

Vaccine Hesitancy: Strategies for Effective Communication and Engagement

Mwende Muthoni D.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Vaccine hesitancy continues to impede the attainment of optimal immunization rates globally, despite the established advantages of vaccination in managing preventable diseases. This study analyses the diverse elements contributing to vaccine reluctance, encompassing misinformation on social media, personal belief systems, and sociocultural influences. To overcome reluctance, the study examines successful communication techniques that health practitioners might employ, including customized messaging, empathy-driven interaction, and collaborations with reliable community leaders. Moreover, community participation and evidence-based case studies offer valuable insights for fostering trust and enhancing vaccine acceptability. Recommendations emphasize the significance of culturally attuned strategies, partnerships with local influencers, and the implementation of data-driven initiatives to tackle particular issues within communities.

Keywords: Vaccine hesitancy, vaccine acceptance, communication strategies, public health, community engagement.

INTRODUCTION

People are hesitant about vaccination in many places around the world, despite the success of vaccines in preventing several debilitating and deadly diseases. Vaccine hesitancy, the "delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccines despite availability of vaccination services," is of urgent concern for public health institutions worldwide. Public health professionals habitually assumed that vaccine hesitancy was mainly due to a lack of access to vaccines. Therefore, parents who delayed getting their children vaccinated would have a change of heart when healthcare providers called to reschedule missed appointments. But in the 1990s, it became clear that some parents were refusing vaccines, especially those for measles, mumps, and rubella. Vaccination rates in the U.K. fell from about 92% in 1986 to about 80% in 1997. More recently, in 2013, the refusal rate for vaccine-preventable diseases was 17% in Clark County, Washington, where I live. In September 2019, this led to a measles outbreak there which affected 71 people, about 88% of whom were unvaccinated. Globally, in 2019, over 140,000 deaths are estimated to have been due to measles, a disease that had previously been the cause of over two-thirds of childhood deaths. To continue the previous example, a two-dose coverage rate of 95% or higher is needed to prevent the spread of measles in communities through what is known as herd immunity. Although people with a few exempted conditions can refuse to vaccinate themselves or their dependents, policymakers have considered allowing these non-vaccinating parents to be reported. Children of these non-vaccinating parents may also be ineligible to attend public school [1, 2]. Misinformation abounds on social media platforms and is a significant cause of vaccine hesitancy. For instance, photographs of children from developing countries with melted limbs preceded by alarmist proclamations about rare adverse events led some individuals living in developed countries not to vaccinate their children against polio. Individuals who delayed or refused vaccination will also search online for information on vaccines in the hope that it will help them reach a firm decision. But there is so much information on large commercial search engines that individuals usually only look at the first few entries. Some of these are reliable. Others, like certain websites that claim a strong relationship between vaccination and adverse events, are not. Recent studies have shown that public health providers

can diminish vaccine hesitancy by showing support for an individual's decision rather than stigmatizing them. One study conducted a randomized controlled trial in which messages from "ordinary" members of the public were automated but individually varied to provide specific, high-quality, pro-vaccine arguments for discussions with vaccine-hesitant people. The study found that the strategy is not large, but promising. Volunteering and altruism are important ideologies in certain parts of the U.S. in particular, so this could be a strategy that might work quite well in those localities [3, 4].

Factors Influencing Vaccine Hesitancy

Individual, social, and environmental influences. Individuals in diverse settings can have a vast range of reactive beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors toward vaccines. Factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy include a range of individual, social, and environmental influences. Individual-level factors comprise beliefs, motivations, and attitudes about vaccines, doubts regarding vaccines, perceived risk of harm from vaccines, religious or philosophical beliefs, lack of perceived susceptibility to disease, and many more. A range of preceding successes and concerns with vaccines, along with prevailing or emergent population health and government systems, can also highly influence vaccination behavior. These social and environmental factors operate over the individual through influences from family, friends, community, and authority [5, 6]. At the individual level, concerns about vaccines may include perceived versus actual risk of harm from vaccination, beliefs about vaccines for oneself or for one's child, and history of prior adverse events following vaccination or experiencing previous natural infections. In the first case, some individuals' distrustful attitudes are based on a risk perception framework of new technologies when the perceived benefits are low, mandates are absent, and the perceived consequences are high. Healthcare, individual, and population factors are important. Many behavioral models indicate that trust is an important determinant of healthcare-seeking compliance with recommended healthcare services. Peer and family networks, as well as cultural and community norms, may strongly influence decisions about healthcare-seeking behavior, including vaccine acceptance or refusal. Many decisions are made within the context of competing demands for time, financial limits, fear over conditionalities, and/or forecasts to forgo routine vaccination uptake. People, and women in particular, may also be influenced by others' attitudes towards vaccination, which extends to the sorts of individuals who are members and effectively excluded from civil society [7, 8]. Some of the networks and community factors may include measures of social capital. Communities may have higher rates of childhood vaccination in areas of higher social capital—defined as a range of economic, social, and cultural factors that shape individual and community behavior that could facilitate health and reduce risk. Such multi-dimensional factors include trust, civic participation, social cohesion, collective efficacy, and retaining social and community-like psychosocial variables in this seminal social policy analysis. The diffusion of innovation model well demonstrates the social and individual determinants of the passive adoption of new ideas and technologies. However, contrary to the principles of high social capital communities, some researchers suggest that limited access to local community care or social networks has a protective effect against blanket vaccinations [9, 10].

