EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH (EEJPH)

ISSN: 2992-4081 ©EEJPH Publications

Volume 7 Issue 2 2025

The Impact of Air Quality on Public Health Outcomes

Nakaziya Obutuzi G.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Air quality is a critical determinant of public health, with poor air conditions linked to numerous adverse health outcomes, including respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and emerging evidence of mental health impacts. This paper examines the historical progression of air pollution, methods for assessing air quality, its health effects, and policies to mitigate its impacts. It highlights the evolution of air pollution monitoring technologies, the limitations of current methodologies, and the disproportionate burden on vulnerable populations. Additionally, it underscores the importance of regulatory interventions, global collaborations, and local community efforts in addressing this issue. The paper concludes with recommendations for future research, including longitudinal and large-scale studies, integration of economic cost-benefit analysis, and the development of sustainable community-level interventions to reduce the health burden of air pollution.

Keywords: Air pollution, public health, respiratory diseases, cardiovascular health, air quality monitoring, environmental policy.

INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric air quality is an important subject due to its consequences on public health. Among the factors that can impact air quality in urban systems are integrated environmental pollution sources and geological and climatic factors. There are numerous studies indicating the harmful nature of the pollutants existing in outdoor and indoor air and linking them with the occurrence of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, etc [1, 2]. Air pollution is not a new phenomenon and has been present alongside man and his industrial activity from the beginning. At the time when air pollution began to become a very evident and concerning problem worldwide, there are records indicating that people have understood and recorded events of air pollution disasters of enormous magnitude. The history of air pollution can be divided into two periods: one before the Industrial Revolution and the second after it. The transition process between these two periods is dated around 1600 to 1700. The first period is when the development of industry and population growth did not lead to exceeding the threshold of pollution that can be tolerated in the atmosphere, which has some effects on ecosystems and human health. This limit is when diseases occur only in old age or in exceptional cases. In the period after the Industrial Revolution and until today, the first signs of damage to health have been discovered by comparing populations from different geographic areas or the same area over time. In terms of environmental policy, the level of air pollution is reached when there are also damages. Air quality measurements are carried out by specialized institutions established in central or provincial public institutions [3, 4].

Methods For Assessing Air Quality

Assessing the quality of air in urban, semi-urban, and rural areas is often based on the level of odor present. However, human perception, when detecting air pollution, is guided by a number of factors, including personal experiences, personal characteristics of perception, and psychological determinants. Although some efforts have been made to better understand the relationship between ambient pollution and individuals' perception using new technological methods, the well-being of humans in relation to odor has been poorly studied. The development of new validated methods that can also prove individuals' well-being in relation to environmental odor is a major goal for air quality studies. Objective and reliable knowledge about air quality requires precise and up-to-date details of the pollutants, alongside the ability to estimate their movement so that the level of dispersion and the area of concentration can both be determined in space and time. Accurate and up-to-date information is therefore required alongside reliable methodologies [5, 6]. At present, environmental databases are often not fully comprehensive and detailed at a European or national scale; more often than not, data collection has been carried out for short test periods and has focused on the particular needs of research projects. Moreover, significant differences in the measuring methods used to assess the levels of pollutants can be observed between one country and another: while developing countries only monitor basic indicators of pollution, industrialized countries use increasingly comprehensive factors. Different types of air quality monitoring stations exist on the basis of several criteria including the assumptions of the measurement location, the measurement parameters, and the considerations of space-time scales, but these stations have several limitations. The commonly used methodologies for the estimation of air quality include lab-based techniques for the analysis of parameters such as volatile organic compounds and NOx. In addition to these methods, there is an advanced high-cost method which identifies the intraprocedural real-time effects of pulmonary vein ablation on regional left atrial activation in a reliable manner. For in-situ air quality estimation, traditional manual methods, remote sensing technologies-based methods, and IoT-enabled real-time detection methods have been presented. Although the quality control procedure in these methods is highly reliable, various limitations mitigate the applicability of these methods such as dependence on weather conditions, operator and human errors, data inconsistency, labor and time consumption, and limitations for the monitoring of discrete and continuous processes. This method should not be used for prediction at the level of numeric prediction. Precise and robust predictions and statistical information are required for many applications such as long-term planning, statistics, and quantitative environmental assessments. Therefore, sensors, that are capable of recording air quality parameters, must be available in sufficient numbers and connected to an intelligent and easily accessible platform [7, 8].

