

Crisis Management in Education: Lessons from Covid-19

Kakembo Aisha Annet

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted global education systems, affecting 1.6 billion students and forcing an unprecedented shift to remote learning. This paper examines the profound challenges and transformations brought about by the crisis, highlighting strategies for effective crisis management in education. By analyzing the immediate impacts of COVID-19 on learning environments, such as technology integration, policy adaptation, and collaboration among stakeholders, this paper identifies key lessons for building resilience in educational systems. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need for proactive, adaptable frameworks to mitigate future disruptions and foster sustainable recovery. The insights presented offer a pathway toward an education ecosystem prepared to navigate emerging global challenges.

Keywords: Crisis Management, Education Resilience, COVID-19 Impact on Education, Remote Learning, Technology Integration.

INTRODUCTION

Regular public services cannot function in a permanent state of crisis; indeed, they ought not. They should preferably be designed to be resilient and to be able to continue working with minor or major adaptive modifications even when crises materialize. When a service provider cannot supply service levels, then people located within the affected areas also cannot participate as they are expected to, and backlogs that cannot be remedied appear. This paper is dedicated to illustrating and discussing the profound impacts of crises and seeks to answer the following questions: How can crises be managed in the public sector and even strongly affected service sectors, like education? Breakthroughs in disaster and more general crisis management research have been attained. To provide a platform for discussion, the paper identifies and presents lessons that can be learned from the current pandemic. The paper is a modest starting attempt to answer some fundamental research questions. An indication of the future scope of policy, strategies, and practices in the dynamic and fast-changing area of current research is offered as educational systems and disaster management continue to evolve from the basis of new experiences and research findings [1, 2].

Background of Crisis Management in Education

Ever since the birth of modern educational institutions, situations threatening the very existence of systems of education have often arisen. It led to the development of various crisis management strategies in the recent past. The effort to evade future issues finally led to what is commonly referred to as emergency or crisis management. The significant characteristics of the modern concept of crisis management within an educational context include the emphasis on planning, design of strategies, and operational systems that are aimed to minimize the disruption of operations during a time of crisis or decrease any necessary recovery period [3, 4]. The approach is centered on the need for a more comprehensive system of crisis management that incorporates the development of disaster prevention and mitigation strategies. The importance of management training in dealing with extreme situations was also a central concern. The lessons gleaned from any assessment of the overall response to previous education crises are important for the study of the impact and future repercussions of recent and current

issues. Analysis of almost all recognized disruptions to education leads to the conclusion that clear principles emerge. Being prepared is the key to successfully meeting any challenge. This principle appears to hold and is supported by a range of changes made since the terrorist attacks in the United States of America. Crisis management has its tentacles in perceptions or at least lessons learned from accident research, where the aim is also to prevent future episodes. Crisis management analysis increases practical understanding of the management of extraordinary situations. Crisis management must be considered a skill. Measures and efforts in this area should be an integral part of an education manager's job. Prospectively, this should inform intentions to professionalize such roles. The study may identify areas to provide appropriate and necessary training to middle managers in large-scale events [5, 6].

The Impact of COVID-19 on Education

The COVID-19 crisis is a watershed moment for global education. The pandemic has forced the closure of schools and universities in many countries. Nearly 1.6 billion students were left out of traditional classrooms, constituting 94% of all students throughout the world. Many countries have had to make the transition from conventional face-to-face education to alternative forms of teaching and learning, often through remote, online, and technology-mediated platforms. This has proven to be a challenging and complex task. The abrupt change in the delivery of formal education has also resulted in the loss of significant learning opportunities and disruptions in the emotional and psychological well-being of students [7, 8]. The move to alternative means of teaching and learning poses critical challenges to policy, practice, and scholarship in the domains of curriculum design, instructional delivery, assessment, teacher training, digital infrastructure, and wider socio-economic and cultural conditions. These challenges that have arisen during the pandemic can be placed within a broader understanding of the ways in which unforeseen political, social, and economic crises unfold and test the resilience and adaptability of institutions and individuals. It is now acknowledged that the COVID-19 crisis, not unlike other global events, is not a straightforward or monolithic event. Rather, there are differentiated and layered outcomes of the crisis that are specific to the national context, social and economic demographics, individuals, and groups. These unique circumstances and challenges have created the conditions for what some have referred to as a 'shadow pandemic' of educational setbacks, or 'lost learning' [9, 8].