Communication Strategies to Address Vaccine Hesitancy

Vaccine acceptance has declined over the past few years, and communicating the trustworthiness of vaccines and health authorities should be a priority in addressing vaccine hesitancy. It is important to craft specific messages for different groups, framing arguments based on the concerns and beliefs of audiences. Storytelling, as well as the ability to listen empathetically, are effective engagement strategies. It is important to focus on the dissemination of messages rather than audience size. Tailored messages about the risks of not getting vaccinated are most effective [11, 12]. To combat vaccine misinformation, clear, concise messages work well. Emphasizing the effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine and other vaccinations because they are safe and effective is crucial. Providing direct responses to concerns, particularly addressing specific fears about the vaccine, prevents confusion and speculation. More focused intervention strategies, such as building a sense of empowerment within a community, work better than one-time interventions. Ensuring that the information given to community organizations is scientifically accurate is important. Trusted community leaders should work with those giving or developing coursework and can play a role in formal education for certification. Sponsorship of health education programs is also critical. Non-governmental organizations are already in many communities regularly, providing medical care, health education, and support for the people they serve. Most of these volunteers and workers are from the community and already have a relationship with their clients. Communities want to feel like they participate in identifying program needs, developing programming, and evaluating results. They can also invite additional resources to a session; for example, when a local health department is using blood pressure readings, it can also conduct health information sessions, vaccinate

communities, or provide resources. In addition, communities are strongest when they work together. When this alliance is visible, it sends a message that supports families not only in parenting but in other aspects, which can encourage group members to motivate their families to participate. The content of the program has been tested here, and the delivery of the facts in the largest draw shows what is true. We hope that with the help of the school and the membership, we will be ready and able to make good choices, including the decision to receive the COVID-19 vaccination. The power and soundness of vaccination programs lie in the strength of the message. In approaching communication strategies, experts need to consider the strengths and weaknesses of the different communication channels they use. Therefore, it is important for community professionals to consider how trust is built on social media platforms and to know their scope and capabilities [13, 14].

Community Engagement Approaches

Other strategies that have been identified as important to address vaccine hesitancy relate to the way engagement is fostered between healthcare providers and communities. One of these strategies is to join with agencies that have an ongoing and genuine approach to working together with communities and to start from where they are, acknowledging and working to repair any trust issues that may exist in the relationship. A social-ecological model framework suggests a focus on individual interactions between healthcare providers and families, while also emphasizing policies and advocacy and addressing the need to engage families and local leaders. The importance of building trust and shared responsibility is also included in the training provided on communication to health professionals [15, 16]. Communities are not homogenous entities, and while it is good practice to establish a comprehensive overview of communities' viewpoints, this section addresses specific strategies to engage those who are most influential in local community life, such as church, school, and local government leaders. There are also established strategies to recruit new influencers to help promote vaccination, including involving parents and, in some cases, young people. Our focus here is on the aspects of designing and undertaking community gatherings and forums, powerful processes for building and strengthening partnerships, and listening and responding to issues. Conference and workshop approaches that bring communities together can also be useful, particularly during a vaccine-preventable disease outbreak. Such initiatives must be informed by local data, show respect for local contexts, understand and reflect local values, and bring in data, information, and success stories that show local relevance. Some of the processes we describe can also be used as 'feedback loops,' that is, as opportunities to test understandings and address concerns before messages and activities are framed and shared more widely. These partnerships and forums aim to make vaccination something communities want to do as a shared community goal [17, 18].