Health Effects of Poor Air Quality

Exposure to poor air quality is known to result in a wide range of health impacts, with both acute and long-term consequences. A broad range of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases are exacerbated by poor air quality, including bronchitis, asthma, pneumonia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, stroke, hypertension, arrhythmias, and chronic heart failure. In addition, there is growing evidence of a wide range of non-communicable diseases linked to air pollution, including low birth weight and prematurity, diabetes, cancer, reduced lung function, obesity, and age-related eye conditions. Vulnerable populations, such as people living in low socioeconomic areas, the elderly and the young, and people with certain health conditions, are at particular risk of health impacts from poor air quality [9, 10]. Key health protection agencies have concluded that there is no safe level for some pollutants. In Australia, approximately 3,000 deaths each year are attributed to urban air pollution, predominantly due to long-term exposure to industrial pollutants or products from motor vehicle engines. Thousands more people are admitted to hospitals with exacerbated chronic illnesses, while hundreds of children and adults die prematurely from air pollution-related causes, such as heart disease and stroke. There is currently a growing concern about the potential impacts of air quality on mental health, with evidence now emerging of adverse mental health outcomes in adults and children associated with exposure to higher levels of air pollution. A systematic review of the literature on ambient air quality found a range of mental health outcomes, including self-reported depression and anxiety, and increases in mixed-diagnosis emergency department visits. Improvement in air quality, however, was found to be associated with improved mental health [11, 12].

Interventions and Policies for Improving Air Quality

Interventions and Policies for Improving Air Quality: Mild to severe air pollution is also directly tied to several public health outcomes. There are interventions and policies in place that can eliminate air pollution in the future, which will prevent people from getting sick. Regulatory frameworks have reduced a number of pollutant emissions in the past and improved air quality for many people. In the future, technological changes that reduce fossil fuel emissions are key to achieving cleaner air. Green energy solutions like solar and wind power reduce greenhouse gases and particulate matter emissions. Some smaller policies and regulations can make a community cleaner, and they will help meet clean air standards [13]. Some global organizations and treaties could impact pollution reduction. Guidelines for air pollution levels have been set in cooperation with other global and medical organizations. Development goals call for the increase of both affordable and renewable energy systems around the world as a way to combat environmental pollution and its health impacts. Local policies are a good way to start improving air quality because there is community involvement and action. Community health programs and monitors help the regional government develop policies that serve the people, as the people are the monitors [14, 15]. Air pollution is still impactful when just one state or one country is working on it, because air pollution affects other areas. The northeastern part of the United States experienced significant acid rain in the past that originated from states in the Midwest. Many different stakeholders want to work on clean air policy; they come from state and local governments, American Indian and Native Alaskan tribes, the federal government, and private companies, nonprofits, and law firms. At both the big-picture and local levels, clean air initiatives are trying to find and implement policies and technology that reduce air pollution. Reducing unclean air is possible as technology improves and becomes more affordable. Even though dedicated individuals are working in health research, regulation, and clean air policy, there are also many political and economic challenges. Many people can profit from selling fossil fuels. Those individuals might not want to stop simply because clean air for everyone will lead to better health and more money to spend on healthier lives. Suggesting better ways to cook might not be enough for a new mom or a cancer patient. Other stakeholders might need to drive change to keep the people they care about healthy and safe in the future. Therefore, we need everyone at the table: the physical scientists, the doctors, and the schoolteachers. Healthy people need individuals who think beyond the present and plan for the future [16, 17].