Disruption of Learning Environments

Throughout the pandemic, learning environments have been subjected to disruptions. While educationalists agree that these have been varied and at times proffered new insights, the demands that the pandemic made upon us were initially, at least, to consider the cessation of face-to-face education and operate entirely online. In the case of Australia, a relatively developed nation where the measures imposed were largely to control movement and provide social distancing, schools, and higher education facilities moved to operate online using parallel delivery grids in the very short term. Such an exodus of teaching staff to online classrooms, colleges, and campuses was not without disruption, a fact that provides useful insights for us as educationalists as we move forward. There have been significant disruptions caused by the pandemic within educational environments. The most public of these has been the shift from traditional classroom practice to emergency online full-time mode. These shifts to online, or the proposal of this, extended to all educational levels. Flexibility attracted the teacher innovators. The disadvantages of this approach are many and multifaceted, and it is by no means certain that shifts of this nature in the 'classroom' will attract those who seek to teach. The move to online was not without its functions: it showed us where our weaknesses lay. This was best typified in the move to consider assessment and invigilation. It also necessitated the delivery of essential data and information in a way that had not previously been attempted and coped with. Fundamental to this approach was an upskilling on the part of the teacher who was required to think not so much outside the square as all of a new square. Although change was planned and mostly operative, the teach scrutiny would pivot all of this in a new direction so that the crisis might yet be another point from which to learn [10, 11].

Strategies for Crisis Management in Education

Managing in education, like in other crisis management situations, demands the adoption of strategies that make the organization resilient against an unknown and unforeseen challenge. This approach recognizes the necessity of developing frameworks that are adaptable and respond to the specific uniqueness of each crisis. Indeed, as the experience of the pandemic makes clear, unpredictable factors such as an ever-changing virus, diverse public opinion, national and regional decision-making, and social and economic disparities all impact the organization and must be considered in crisis resilience and recovery. Hence, crisis management in education demands, at one level, dealing with emergent problems

unforeseen and, at another, maybe more perilous level, the creation of a framework that is sufficiently robust and adaptable to respond to any future crisis. Crisis response often follows a coordinated approach characterized by preparation and planning, wherein potential threats and critical areas are identified and evaluated against the likelihood of their occurrence and the vulnerability of the organization. Risks are then prioritized, and resources are marshaled to prepare in advance to minimize predicted outcomes should those risks be realized. This process is critical for crisis management in education, where crises are often embedded within and modify the very social structures that enable their response. In this section, we examine some of the strategies that characterize effective crisis recovery in education. Crucially, we emphasize the necessity of approaching resilience as an emergent property that depends on seeking proactive engagement in making an organization more robust. This approach of looking forward instead of backward is in line with global policies that advocate a paradigmatic shift in crisis response away from predetermining what can go wrong to engaging with how best to respond when we do not know what the future holds. In addition to traditional measures, we discuss stakeholder engagement, the inclusive processes of decision-making, resource allocation, and the recognition of the individual and social experience of the crisis and, indeed, leadership post-crisis. We argue that these strategies are simply the most relevant, given that crises in education may be characterized by their capacity to impact the very social structures in which they are embedded and demand this matched long-term focus. We also discuss specific and unfolding incidents that portray both best practices and innovative strategies. The collective interpretation of cases related to education serves as a reminder of the multinational character of this subject, an aspect that needs to be accounted for variably with regard to national and cultural educational systems and approaches. There is a significant gap in the current status of educational academics involved in crisis management, actually limited to a few case studies. Therefore, we need to trigger the solutions to bridge the gap between current practices and future imperatives. To conclude, this paper aims, therefore, to offer answers to address some of the ambiguities standing in the way of evidence-based disaster risk reduction and, specifically, in advancing a culture of safety in educational settings. It provides a literature review that is thematic rather than systematic in reviewing academic and professional literature [12, 13].