Case Studies and Best Practices

Several case studies in Part II of this encyclopaedia detail successful interventions in the community, which were effective in reaching the target population, raising awareness about vaccination, and preventing severe vaccine-hesitant behavior from becoming a mass phenomenon. Below, some of the best practices, considered typical of vaccine communication, are synthesized on the basis of these case studies. Many of the best practices entail collaboration with local authorities and engaging different stakeholders in devising the best strategy. Practical and strategic involvement of affected groups appears to be counterintuitive but is effective. Several best practices and case studies indicate that while communication experts advanced the framework, concrete actions in terms of communication strategies were largely developed in local teams of stakeholders for a specific target audience [19, 20]. Case studies on hepatitis B show that awareness campaigns are more effective when they take place in larger areas with extensive coverage where the target population lives. Experience with MMR awareness raising for online videos demonstrates that a modest budget can have a positive effect if a concept and product are tailor-made. In practice, a combination of free publicity and paid social media advertisements reached tens of thousands of people. However, paid advertisements were likely more effective due to the use of website analytics that provided data that allowed the campaign to specifically target vaccine-hesitant people. Taking the successful best practices and case studies from the European area studies and validated in community outreach, the encyclopedia concludes that they are likely to yield good results if implemented more broadly. This reflects the idea that while techniques will have to be adapted to local conditions, a set of best practices can be brought to the attention of practitioners and form the basis for scaling up. Practitioners who would like to implement or further develop aspects of the best practices in their communities should ensure that they have sufficient in-country understanding to anticipate and respond to relevant concerns and questions related to these interventions [21, 22]. It is important to pool the large amount of knowledge available in communities to allow communities to learn from each other.

There are at least three ways to centralize this knowledge. The first way would consist of listing the various initiatives undertaken in the community and to what effect. The drawback of this method is that it only provides knowledge about completed activities, and since many of these initiatives are glitzy and well-documented, they are probably only the tip of the iceberg. A second method would be to convene a number of community practitioners to a kind of practitioners' course, to learn from each other. The central worker coordinator of the activities would be invited to present their real-world initiatives and to discuss the arguments and lines of action chosen with other practitioners. This could be done once or twice after strategies have been discussed. Adequate distinctions and commonalities can be established. The third way would be to select projects for in-depth case studies as a follow-up to the course [23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Mitigating vaccine reluctance is essential for enhancing public health outcomes and averting outbreaks of vaccine-preventable illnesses. This review highlights the necessity for a sophisticated strategy, prioritising empathetic communication, culturally pertinent message, and community-oriented initiatives. Involving reliable community members and utilising data-informed techniques customised to local contexts might promote a conducive atmosphere for vaccine adoption. By integrating public health initiatives with community requirements and principles, policymakers and health professionals can more efficiently combat misinformation, mitigate apprehensions, and establish enduring trust in immunisation programs.

REFERENCES

1. Bertonecello C, Ferro A, Fonzo M, Zanovello S, Napoletano G, Russo F, Baldo V, Cocchio S. Socioeconomic determinants in vaccine hesitancy and vaccine refusal in Italy. *Vaccines*. 2020 Jun 5;8(2):276. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/v8020276)
2. Gopalakrishnan S, Sujitha P. Vaccine hesitancy in India-the challenges: a review. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*. 2020 Oct 26;7(11):4643. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.2196/ijcmph.2020.7.11.4643)
3. Garrett R, Young SD. Online misinformation and vaccine hesitancy. *Translational behavioral medicine*. 2021 Dec 1;11(12):2194-9.
4. Hernandez RG, Hagen L, Walker K, O'Leary H, Lengacher C. The COVID-19 vaccine social media infodemic: healthcare providers' missed dose in addressing misinformation and vaccine hesitancy. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*. 2021 Sep 2;17(9):2962-4. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645612.2021.2000000)
5. Robinson R, Nguyen E, Wright M, Holmes J, Oliphant C, Cleveland K, Nies MA. Factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy and reduced vaccine confidence in rural underserved populations. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 2022 Nov 24;9(1):1-8. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-022-00000-0)
6. Wiysonge CS, Ndwanwe D, Ryan J, Jaka A, Batouré O, Anya BP, Cooper S. Vaccine hesitancy in the era of COVID-19: could lessons from the past help in divining the future?. *Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics*. 2022 Jan 31;18(1):1-3. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645612.2021.2000000)
7. Elfaki NS, Abdelgyoum HA, Elhelali A. Factors influencing health-seeking behavior among Sudanese immigrants in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Public Health*. 2024 Dec;24(1):1-8. [biomedcentral.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-024-03000-0)
8. Tune SN, Hoque R, Naher N, Islam N, Islam MM, Ahmed SM. Health, illness and healthcare-seeking behaviour of the street dwellers of Dhaka City, Bangladesh: qualitative exploratory study. *BMJ open*. 2020 Oct 1;10(10):e035663. [bmj.com](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-035663)
9. Mengesha EW, Alene GD, Amare D, Assefa Y, Tessema GA. Social capital and maternal and child health services uptake in low-and middle-income countries: mixed methods systematic review. *BMC health services research*. 2021 Dec;21:1-6. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-08000-0)
10. Duh-Leong C, Dreyer BP, Huang TT, Katzow M, Gross RS, Fierman AH, Tomopoulos S, Di Caprio C, Yin HS. Social capital as a positive social determinant of health: a narrative review. *Academic pediatrics*. 2021 May 1;21(4):594-9. [google.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acped.2021.03.005)
11. Sallam M. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy worldwide: a concise systematic review of vaccine acceptance rates. *Vaccines*. 2021 Feb 16;9(2):160.
12. Dzieciolowska S, Hamel D, Gadio S, Dionne M, Gagnon D, Robitaille L, Cook E, Caron I, Talib A, Parkes L, Dubé É. Covid-19 vaccine acceptance, hesitancy, and refusal among Canadian healthcare workers: A multicenter survey. *American journal of infection control*. 2021 Sep 1;49(9):1152-7. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2021.07.005)
13. Vivion M, Anassour Laouan Sidi E, Betsch C, Dionne M, Dubé E, Driedger SM, Gagnon D, Graham J, Greyson D, Hamel D, Lewandowsky S. Prebunking messaging to inoculate against