Future Directions

12 of the world's most polluted cities are in India, meaning that changes to policy need to be made to reduce the number of public health complaints linked to air pollution. Research so far into air quality and health has been relatively effective, especially in smaller studies, with substantial changes seen in public health indicators as a result and reductions in indoor air pollution from kerosene stoves. However, at the same time, significant rates of incorrect measurement in these studies have produced less accurate results. Cross-national time-series studies do not provide a cost-benefit and are reliant on frequent, dependable, accurate, and valid data. These are not always available for this type of study. Environmental epidemiology studies in large areas are less accurate still and only show trends over time [18, 19]. The most comprehensive and systematic analysis related to ambient and indoor air pollution is in agreement about the global burden of disease from air pollution, especially around households where more conclusive data is available. It is clear that air pollution is one of the largest contributing factors to the global burden of disease because of findings related to $PM_{2.5}$ and cardiovascular disease and regional studies on cardiovascular disease and hospitalization and reduced life expectancy as a result of smoking. As a result, there are cost-effective improvements that can be achieved through policy interventions. There is nevertheless a desperate need for additional time series studies and those of larger sample sizes that are also able to adjust for seasonality as well as the limitations of previous work. Longitudinal studies are also essential, as are studies reflecting economic cost-benefit analysis. Developing countries should not be excluded from this work as they tend to have the worst air quality, yet little research is done in these places. New methods for data collection are also essential, with new environments and the association with climate change also reflecting the need for integration into the work done in future studies. Future interventions should potentially include the education of affected communities about air quality, with sustainable methods of change encouraged at a community level and also linked to cleaner cooking facilities to reduce the burden of illness on government services. Managers and developers should include public health principles to ensure that good air quality is maintained and the potential for disease prevention is addressed at this level [20, 21].

CONCLUSION

Air quality significantly impacts public health outcomes, with long-term exposure to pollutants causing a wide range of diseases and contributing to premature mortality. While advancements in monitoring and regulatory policies have reduced emissions in many regions, challenges persist, particularly in developing nations where air pollution remains a leading contributor to disease burden. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-pronged approach, including robust policies, technological innovations, and global collaborations. Future research should focus on developing accurate, scalable monitoring technologies, addressing data inconsistencies, and integrating economic analyses into health impact studies.

Community education and the promotion of sustainable practices are essential for empowering affected populations. By fostering interdisciplinary efforts, society can work towards achieving cleaner air and improved public health outcomes globally.