Remote Learning and Technology Integration

3.1.1 Integration of Technology in Education The success of leveraging technology as a pedagogical tool is becoming a crucial aspect of modern educational ideologies. The search for effective technology tools for instruction is ongoing. During disasters, technology has become a game changer in building educational resilience. Educational continuity during these times depends on technology use. The use of technology has paved the way for online instruction during this catastrophic period. Several tools were identified as the most effective tools and e-learning platforms based on the fact that funded training and materials are required, affordable, simple, and efficient [14, 15]. Didactics of Technology Comprehensive research considers technology as a crucial input in education. Instruction, assessment, and learning are widely employed to benefit from the advantages of modern technology, particularly during disasters. A pandemic has occurred around the world. To meet the range of curriculum needs, tools can assist a broad spectrum of age groups and subjects. For class-wide feedback and personal responses, retaining interaction and offering feedback, progress indication, live lessons, and recordings for access, they advocate online teaching and learning practices. Technologies used in the suggested e-tool list are video conferencing facilities, community platforms, editing tools, learning management systems, social networking software, examination platforms, and digital office tools for training, professional skill-building, and collaboration. Institutions are often recommended to make adjustments in assessment formats, such as converting physical tests to online platforms and offering alternate homework-based testing. Device security is raised at all times to prevent accessibility challenges, and it is particularly important to consider the differences among students who are new to technology to enable adjustments. It was advised to exempt students from assessments if they did not have the equipment to get to school [16, 17].

Collaboration and Communication in Times of Crisis

Collaboration is key during crises, and the stakes are high in education. Effective partnerships among multiple stakeholders, including teachers, school leaders, parents, community organizations, and public health experts, can enable the creation and implementation of strong measures for emergency responses to safeguard children and educators. Indeed, parent-teacher partnerships and parent-school-community partnerships are powerful resources for children and their learning. Daily collaboration helps foster effective partnerships. They can help mitigate the long-term effects of lost instruction time but require

continued attention and maintenance. Communication is also a critical tool in times of upheaval and anxiety, fostering trust and maintaining engagement. Stakeholders must be active participants in any decision-making process, not just recipients of information. In crises, people tend to revert to their social networks to gather information and are particularly prone to believing misinformation during disasters due to rapid innovation and uncertainty. Crises can reveal stark differences in perceptions and stakeholder response, but effective communication strategies can bolster trust and cooperation. Attendees listened to resilience stories from various disasters and highlighted the importance of sharing resources, funding, and stakeholders to problem-solve and provide support. They also consistently emphasized successful problem-solving rooted in communication, collaboration, and transparent approaches. Modality, as well as the message, was continually emphasized as a critical factor in the audience's ability to receive and process information, mitigating anxiety and making meaningful progress during a crisis. One stunning success story came from the educational response housed within an organization, as well as within international schools utilizing political strategies. The proactive establishment of robust communication networks for potential crises had a great impact on teachers and international school students [18, 19].

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the vulnerability of global education systems to unforeseen crises while simultaneously revealing opportunities for innovation and resilience. Effective crisis management in education requires a paradigm shift toward proactive preparedness, adaptability, and stakeholder collaboration. By leveraging technology, fostering transparent communication, and prioritizing inclusivity, education systems can evolve into robust ecosystems capable of responding to diverse challenges. The lessons from this pandemic are not merely reactive but a clarion call to reimagine education in a way that safeguards learning continuity and fosters equity in the face of future uncertainties. As we transition to a post-pandemic world, it is imperative to institutionalize these learnings to ensure that education remains a pillar of stability and progress in an increasingly uncertain global landscape.