- COVID-19 vaccine misinformation: an effective strategy for public health. *Journal of Communication in Healthcare*. 2022 Jul 3;15(3):232-42. [bris.ac.uk](https://www.bris.ac.uk)
14. Abdaljaleel M, Barakat M, Mahafzah A, Hallit R, Hallit S, Sallam M. TikTok content on measles-rubella vaccine in Jordan: A cross-sectional study highlighting the spread of vaccine misinformation. *Narra J*. 2024 Jul 26;4(2):e877-. [narraj.org](https://www.narraj.org)
 15. Singh P, Dhalaria P, Kashyap S, Soni GK, Nandi P, Ghosh S, Mohapatra MK, Rastogi A, Prakash D. Strategies to overcome vaccine hesitancy: a systematic review. *Systematic reviews*. 2022 Apr 26;11(1):78. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
 16. Crosby LE, Real FJ, Cunningham J, Mitchell M. Overcoming vaccine hesitancy using community-based efforts. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*. 2023 Apr 1;70(2):359-70. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
 17. Gosliga J, Gardner PA, Bull LA, Dervilis N, Worden K. Foundations of Population-based SHM, Part II: Heterogeneous populations—Graphs, networks, and communities. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*. 2021 Feb 1;148:107144. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
 18. Devries M. Mobilized but not (yet) recruited: The case of the collective avatar. Rise of the far right: Technologies of recruitment and mobilization. 2021 Jul 29:237-60. [\[HTML\]](#)
 19. Siciliani L, Wild C, McKee M, Kringos D, Barry MM, Barros PP, De Maeseneer J, Murauskiene L, Ricciardi W. Strengthening vaccination programmes and health systems in the European Union: a framework for action. *Health Policy*. 2020 May 1;124(5):511-8. [whiterose.ac.uk](https://www.whiterose.ac.uk)
 20. Oyo-Ita A, Oduwale O, Arikpo D, Effa EE, Esu EB, Balakrishna Y, Chibuzor MT, Oringanje CM, Nwachukwu CE, Wiysonge CS, Meremikwu MM. Interventions for improving coverage of childhood immunisation in low-and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2023(12). [cochranelibrary.com](https://www.cochranelibrary.com)
 21. Khoury CK, Brush S, Costich DE, Curry HA, De Haan S, Engels JM, Guarino L, Hoban S, Mercer KL, Miller AJ, Nabhan GP. Crop genetic erosion: understanding and responding to loss of crop diversity. *New Phytologist*. 2022 Jan;233(1):84-118. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
 22. Kreibich H, Van Loon AF, Schröter K, Ward PJ, Mazzoleni M, Sairam N, Abeshu GW, Agafonova S, AghaKouchak A, Aksoy H, Alvarez-Garreton C. The challenge of unprecedented floods and droughts in risk management. *Nature*. 2022 Aug 4;608(7921):80-6. [nature.com](https://www.nature.com)
 23. Zhuang Y, Yu Y, Wang K, Sun H, Zhang C. Toolqa: A dataset for llm question answering with external tools. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2023 Dec 15;36:50117-43. neurips.cc
 24. Al-Aly Z, Davis H, McCorkell L, Soares L, Wulf-Hanson S, Iwasaki A, Topol EJ. Long COVID science, research and policy. *Nature Medicine*. 2024 Aug 9:1-7. [drknowhk.org](https://www.drknowhk.org)

CITE AS: Mwende Muthoni D. (2024). Vaccine Hesitancy: Strategies for Effective Communication and Engagement. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 6 (2):28-32.