REFERENCES

1. Shahriyari HA, Nikmanesh Y, Jalali S, Tahery N, Zhiani Fard A, Hatamzadeh N, Zarea K, Cheraghi M, Mohammadi MJ. Air pollution and human health risks: mechanisms and clinical manifestations of cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. Toxin Reviews. 2022 Apr 3;41(2):606-17. researchgate.net
2. Miller MR, Newby DE. Air pollution and cardiovascular disease: car sick. Cardiovascular research. 2020 Feb 1;116(2):279-94.
3. Tainio M, Andersen ZJ, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Hu L, De Nazelle A, An R, Garcia LM, Goenka S, Zapata-Diomedi B, Bull F, de Sá TH. Air pollution, physical activity and health: A mapping review of the evidence. Environment international. 2021 Feb 1;147:105954. sciencedirect.com
4. He G, Pan Y, Tanaka T. The short-term impacts of COVID-19 lockdown on urban air pollution in China. Nature sustainability. 2020 Dec;3(12):1005-11.
5. Chen PJ, Antonelli M. Conceptual models of food choice: influential factors related to foods, individual differences, and society. Foods. 2020 Dec 18;9(12):1898.
6. Mansi SA, Barone G, Forzano C, Pigliautile I, Ferrara M, Pisello AL, Arnesano M. Measuring human physiological indices for thermal comfort assessment through wearable devices: A review. Measurement. 2021 Oct 1;183:109872. sciencedirect.com
7. Coops NC, Tompalski P, Goodbody TR, Queinnec M, Luther JE, Bolton DK, White JC, Wulder MA, van Lier OR, Hermosilla T. Modelling lidar-derived estimates of forest attributes over space and time: A review of approaches and future trends. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2021 Jul 1;260:112477. sciencedirect.com
8. Jones ER, Van Vliet MT, Qadir M, Bierkens MF. Country-level and gridded estimates of wastewater production, collection, treatment and reuse. Earth System Science Data. 2021 Feb 8;13(2):237-54. copernicus.org
9. Johnson NM, Hoffmann AR, Behlen JC, Lau C, Pendleton D, Harvey N, Shore R, Li Y, Chen J, Tian Y, Zhang R. Air pollution and children's health—a review of adverse effects associated with prenatal exposure from fine to ultrafine particulate matter. Environmental health and preventive medicine. 2021 Dec;26:1-29. springer.com
10. Ali N, Islam F. The effects of air pollution on COVID-19 infection and mortality—a review on recent evidence. Frontiers in public health. 2020 Nov 26;8:580057.
11. Al Mamun A, Prasetya TA, Dewi IR, Ahmad M. Microplastics in human food chains: Food becoming a threat to health safety. Science of The Total Environment. 2023 Feb 1;858:159834. [HTML]
12. Nti EK, Cobbina SJ, Attafuah EE, Senanu LD, Amenyeku G, Gyan MA, Forson D, Safo AR. Water pollution control and revitalization using advanced technologies: Uncovering artificial intelligence options towards environmental health protection, sustainability and water security. Heliyon. 2023 Jul 1;9(7). cell.com
13. Vardoulakis S, Giagloglou E, Steinle S, Davis A, Sleeuwenhoek A, Galea KS, Dixon K, Crawford JO. Indoor exposure to selected air pollutants in the home environment: a systematic review. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020 Dec;17(23):8972. mdpi.com
14. Megahed NA, Ghoneim EM. Indoor Air Quality: Rethinking rules of building design strategies in post-pandemic architecture. Environmental research. 2021 Feb 1;193:110471.
15. Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. New urban models for more sustainable, liveable and healthier cities post covid19; reducing air pollution, noise and heat island effects and increasing green space and physical activity. Environment international. 2021 Dec 1;157:106850.
16. Anjum MS, Ali SM, Subhani MA, Anwar MN, Nizami AS, Ashraf U, Khokhar MF. An emerged challenge of air pollution and ever-increasing particulate matter in Pakistan; a critical review. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2021 Jan 15;402:123943. [HTML]
17. Manisalidis I, Stavropoulou E, Stavropoulos A, Bezirtzoglou E. Environmental and health impacts of air pollution: a review. Frontiers in public health. 2020 Feb 20;8:14. frontiersin.org

18. Pandey A, Brauer M, Cropper ML, Balakrishnan K, Mathur P, Dey S, Turkgulu B, Kumar GA, Khare M, Beig G, Gupta T. Health and economic impact of air pollution in the states of India: the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet Planetary Health. 2021 Jan 1;5(1):e25-38. thelancet.com
19. Sathe Y, Gupta P, Bawase M, Lamsal L, Patadia F, Thipse S. Surface and satellite observations of air pollution in India during COVID-19 lockdown: Implication to air quality. Sustainable cities and society. 2021 Mar 1;66:102688. sciencedirect.com
20. Ebrahimi H, Aryan Z, Moghaddam SS, Bisignano C, Rezaei S, Pishgar F, Force LM, Abolhassani H, Abu-Gharbieh E, Advani SM, Ahmad S. Global, regional, and national burden of respiratory tract cancers and associated risk factors from 1990 to 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Sep 1;9(9):1030-49. thelancet.com
21. Lelieveld J, Pozzer A, Pöschl U, Fnais M, Haines A, Münzel T. Loss of life expectancy from air pollution compared to other risk factors: a worldwide perspective. Cardiovascular research. 2020 Sep 1;116(11):1910-7. oup.com

CITE AS: Nakaziya Obutuzi G. (2024). The Impact of Air Quality on Public Health Outcomes. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH, 7(2):133-137.