REFERENCES

1. Adi Badiozaman IF, Leong HJ, Wong W. Embracing educational disruption: A case study in making the shift to a remote learning environment. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*. 2022 Jan 27;14(1):1-5. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
2. Kumar P, Saxena C, Baber H. Learner-content interaction in e-learning-the moderating role of perceived harm of COVID-19 in assessing the satisfaction of learners. *Smart Learning Environments*. 2021 Dec;8:1-5.
3. Boin A, Lodge M, Luesink M. Learning from the COVID-19 crisis: an initial analysis of national responses. *Policy Design and Practice*. 2020 Jul 2;3(3):189-204.
4. Rapanta C, Botturi L, Goodyear P, Guàrdia L, Koole M. Online university teaching during and after the Covid-19 crisis: Refocusing teacher presence and learning activity. *Postdigital science and education*. 2020 Oct;2:923-45. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
5. Ahmed V, Opoku A. Technology supported learning and pedagogy in times of crisis: the case of COVID-19 pandemic. *Education and information technologies*. 2022 Jan;27(1):365-405.
6. Ratten V, Jones P. Covid-19 and entrepreneurship education: Implications for advancing research and practice. *The International Journal of Management Education*. 2021 Mar 1;19(1):100432.
7. Li J, Yang S, Chen C, Li H. The Impacts of COVID-19 on distance education with the application of traditional and digital appliances: Evidence from 60 developing countries. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022 May 24;19(11):6384. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
8. Bozkurt A, Jung I, Xiao J, Vladimirsch V, Schuwer R, Egorov G, Lambert S, Al-Freih M, Pete J, Olcott Jr D, Rodes V. A global outlook to the interruption of education due to COVID-19 pandemic: Navigating in a time of uncertainty and crisis. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*. 2020 Jun 6;15(1):1-26. [asianjde.com](https://www.asianjde.com)
9. Gilead T, Dishon G. Rethinking future uncertainty in the shadow of COVID 19: Education, change, complexity and adaptability. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*. 2022 May 12;54(6):822-33.

10. Elhajjar S, Yacoub L. The impact of COVID-19 on marketing for higher education institutions in developing countries: the case of Lebanon. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*. 2022 Feb 22;1-20.
11. Marginson S. What is global higher education?. *Oxford Review of Education*. 2022 Jul 4;48(4):492-517.
12. Al-Youbi AO, Al-Hayani A, Bardesi HJ, Basher M, Lytras MD, Aljohani NR. The King Abdulaziz University (KAU) pandemic framework: a methodological approach to leverage social media for the sustainable management of higher education in crisis. *Sustainability*. 2020 May 26;12(11):4367. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s12114367)
13. Zhang J, Long J, Von Schaewen AM. How does digital transformation improve organizational resilience?—findings from PLS-SEM and fsQCA. *Sustainability*. 2021 Oct 18;13(20):11487.
14. Hawthorn S, Jesus R, Baptista MA. A review of digital serious games for tsunami risk communication. *International Journal of Serious Games*. 2021 Jun 4;8(2):21-47. [seriousgamessociety.org](https://doi.org/10.5909/2168-6766.2021.08.02.01)
15. Samarakkody A, Amaratunga D, Haigh R. Technological innovations for enhancing disaster resilience in smart cities: a comprehensive urban Scholar's analysis. *Sustainability*. 2023 Aug 6;15(15):12036.
16. Bordoloi R, Das P, Das K. Perception towards online/blended learning at the time of Covid-19 pandemic: an academic analytics in the Indian context. *Asian Association of Open Universities Journal*. 2021 May 21;16(1):41-60. [emerald.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11062-021-03111-1)
17. Meechang K, Leelawat N, Tang J, Kodaka A, Chintanapakdee C. The acceptance of using information technology for disaster risk management: A systematic review. *Engineering Journal*. 2020 Jul 31;24(4):111-32. [engj.org](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11062-021-03111-1)
18. Bethune E, Buhalis D, Miles L. Real time response (RTR): Conceptualizing a smart systems approach to destination resilience. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*. 2022 Mar 1;23:100687. [bournemouth.ac.uk](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2022.100687)
19. Laufs J, Waseem Z. Policing in pandemics: A systematic review and best practices for police response to COVID-19. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*. 2020 Dec 1;51:101812.

CITE AS: Kakembo Aisha Annet. (2024). Crisis Management in Education: Lessons from Covid-19. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management, 6 (3): 20-24.