Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management (EEJAM) ISSN: 2992-412X

©EEJAM Publications Volume 6 Issue 3 2024

Community-Centric Approaches to Arts in Health

Asiimwe Kyomugisha T.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The integration of arts into health initiatives offers an innovative, community-centric approach to enhancing well-being and addressing health disparities. While the traditional use of arts in health focused on therapeutic distraction, recent approaches emphasize community involvement and ownership, fostering mental, physical, and social benefits. This paper examines community-centric frameworks in arts in health, outlining theoretical perspectives, practical case studies, and emerging challenges and opportunities. Emphasizing local engagement, co-creation, and interdisciplinary collaboration, these approaches have shown promising outcomes in addressing social determinants of health and increasing resilience within communities. By examining evidence-based practices and highlighting successful case studies, this work contributes to understanding best practices and future directions for arts in health as a transformative, community-driven strategy.

Keywords: Arts in health, community-centric approach, health disparities, social determinants of health, participatory arts.

INTRODUCTION

Arts in Health, also known as participatory arts and community arts and health, are creative activities undertaken collaboratively to improve health. Traditionally, it was thought that access to professional arts practice could be uplifting and serve as a distraction from illness. However, more recently, it has been conceptualized as a transformative strategy that can lead to positive health and social outcomes. Community-centric approaches build on this theory but place further emphasis on supporting the health and well-being needs, values, and aspirations of the target community. Programs designed with this in mind have also been seen as ways to foster and facilitate participation and increase the likelihood that the program will be meaningful for the participants as they increase choice and ownership and are perceived as appropriate to people's needs and situations [1, 2]. The integration of the arts within health settings is not new. The shift to arts in health occurred in the 1980s and only three years later a report from a small two-day conference featured speakers from both arts and health. This shift is reflective of wider developments in community-led approaches to management and policy-making. The introduction of arts in health programs is seen to have multiple potential benefits for the citizens of any nation-state and their societies. Individuals may benefit from opportunities for self-expression, learning new skills, reducing social isolation, improving physical health, and enhancing mental well-being. An increased sense of social capital or communal spirit is also noted. A review noted that engagement with the social conditions that were improved by the programs was a potential means of providing mental health benefits to participants. Key contributors to successful programs are enthusiastic artists who understand the context in which they are working. A further catalyst for the successful inception and implementation of arts in health programs is collaboration – between artists, clinicians, clinical planners, program planners, and the local community [3, 4].

Theoretical Frameworks and Evidence Base for Community-Centric Arts in Health

This paper is focused on the community-centric approaches to arts in health. Research that measures the impact of community involvement or engagement in the arts remains nascent in established arts in health and health promotion literature [5, 6]. Over the last twenty years, a growing body of literature has investigated the therapeutic potential of engaging in the arts and artistic practice. Although different research states have been proposed, most adopt an ecological framework, integrating at least some of the theoretical perspectives outlined in the first section. The theory on the social determinants of health is predominant as it addresses how social, psychological, and environmental factors can benefit an individual's mental and physical health. Within this literature, the term "arts in health" has been used more frequently to describe the ecological impacts of creative engagement on mental and physical health accounts. In these explorations, arts became primarily valued as a road to individual self-discovery and personal development, and increasingly seen as a social determinant of health, to be prescribed in the co-production of new value-based healthcare. In summary, the worlds of arts, health, and care have collided and interconnected to produce often-competing discourses. The challenge in this interconnected world is not to escape the tension but to examine and calibrate these clashes and contradictions as a productive meeting point. Interdisciplinary research has the potential to connect these fields. With the aim of addressing the inconsistency in healthy community projects, research questions focus on the values, methods, and forms of accountability driving a critical mass around creativity and well-being. Furthermore, there is a need for more variety of reflexivity and "expressive health" in the kinds of evidence used to support the arguments in this area. Exploring questions of a disciplinary evidence base, with an interest in cross-disciplinary research, can also inform what is best practice in social prescribing. With the evidence mapping to be undertaken, it can also inform social prescribing as areas for further research [7, 8].

