & Governance Review*. 2024 Sep 1;6(3). [\[HTML\]](#)
3. Wang Q, Guan Z. Can sunlight disperse mistrust? A meta-analysis of the effect of transparency on citizens' trust in government. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 2023 Jul 1;33(3):453-67. [google.com](#)
4. Von Eschenbach WJ. Transparency and the black box problem: Why we do not trust AI. *Philosophy & Technology*. 2021 Dec;34(4):1607-22.
5. Díaz-Rodríguez N, Del Ser J, Coeckelbergh M, de Prado ML, Herrera-Viedma E, Herrera F. Connecting the dots in trustworthy Artificial Intelligence: From AI principles, ethics, and key requirements to responsible AI systems and regulation. *Information Fusion*. 2023 Nov 1;99:101896. [sciencedirect.com](#)
6. Olaniyi OO, Olabanji SO, Abalaka A. Navigating risk in the modern business landscape: Strategies and insights for enterprise risk management implementation. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*. 2023 Sep 19;29(9):103-9. [ssrn.com](#)
7. Wang R, Bush-Evans R, Arden-Close E, Bolat E, McAlaney J, Hodge S, Thomas S, Phalp K. Transparency in persuasive technology, immersive technology, and online marketing: Facilitating users' informed decision making and practical implications. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2023 Feb 1;139:107545. [sciencedirect.com](#)
8. Allahrakha N. Balancing cyber-security and privacy: legal and ethical considerations in the digital age. *Legal Issues in the digital Age*. 2023(2):78-121.
9. Wulf AJ, Seizov O. "Please understand we cannot provide further information": evaluating content and transparency of GDPR-mandated AI disclosures. *AI & SOCIETY*. 2024 Feb;39(1):235-56.
10. Norval C, Cornelius K, Cobbe J, Singh J. Disclosure by Design: Designing information disclosures to support meaningful transparency and accountability. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* 2022 Jun 21 (pp. 679-690). [acm.org](#)
11. Nurhadi J, Puspendari D, Taufik IN, Widia I, Kodariah S, Sari LK. PLAIN LANGUAGE INTERVENTION TO IMPROVE PUBLIC UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL LANGUAGE: DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF CONTROVERSIAL ARTICLES IN THE JOB CREATION LAW. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*. 2024 Feb 22;12(2):e3224-. [journalsdg.org](#)
12. Stallwood L, Sammy A, Prebeg M, Relihan J, Baba A, Charide R, Sayfi S, Elliott SA, Hartling L, Munan M, Richards DP. Plain Language vs Standard Format for Youth Understanding of COVID-19 Recommendations: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA pediatrics*. 2023 Sep 1;177(9):956-65. [jamanetwork.com](#)
13. Hyland-Wood B, Gardner J, Leask J, Ecker UK. Toward effective government communication strategies in the era of COVID-19. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 2021 Jan 27;8(1):1-1. [nature.com](#)
14. Barrane FZ, Ndubisi NO, Kamble S, Karuranga GE, Poulin D. Building trust in multi-stakeholder collaborations for new product development in the digital transformation era. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*. 2021 Jan 19;28(1):205-28. [academia.edu](#)
15. Daraojimba C, Eyo-Udo NL, Egbokhaebho BA, Ofonagoro KA, Ogunjobi OA, Tula OA, Banso AA. Mapping international research cooperation and intellectual property management in the field of materials science: an exploration of strategies, agreements, and hurdles. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*. 2023 Sep 8;4(3):29-48. [fepbl.com](#)
16. Igbinenikaro E, Adewusi AO. Developing international policy guidelines for managing cross-border insolvencies in the digital economy. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. 2024 Apr 7;6(4):1034-48. [fepbl.com](#)
17. Arlati A, Rödl A, Kanjaria-Christian S, Knieling J. Stakeholder participation in the planning and design of nature-based solutions. Insights from CLEVER cities project in Hamburg. *Sustainability*. 2021 Feb 27;13(5):2572.
18. Yang J, Basile K. Communicating corporate social responsibility: External stakeholder involvement, productivity and firm performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2021 Apr 20:1-7.
19. Kington RS, Arnesen S, Chou WY, Curry SJ, Lazer D, Villarruel AM. Identifying credible sources of health information in social media: principles and attributes. *NAM perspectives*. 2021;2021.

20. Futrell NS. The Practice and Pedagogy of Carceral Abolition in a Criminal Defense Clinic. *NYU Rev. L. & Soc. Change*. 2021;45:159.
21. Liu J, Shahab Y, Hoque H. Government response measures and public trust during the COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from around the world. *British Journal of Management*. 2022 Apr;33(2):571-602.
22. Yu L, Li Y. Artificial intelligence decision-making transparency and employees' trust: The parallel multiple mediating effect of effectiveness and discomfort. *Behavioral Sciences*. 2022 Apr 27;12(5):127.

**CITE AS: Nabirye Amina Okwir. (2025). The Role of Transparency in Legal Communication. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 6(1):26-30**