Case Studies and Best Practices in Implementing Community-Centric Arts in Health Programs

Case studies reflecting local and global applications of community-centric arts in health. Whittier Street Health Center: Reaching In: We Are the Story. Santa Maria, RS, Brazil: Chocolataria Ki Cacau: Preserve Invest in the Future: The Banquet. Boston Medical Center: Art Ward: They're in the Building. Dox Centre for Contemporary Art. These case studies provide examples of such initiatives and also point towards best practices in terms of how to involve, engage, and report collaboratively with the communities they serve. The hope is that practitioners can draw on these case studies and implement similar programs in their local contexts. From Dox: 'Every one of the visited hospitals provides an environment for long-term art residencies in the process of long-term comprehensive healthcare. The most common artistic disciplines are music, drama, dance, voice, visual arts, and literature. But the histories of how music – classical, popular, or radical – gardening, goat herding, negotiation, love, humanity, costume, artistic profile, and drawing, and other activities emerge here and logistically develop with patients, non-professional staff, patients' families, art and humanities students, and professional artists reflect concrete needs of audiences-citizens who are not divorced from a broader sociocultural fabric. In Dox's practice, this also proves to be a more effective add-on reception factor in community development than having a nicely published catalogue and collateral press media. This installation is offering new resources for the field of arts and health to extend its outreach impact, provide a comparative aspect of care, generate and extend knowledge, respond to new types of audio-visual acquisition hybridization, and offer original public interface in exhibition making and communication. The construction of lessons learned guaranteed the initial outcomes that involved the profile of the volunteers, the waste generated from the technology products, and reaching difficult-to-access communities. Moreover, we advised identifying early and protecting vulnerable populations targeted as volunteers. However, this exhibition-makers' perceived challenge can reveal new and interesting narratives within the field of applied arts and health' [9, 10].

Challenges And Opportunities for Integrating Community-Centric Arts in Health Initiatives

While integrating community-centric arts approaches within larger health initiatives is ideal, several challenges must be navigated. For starters, securing funding for arts initiatives can be difficult, as health and government systems tend to prioritize medications and clinical interventions with proven benefits. This means that arts initiatives are lower on the priority list for many health systems. Additionally, research suggests that most health systems staff are not fully aware of community arts practices. Traditional methods in healthcare and patient care have yet to widely accept that practices beyond those

traditionally focused on medical care can benefit patients and their communities. Likewise, traditional healthcare providers have a limited understanding of how the arts can benefit patient care and feed off one another. Moreover, the potential for transformations to emerge from collective creativity and a commitment to engagement is often darker than more control-oriented interventions found within many health approaches. Changing policy is not commonly quick or straightforward. Policy and institutional reform are continuous concerns of the public and private sectors. Grassroots movements in favor of community-centric practices and principles are critical. The long-term investment of time and resources toward relations and partnership building among establishments and sectors will eventually formulate natural opportunities for change in these settings. Additionally, an investment in creating inclusive community arts venues—where any community member is welcome to participate—is always a good use of community time and resources. Nonetheless, there are several opportunities and innovative ways to integrate the arts within a health campaign. Engaging participants raises their resilience, and this greater resilience, in turn, raises chances for empowerment. Capacity-building initiatives, if fully experimental, should run separately from mainstream health research projects. The days of impressionist arts and health are over. Partnerships between arts organizations, health authorities, and community stakeholders are the single essential element of all innovative arts and health strategies. Co-created projects between residents and health sector professionals self-represent the single most important opportunity that will emerge from community-centered practice to serve health and well-being. Decisions concerning project direction and adaptation must be informed by community discussions around opportunities and barriers. Ongoing dialogue addressing community concerns and aspirations should continue throughout [11, 12].

Future Directions and Innovations in Community-Centric Arts in Health

We perceive that community-centric arts in health approaches will continue to expand access to care, foster interconnections and belonging, bolster emotional health, and inspire creativity in people from all walks of life in the coming years. Many innovations lie on the horizon, including increased accessibility, sustainability, and focus on the individual experience with digital art and telehealth integrations. There could be more programs that seek to be informed by a commitment to a public offering of arts programs, grounded in the needs and expertise of artists and community members, and acknowledging the limits of scientific evidence. Future arts in health projects will likely occupy different segments of the spectrum of evidence from artful anecdotes to gold-standard clinical evidence. Of note, collaborative opportunities among partners from health care and non-profit sectors and grants that would help to fund ambitious, long-term, team-based collaborations, including people from backgrounds as diverse as art, anthropology, business, education, engineering, law, philosophy, and social work, might increase the impact of our work and increase the array of inspiring solutions available to every community [13, 14]. New programs could be designed as more than an experiment to gather evidence, knowing that community needs, assets, and interests change. Rather, to fit the ecological principles of adaptability and responsibility, they should be imagined and revised through an annual retreat with community partners, planning which poems and plays will be included for people, by people, and performed on the streets and in the garden of their health organization, updated after face-to-face visits from storytellers in the homes of people, written by writer residents they meet weekly in their community clinic. The leadership of healthcare systems at every level should examine ways to transform their organizations into systems of health and well-being, supporting one another in reducing racism, welcoming others, and investing in every community. When this happens, champions in health system leadership, acting as partners with arts organization leaders driven by a common interest in community vitality, will invest in helping shape the policy climate at federal and local levels and, in time, support public recognition of the economic implications of public investments in fostering the strength possible through music, visual arts, and dance [15, 16].

CONCLUSION

Community-centric arts in health initiatives offer a valuable approach to enhancing health and social outcomes, fostering individual and collective resilience, and addressing health disparities. Programs that prioritize community involvement, co-creation, and interdisciplinary collaboration create more meaningful, sustainable impacts by aligning with the unique needs, aspirations, and values of the communities they serve. These approaches not only promote mental and physical health but also strengthen social cohesion, empowering individuals and communities. Continued support from policy-makers, health organizations, and community stakeholders is essential to expanding these initiatives,

which have the potential to transform healthcare delivery by emphasizing human connections and creativity.

REFERENCES

1. Purnamasari T. Enhancing SMEs as Service Elements: Exploring Community-Centered Management Strategies. Golden Ratio of Community Services and Dedication. 2024 Jul 30;4(2):57-68.
2. Khairwar G. Empowering Communities: Unveiling the Impact of Community Health Nurses' Workload and Contributions to Public Well-being. Brio International Journal of Nursing Research (BIJNR), 5 (1), 152-160. https://bijnr. in/index. php/current-issue. 2024. bijnr.in
3. Admass WS, Munaye YY, Diro AA. Cyber security: State of the art, challenges and future directions. Cyber Security and Applications. 2024 Jan 1;2:100031.
4. Haque AB, Bhushan B, Dhiman G. Conceptualizing smart city applications: Requirements, architecture, security issues, and emerging trends. Expert Systems. 2022 Jun;39(5):e12753.
5. Ware VA, Dunphy K. How do arts programmes contribute in international development? A systematic review of outcomes and associated processes. Progress in Development Studies. 2020 Apr;20(2):140-62.
6. Parmley LE, Hartsough K, Eleeza O, Bertin A, Sesay B, Njenga A, Toure M, Egesimba G, Bah H, Bayoh A, Yakubu A. COVID-19 preparedness at health facilities and community service points serving people living with HIV in Sierra Leone. PLOS one. 2021 Apr 15;16(4):e0250236. plos.org
7. Majid U, Kandasamy S. The rationales for and challenges with employing arts-based health services research (ABHSR): a qualitative systematic review of primary studies. Medical Humanities. 2021 Sep 1;47(3):266-73.
8. Moniz T, Golafshani M, Gaspar CM, Adams NE, Haidet P, Sukhera J, Volpe RL, De Boer C, Lingard L. How are the arts and humanities used in medical education? Results of a scoping review. Academic Medicine. 2021 Aug 1;96(8):1213-22. uwo.ca
9. Guan C, Bouzida A, Oncy-Avila RM, Moharana S, Riek LD. Taking an (embodied) cue from community health: Designing dementia caregiver support technology to advance health equity. InProceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems 2021 May 6 (pp. 1-16). acm.org
10. Killaspy H, Harvey C, Brasier C, Brophy L, Ennals P, Fletcher J, Hamilton B. Community-based social interventions for people with severe mental illness: a systematic review and narrative synthesis of recent evidence. World Psychiatry. 2022 Feb;21(1):96-123. wiley.com
11. Hussain Z. Paradigm of technological convergence and digital transformation: The challenges of CH sectors in the global COVID-19 pandemic and commencing resilience-based structure for the post-COVID-19 era. Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. 2021 Jun 1;21:e00182. nih.gov
12. Tangcharoensathien V, Calleja N, Nguyen T, Purnat T, D'Agostino M, Garcia-Saiso S, Landry M, Rashidian A, Hamilton C, AbdAllah A, Ghiga I. Framework for managing the COVID-19 infodemic: methods and results of an online, crowdsourced WHO technical consultation. Journal of medical Internet research. 2020 Jun 26;22(6):e19659. jmir.org
13. Francisco R, Pascoal C, Granjo P, de Freitas C, Videira PA, dos Reis Ferreira V. A community-centric model for conference co-creation: the world conference on CDG for patients, families and professionals. Research Involvement and Engagement. 2024 Oct 23;10(1):107. springer.com
14. Choudhury D. Collage urbanism: Creating sustainable cities through equitable urban resources for good health. Developments in Environmental Science. 2024 Jan 1;15:595-630.
15. Grant C. Collaborative tactics for equitable community partnerships toward social justice impact. IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication. 2022 Feb 14;65(1):151-63.
16. Jenewein O, Hummel MA. Co-Creating Climate Adaptation Pathways in Coastal Cities: A Practical Guide for Engaged Scholars and Urban Designers. Sustainability. 2022 Dec 1;14(23):16046.

CITE AS: Asiimwe Kyomugisha T. (2024). Community-Centric Approaches to Arts in Health. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management, 6 (3): 6-10.